## (I) CALL TO ORDER

(II) APPOINTMENTS
(1) Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.
(III) OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

## (IV) CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.
(2) Approval of minutes for the March 12, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
(3) Approval of minutes for the March 26, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
(4) P2024-009 (RYAN MILLER)

Consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-8, Block A, People Tract Addition being a 15.561-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.
(5) P2024-011 (HENRY LEE)

Consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LLC for the approval of a Final Plat for the Terraces Subdivision consisting of 82 single-family residential lots on a 21.648 -acre tract of land being identified as a portion of Tracts $10-02,10-03,25-1 \& 26$ of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.
(6) SP2024-016 (HENRY LEE)

Consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LCC for the approval of a Site Plan for the Terraces Subdivision consisting of 82 single-family residential lots on a 21.648 -acre tract of land being identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

## (V) PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.
(7) Z2024-008 (ANGELICA GUEVARA) [THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING TO WITHDRAW]

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Marisol Ortiz on behalf of Ricardo Alonso for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.121-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 49 of the

Canup's Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Southside Residential Neighborhood Overlay (SRO) District, addressed as 302 E. Bourn Street, and take any action necessary.
(8) Z2024-010 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC on behalf of Zohreh Malek for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks to allow the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership on a 5.9613-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall KIA Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1790 E. IH-30, and take any action necessary.
(9) Z2024-011 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alan Wyatt on behalf of PSB Indemnity Family, LTD PTRN for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36 -feet in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158-acre parcel of land identified as a Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## (10) Z2024-012 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.
(11) Z2024-013 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Paul Arce of Projects \& Constructions Araque on behalf of Shirley Soto for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.248-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single- Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 340 Evans Road, and take any action necessary.

## (12) Z2024-014 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Barbara Lee for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a ten (10) acre tract of land identified as Tract 22-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 628 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

## (13) Z2024-015 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for a 15.561-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

## (VI) ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.
(14) SP2024-006 (HENRY LEE) [THE APPLICANT HAS POSTPONED TO THE APRIL 30, 2024 MEETING]

Discuss and consider a request by Salvador Salcedo for the approval of a Site Plan for an Office/Warehouse Building on a 0.45acre parcel of land identified as Lot 10, Block A, Municipal Industrial Park Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, being zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, addressed as 855 Whitmore Drive, and take any action necessary.
(15) SP2024-008 (ANGELICA GUEVARA) [THE APPLICANT HAS POSTPONED TO THE MAY 14, 2024 MEETING]

Discuss and consider a request by Dewayne Zinn of Cross Engineering Consultants, Inc. on behalf of Chad Dubose of JCDB Goliad Holdings, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for General Retail Building on a 0.7621 -acre portion of a larger 2.542 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Pecan Valley Retail Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 65 (PD-65) for General Retail (GR) District, situated within the North SH-205 Overlay (N. SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 150 Pecan Valley Drive, and take any action necessary.

## (16) SP2024-009 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Justin Toon of Industrial Reserve on behalf Reserve Capital - Rockwall Industrial SPE, LLC for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Warehouse/Distribution Center on an 18.48-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 1, 13 \& 1-7 of the J. M. Allen Survey, Abstract No. 2, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 1725 SH-276, and take any action necessary.
(17) SP2024-010 (HENRY LEE) [THE APPLICANT HAS POSTPONED TO THE APRIL 30, 2024 MEETING]

Discuss and consider a request by Renee Ward, PE of Weir \& Associates, Inc. on behalf of Conor Keilty, AIA of Structured REARockwall Land, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Golf Driving Range on an 9.942-acre tract of land being portions of a larger 18.131-acre tract of land and a 12.868-acre tract of land identified as Tract 22 \& 22-2 of the R. Irvine Survey, Abstract No. 120, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, generally located near the terminus of Fit Sport Life Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## (18) SP2024-011 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Bobby Pross of Pross Design Group on behalf of Jaymie Bullard of USEF Rockwall Owner, LLC for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Warehouse/Distribution Facility on a 12.101-acre tract of land identified as Lot 4, Block A, Rockwall Park 30 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Overlay ( $\mathrm{IH}-30 \mathrm{OV}$ ) District, generally located on the southside of the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Frontage Road east of the intersection of Corporate Crossing and $\mathrm{IH}-30$, and take any action necessary.

## (19) SP2024-012 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Frank Conselman for the approval of a Site Plan for an Industrial/Warehouse Building on a 6.68-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 21 \& 21-01 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the Airport Overlay (AP-OV) District, addressed as 1735 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.
(20) SP2024-013 (BETHANY ROSS) [THE APPLICANT HAS POSTPONED TO THE APRIL 30, 2024 MEETING]

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Margie Verhagen of Meals on Wheels for the approval of a Site Plan for a facility for a Social Service Provider (i.e. Meals on Wheels) on an 6.211-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the Airport Overlay (AP-OV) District, addressed as 1780 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.
(21) SP2024-014 (BETHANY ROSS) [THE APPLICANT HAS POSTPONED TO THE MAY 14, 2024 MEETING]

Discuss and consider a request by Dnyanada Nevgi of SRV Land Building and Real Estate, LLC on behalf of Naomi Freeman of Buffalo Country Properties, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Building and Daycare Facility on a 2.649-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 13 of the Rockwall Business Park East Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, generally located on the southside of E. Ralph Hall Parkway west of the intersection of E. Ralph Hall Parkway and S. Goliad Street [SH-205], and take any action necessary.
(22) SP2024-015 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Kevin Patel of Triangle Engineering on behalf of Conor Keilty of Structured REA-Rockwall Land LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales (i.e. 7/11) on a 1.95-acre portion of a larger 12.868-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 6, Block B, Fit Sport Life Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Corporate Crossing and Fit Sport Life Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## DISCUSSION ITEMS

(23) Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- Z2024-003: PD Development Plan and Amendment to Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) (WITHDRAWN BY THE APPLICANT)
- Z2024-006: Text Amendment to the UDC and Municipal Code of Ordinances for Short-Term Rentals (2ND READING; APPROVED)
(VIII) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Local Government Code $\S 55.071$ (Consultation with City Attorney) or any other exception allowed under Chapter 551 of the Texas Local Government Code.

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.

I, Melanie Zavala, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on April 5, 2024 at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

NOTES: [1] ADDITIONAL CASE INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND AT HTTPS://SITES.GOOGLE.COM/SITE/ROCKWALLPLANNING/DEVELOPMENT/DEVELOPMENT-CASES, AND [2] TO PROVIDE INPUT ON A ZONING OR SPECIFIC USE PERMIT CASE PLEASE CLICK HERE OR CLICK ON THE LINK ABOVE AND USE THE ZONING AND SPECIFIC USE PERMIT INPUT FORM ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE.

## I.CALL TO ORDER


#### Abstract

Commissioner Conway called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Commissioners present were Jay Odom, Ross Hustings and Kyle Thompson. Commissioners absent were Chairman Derek Deckard, Vice-Chairman John Womble and Commissioner Brian Llewelyn. Staff members present were Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller, Senior Planner Henry Lee, Planner Bethany Ross, Planning Technician Angelica Guevara, Planning Coordinator Melanie Zavala, City Engineer Amy Williams, Assistant City Engineer Jonathan Browning and Civil Engineer Madelyn Price.


```
II.OPEN FORUM
```

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

Commissioner Conway explained how the open forum is conducted and asked if anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time; there being no one indicating such, Commissioner Conway closed the open forum.
III.CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.

1. Approval of minutes for the February 27, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
2. P2024-006 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Brad Boswell and Asher Hamilton of RIV Properties for the approval of a Final Plat of Lots 1, 2 \& 3, Block A, George Morton Estates Addition being a 3.59-acre tract of land identified as Lots $1 \& 2$, Block 1; Lots 1, 2, 3, \& 4, Block 2; Lots 1, 2, 3, \& 4, Block 3; Lots 1, 2, 3, \& 4, Block 5; Lots 1 \& 2 and a portion of Lots $3 \& 4$, Block 6; Lots 2, 3, \& 4, Block 7; Lots $1 \& 2$, Block 8; and Lots 1, 2, 3, \& 4, Block 9, Moton Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, situated within the Hillside Mixed Use Subdistrict and the Horizon/Summer Lee Subdistrict of Planned Development District 32 (PD-32), generally located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Horizon Road and Summer Lee Drive, and take any action necessary.

Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve Consent Agenda. Commissioner Thompson second the motion and it passed by a vote of 4-0.
IV.PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

## 3. Z2024-003 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Twichell of Michael F. Twichell, LP on behalf of Jim Duggan of NEC John King \& 552, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change and PD Development Plan amending Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) [Ordinance No. 17-60] being a 17.3750acre portion of a larger 405.184-acre Planned Development District situated within the J. Strickland Survey, Abstract No. 187; T. R. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 30; and, J. Simmons Survey, Abstract No. 190, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (BY-OV) District, generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

The applicant has requested that the case be postponed.
Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve postponing the case to the March 26 meeting. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4-0

## 4. Z2024-008 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Marisol Ortiz on behalf of Ricardo Alonso for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.121 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 49 of the Canup's Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Southside Residential Neighborhood Overlay (SRO) District, addressed as 302 E. Bourn Street, and take any action necessary.

The applicant has requested to table this case.
Commissioner Thompson made a motion to approve tabling the case. Commissioner Llewelyn seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4.0.

## 5. Z2024-009 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Harold Fetty of H. D. Fetty Land Surveyor, LLC on behalf of Antonio Borjas for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District and a Light Industrial (LI) District for a 9.4411-acre tract of land identified as Tract 3-4 of the J. H. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 45, City of Rockwall, Rockwall, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated in the SH276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed 172 Zollner Road, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting for an approval of a zoning change. They are requesting to rezone the front portions to Light Industrial (LI) and the back portions to Single-Family Estate 1.5. (SF-1.5). This is currently one property and they are looking to convey the portion that is on 276 therefore they are going through the zoning process and will have to come back later for the platting process. Currently for the zoning request staff is looking at the comprehensive plan and the portion facing 276 is indicated as technology and employment which is one of the zoning districts that allows Light Industrial (LI). The other portion where the single-family home is located along Zollner the future land use plan has that as Commercial Retail but right now there's a single-family home on that property and the applicant has indicated that they will continue to have that as a single-home property. While it's not in conformance with the future land use map it is a step towards that direction. In the future it could still go Commercial Retail. On February $2^{2 n d}$ staff mailed out 24 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 ft of the subject property.

Commissioner Conway opened the Public Hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time, there being no one indicating such, Commissioner Conway closed the public hearing and brought the item back for discussion or action.

Commissioner Odom made a motion to approve Z2024-009. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4-0.

## 6. Z2024-006 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a Text Amendment to Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) and to establish Chapter 13, Rental Housing, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances for the purpose of creating requirements for Short-Term Rentals, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regard to the request. City Council voted to recommend and direct staff to move forward with the proposed amendment. City Council directed staff and they created new and local regulations. There are three distinct categories of Short-Term Rentals (STR). Short term Rental (owner occupied, single-family home, Townhome or Duplex) A single-family home, townhome, or duplex or portion thereof in which the property owner or operator, as reflected in a valid lease agreement, is a resident (i.e. occupies the primary structure) and is present during the rental. This includes when a Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit/Accessory Dwelling Unit is detached from the primary structure and either the primary or secondary structure is rented, but the owner or operator resides on the property. Short term Rental non-owner occupied (single-family home, Townhome or Duplex) A single-family home, townhome, or duplex -- or a portion thereof -- in which the property owner or operator does not occupy the dwelling unit during the rental, or that the owner or property owner does not occupy another dwelling unit -- or portion thereof -- on the same property (i.e. the property owner or operator is not on-site as an occupant during the rental of the property). STR (apartment or condominium) An apartment or condominium (or similar multi-family structure, excluding duplexes, but including triplexes or quadplexes, as defined in this Unified Development Code [UDC]) -- or a portion thereof -- in which the property owner or operator may or may not be an occupant of the dwelling unit during the rental. staff has also created a permit application and registration form that shows the information that would be collected as part of the proposed program. Based on the proposed ordinance, a $\$ 500.00$ application fee would be required to register a STR and the registration and permit would be valid for a period of three (3) years once issued. The standard requirements for the STRs include parking, temporary structure, trash, singe, conduct on premises, tenant notification requirements and payment of hotel occupancy tax. The Ordinance also establishes specific violation and penalty's that can range from $\$ 250-\$ 2,000$. In addition, if an STR accrues three violations in any consecutive six months period the Short-Term Rental registration and permit is revoked. A responsible party that resides in Rockwall County and is available at all time that the rental is being used to ensure there is a point of contact that is local and can remedy any issues.

Commissioner Llewelyn asked about 500 feet buffer.
Commissioner Llewelyn asked about notarization.
Commissioner Llewelyn asked about why it isn't $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ buffer.
Commissioner Odom asked about Commercial Insurance.
Commissioner Conway asked about Homeowners Insurance.

Joy Murphy
209 Tanya Drive
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mrs. Murphy came forward and expressed her concerns in regards to the request and asked to consider the larger buffer.

Melba Jeffus
2606 Cypress Dr
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mrs. Jeffus came forward and expressed her concerns in regards to the request.
Norman Fontaine
805 Calm Coast Dr
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Fontaine came forward and expressed her concerns in regards to the request.
William Childs
1611 S Alamo
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Childs came forward and expressed his concerns in regards to the request.
Terri Nevitt
201 Becky Lane
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mrs. Nevitt came forward and expressed her concerns in regards to the request.
Greg Murphy
209 Tanya Drive
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Murphy came forward and expressed his concerns in regards to the request and asked to consider the larger buffer.
Commissioner Lewellyn asked about the trash.
Commissioner Conway asked about the occupancy in the str.
Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve Z2024-006 with the change of $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ buffer. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4.0 .
V.ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## 7. SP2024-003 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate \& Associates, Inc. on behalf of Kevin Lloyd of 1800 Dalrock, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for two (2) metal buildings in conjunction with two (2) existing commercial/industrial buildings on a 1.55 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 7, Block A, Maverick Ranch Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 196 \& 216 Ranch Trail, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of the site plan for the purpose of adding two metal building to the subject property. This request has been previously approved back in 2019 however, no action was taken towards completion after the site plan was approved therefore causing it to expire on June 11, 2021. The applicant is having to go through the process once again of requesting approval of site plan for the two additional buildings the elevations of the proposed buildings. The applicant is also proposing to incorporate a brick wainscot on all of the building facades including the existing metal buildings. The applicant request conforms the majority of the city codes however staff has identified the following exceptions roof design standards, building articulation and parking requirements. In regards to the parking staff wanted to add that the office land use has a parking requirement of one for 300 square feet which is what the applicant is showing on the site plan however, the current user is a dance studio which is calculated as a retail or general personal service which is formed for 250 square feet. Staff should point out that the applicant only intends on using these buildings for office land uses then the parking deficiency is 6 spaces however, if these bookings continue to attract non-office land uses like the dance studio parking deficiency increases to 16 spaces.

Dub Douphrate
2235 Ridge Road
Rockwall, TX 75032
Mr. Douphrate came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Odom made a motion to approve SP2024-003. Commissioner Llewelyn seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4-0.

## 8. SP2024-004 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Brian Berry of PRBBS, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a commercial building on a 1.745 -acre parcel of land being identified as Lot 1, Block A, BW Plus Executive Residency Addition City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Overlay ( $\mathrm{IH}-30 \mathrm{OV}$ ) District, addressed as 700 Vigor Way, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is proposing a Site Plan for a commercial building. They are requesting a variance to the landscape buffer with a berm and bushes. They are proposing compensatory measures. They are also providing increase landscape area. The two-story building does not meet articulation requirements.

Jeff Carroll
750 E Interstate 30
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Carroll came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve SP2024-004. Commissioner Odom seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 4-0.
9. SP2024-005 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Akhil Vats of Vedanta Estates, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a medical office building on a 0.70 -acre parcel of land being identified as Lot 6 , Block A, Ellis Centre \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1940 Alpha Drive, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting a site plan for a medical center. In 2020 this site plan was approved and the site plan has then expired in 2022. There are variances to the standards and property. They do not meet the requirements and not fully three-tiered screening. Staff asked that they add a detention area to put vertical walls in detention systems. Compensatory measures to landscape, accent trees and shrubs. Exception to articulation variances. Building elevations to provide screen percentage.

Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve SP2024-005 with the variances and site plan with the exception of the detention system. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion which passed by vote of 4-0.
VI.DISCUSSION ITEMS
10. Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2024-005: Replat for Lot 10, Block A, Dalton Goliad Addition (APPROVED)
- Z2024-001: Amendment to Planned Development District 2 (PD-2) (2 ${ }^{\text {ND }}$ READING; APPROVED)
- Z2024-002: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit for 715 Sherman Street (2ND READING; APPROVED)
- Z2024-004: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Accessory Building and Carport at 9 Crestview Circle (2 ${ }^{\text {ND }}$ READING; APPROVED)

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced cases at he City Council meeting
VII.ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Conway adjourned the meeting at 7:43PM
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024.

[^0]NOTES: [1] ADDITIONAL CASE INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND AT HTTPS://SITES.GOOGLE.COM/SITE/ROCKWALLPLANNING/DEVELOPMENT/DEVELOPMENT-CASES, AND [2] TO PROVIDE INPUT ON A ZONING OR SPECIFIC USE PERMIT CASE PLEASE CLICK HERE OR CLICK ON THE LINK ABOVE AND USE THE ZONING AND SPECIFIC USE PERMIT INPUT FORM ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE.

## I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Deckard called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Commissioners present were John Womble, Jay Odom, Kyle Thompson, Ross Hustings and Brian Llewelyn. Commissioners absent were Dr Jean Conway. Staff members present were Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller, Senior Planner Henry Lee, Planner Bethany Ross. Planning Technician Angelica Guevara, Planning Coordinator Melanie Zavala, City Engineer Amy Williams, Assistant City Engineer Jonathan Browning and Civil Engineer Madelyn Price.

## II.OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

A representative from the Architectural Review Board gave a brief explanation concerning the agenda items that were discussed at the architectural review board meeting.

## III.PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

## 1. Z2024-003 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Twichell of Michael F. Twichell, LP on behalf of Jim Duggan of NEC John King \& 552, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change and PD Development Plan amending Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) [Ordinance No. 1760] being a 17.3750-acre portion of a larger 405.184-acre Planned Development District situated within the J. Strickland Survey, Abstract No. 187; T. R. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 30; and, J. Simmons Survey, Abstract No. 190, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (BY-OV) District, generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Twichell of Michael F. Twichell, LP on behalf of Jim Duggan of NEC John King \& 552, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change and PD Development Plan amending Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) [Ordinance No. 1760] being a 17.3750-acre portion of a larger 405.184-acre Planned Development District situated within the J. Strickland Survey, Abstract No. 187; T. R. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 30; and, J. Simmons Survey, Abstract No. 190, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (BY-OV) District, generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a summary in regard to the request. A bit of background on the property. It was originally annexed back in 2008 with the rest of the Breezy Hill subdivision. This was done in accordance with a development agreement that was in result of a litigation between the City of Rockwall and the Cambridge company. In accordance with this agreement the City Council established Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) through ordinance number 09-19 on April 20, 2009. In 2014 the district was amended again to reduce the General Retail (GR) acreage from 59 to 33.7 acres to allow the incorporation of phase 9 and part of phase 10 of the Breezy Hill subdivision. The property was again adjusted back in 2016 down to 19.44 acres when the PD was amended by ordinance 16-59 which allowed the remainder of phase 10 Breezy Hill subdivision. Finally, the subject property was then again amended back in 2017 when TX DOT came in and widened FM 552 and this reduced the acreage down to 17.375 acres. Ultimately there was another amendment ordinance 17-60 which is the current zoning for the Breezy Hill subdivision. That was done in 2017 and that amendment affected the residential lots and increased many of the lot sizes in that subdivision. Looking at the current zoning here on our next slide so for the subject property the current zoning stipulates that the subject property be developed in accordance with the land uses and development standards for the general retail district. In addition, about half the property is located within the SH 205 bypass overlay district and would be subject to the upgraded standards for our overlay district with the remainder of the property being outside of those standards. The current ordinance also provides some limitations on land uses. There are about 21 land uses that are strictly prohibited that would currently be allowed in a general retail district, but this PD ordinance prohibits those. In addition, it does add an additional use that being a grocery store with a building area of 130,000 square feet and the reason they added that provision in there is the general retail district requires a specific use permit specifically on any building larger than 25,000 square feet so in this case they were bypassing that SUP requirement as part of the PD. Finally, the language that was incorporated stipulating that the non-residential development be pedestrian oriented and accessible to the adjacent residential subdivision. This being a zoning change staff
did send out notices. Staff mailed out 780 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 feet of the subject property. Since then Staff recieved 37 responses from 31 property owners two of which were in favor of the applicants request 32 of which were opposed one of which indicating both being in favor and opposed. One of which the post office only sent in a corner of it therefore we could not determine whether that was in favor or opposed. Then one of which was from a property owner in the ETJ.

Chairman Deckard asked what would be able to be built by right as of now.
Commissioner Llewelyn asked if lots $1,7,6,5$ and lots 2,3 , and 4 would have to come through with each case and have SUP.
Mike Twichell
3624 Oak Lawn Ave
Dallas, TX
Mr. Twichell came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Deckard asked if the business can have deeds.
Howard Collinger
4152 Mason Ridge
Dallas, TX
Mr. Collinger came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Deckard asked about the fence.
Chairman Deckard Opened the Public Hearing and asked if anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time.

## Bob Wacker

309 Featherstone Dr
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Wacker came forward and expressed his concerns in regards to the request.

## Scott Hefner

735 Pleasant Breeze
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Hefner came forward and expressed his concerns in regards to the request.
Brennan Smith
3323 Ridge cross Drive
Rockwall. TX 75087
Mr. Smith came forward and expressed his concerns in regards to the request.
Mike Twitchell Came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Deckard closed the Pubic Hearing and brought the item back for discussion or action.
Chairman Womble asked about what the zoning would be.
Vice Chairman Womble made a motion to deny Z2024-003. Commissioner Llewelyn seconded the motion to deny which passed by a vote of 4-1. Commissioner Hustings dissenting.

## IV.ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## 2. MIS2024-004 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Discuss and consider a request by Kris Sharp of 5 Sharp Real Estate, LLC for the approval of a Miscellaneous Case for an Exception for a Front Yard Fence in conjunction with an existing non-residential building on a 1.914-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 5, Block A, Sharp Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 46 (PD-46) for Commercial (C) District land uses, addressed as 3301 Springer Lane, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a summary regarding the request. The applicant is requesting approval of an exception for a front yard fence at an existing non-residential building. A little background on this the staff was notified by the building inspections department that the proposed fence had been constructed prior to receiving a building permit. The applicant was then told to stop work on the fence and was informed that they would have to go before the Planning and Zoning Commission for approval. At the time of submittal, the applicant was also charged with the noncompliant fee of $\$ 1000$ for commencing work without a permit. The fence is constructed using rod iron it's 72 inches in height and it's more than $50 \%$ transparent. In addition to the fence the applicant is also proposing to install 2 automatic flight gates and that when reviewing non-residential fences, the UDC states that rod iron fences and decorative metal fences shall not exceed 8 feet in height. In this case the applicants request meets the requirements for an exception for a front fence and does not appear to have a negative impact on any other adjacent property however approval of this request is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Vice-chairman Womble asked if any of the adjacent properties have a front yard fence.
Commissioner Llewellyn made a motion to approve MIS2024-004. Chairman Deckard seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.
3. MIS2024-005 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Discuss and consider a request by Evan Stokes on behalf of Richard Stokes for the approval of a Miscellaneous Case for an Exception for a Front Yard Fence in conjunction with an existing single-family home on a 0.7976 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block B, Highland Acres Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 3185 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided additional details regarding the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a front yard fence. Based on the applicant's fence exhibit the proposed fence shall be constructed using black steel it shall be 48 inches in height and be oriented in horizontal configuration. In addition, the applicant is also proposing to add a double swing gate as part of the request. UDC states that Francis should be $50 \%$ transparent and not exceed 4 feet in height and shall not be an opaque fence. In this case the fence is within the height requirement however the fence does not appear to be $50 \%$ transparent. Staff should point out that there are other existing front yard fences along Ridge Road that are in close proximity to the subject property specifically there is a six-foot non-transparent side yard fence at the property directly north that extends into the required front yard along Ridge Rd. Although staff should note that that fence was constructed under an older version of the UDC and would not be considered in conformance to the code today. However, this applicants request has not appeared to impair or increase visibility of the primary structure but any request requiring an exception for front yard fence are discretionary for the Planning and Zoning commission.

## Evan Stokes

3185 Ridge Road
Rockwall, TX 75032
Mr. Stokes came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Vice-chairman Womble asked about the setbacks.
Commissioner Llewelyn made a motion to approve MIS2024-005. Vice Chairman Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

## 4. SP2024-017 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Chris Beardon of Wades Landing on behalf of CM Fannin I, LP for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for an existing Restaurant on a 0.66 -acre tract of land identified as Lots $1 \& 2$, Block A, Thomas \& Smith Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Downtown (DT) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 308 N. Fannin Street, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a summary regarding the request. This did have to go to the Historic Preservation Advisory Board before they came forward to the Planning and Zoning Commission. HPAB did vote to approve this that is sending a recommendation of approval to you all tonight. The applicant is wanting to add a patio cover in the back that is like the Wells Cattle company which is just a couple doors down. They are redoing the landscaping and then they are updating some of the wall sconces which changes the photometrics a little bit therefore those are the aspects that they're bringing forward tonight. In terms of the Historic Board, they looked at the patio cover and there is a minor waiver for the downtown district standards so that element that comes down from the roof that panel that is a metal panel that it's really an architectural element it's to help screen some of the lighting keeping the patio sound. Then also they'll have roll down covers that'll help screen those as well when they're up but that is considered a minor waiver for the downtown district which you all have the authority to approve. In terms of the landscaping and the photometrics the HPAB did not look at that since it's not part of their purview. Landscaping wise they're going more for a smart scaping element. Drought tolerant lots of rocks. The photometric plan is going to meet our requirements since they'll be oriented downward and shielded not to cause issues with any of the neighboring residential.

## Chris Beardom

308 N Fannin Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Beardom came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.

Chairman Deckard made a motion to approve SP2024-007. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

## V.DISCUSSION ITEMS

These items are for discussion between staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The anticipated Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing and/or action date for the following cases is April 9, 2024.

## 5. Z2024-010 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC on behalf of Zohreh Malek for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks to allow the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership on a 5.9613-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall KIA Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1790 E . IH-30, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided additional details regarding the request. The reason they're coming for the specific use permit is this property was originally approved and constructed just before the specific use permit was required for this property, they are now requesting to expand the showroom by roughly 2000 square feet. Its technically the expansion of a non-conforming use therefore they're coming through to clean it up get their specific use permit and they'll still have to come back through for their site plan process following this, but this is to get the non-conforming land use conforming.

Ann Bratt
150 N Bartlett
Medford, OR
Mrs. Ann came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 6. Z2024-011 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alan Wyatt on behalf of PSB Indemnity Family, LTD PTRN for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to all a structure in excess of 36-feet in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158-acre parcel of land identified as a Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided additional details regarding the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a 60 -foot flagpole and any structure over 36 feet in the scenic overlay district requires a SUP.

## Joe Staton

524 Nakoma Drive
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Staton came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman asked about height flagpole regulations.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 7. Z2024-012 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a summary regarding the request. The pickleball court currently exists today. This was caught through an aerial by staff therefore engaging with the property owner therefore coming in to request a SUP. This also includes the basketball goal and then the lighting as well. Staff has at this has indicated that the lighting needs to be oriented down and shielding.

Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 8. Z2024-013 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Paul Arce of Projects \& Constructions Araque on behalf of Shirley Soto for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.248 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single- Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 340 Evans Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a summary regarding the request. The applicant is requesting approval of an SUP for the purpose of constructing single family home. The proposed home does meet most of the requirements for homes in Planned Development 75 (PD-75).

## Paul Acre

507 Ranger Rd
Rockwall, TX 75032
Mr. Acre came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 9. Z2024-014 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Barbara Lee for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a ten (10) acre tract of land identified as Tract 22-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 628 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a summary regarding the request. Applicant is requesting approval of a zoning change from Agricultural District (AG) to Single- Family 1 (SF-1) for the purpose of establishing two additional lots to construct 2 separate homes for family members.

Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## Z2024-015 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for a 15.561 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a summary regarding the request. The proposed zoning is Single- Family Estate 1.5 District (SFE1.5) which is low density residential and does meet the future land use map and the comprehensive plan.

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Joyce came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## P2024-009 (RYAN MILLER)

Discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-8, Block A, People Tract Addition being a 15.561-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller advised that this item will go to parks board on April $2^{\text {nd }}$ therefore it will come back on consent Agenda on April 9th

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Joyce came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## P2024-011 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LCC for the approval of a Final Plat for the Terraces Subdivision consisting of 82 single-family residential lots on a 21.648 -acre tract of land being identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee advised that this item will go to parks board on April $2^{\text {nd }}$ therefore it will come back on consent Agenda on April $9^{\text {th }}$
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
13. SP2024-006 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Salvador Salcedo for the approval of a Site Plan for an Office/Warehouse Building on a 0.45- acre parcel of land identified as Lot 10, Block A, Municipal Industrial Park Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, being zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, addressed as 855 Whitmore Drive, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. The applicant is requesting an approval of a site plan for the office warehouse building. They ultimately were denied by the Planning and Zoning commission before. They had not provided the appropriate compensatory measures or met the request by the Architecture Review Board, so they have returned. Their building materials and their articulation all meet the requirements of the UDC. The only thing that's different now is the door it will require a variance request. The ARB chairman went over this case they did have several things they wanted to do with this building. They wanted some glass windows up to help break up that top part of the building they wanted a cover over the main entry as well as over this garage.

Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 14. SP2024-008 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)

Discuss and consider a request by Dewayne Zinn of Cross Engineering Consultants, Inc. on behalf of Chad Dubose of JCDB Goliad Holdings, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for General Retail Building on a 0.7621 -acre portion of a larger 2.542 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1 , Block A, Pecan Valley Retail Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 65 (PD-65) for General Retail (GR) District, situated within the North SH-205 Overlay (N. SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 150 Pecan Valley Drive, and take any action necessary.

Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a summary regarding the request. The applicant had withdrawn last December to allow more time to work on some issues with the site. However, we do have a couple of variances roof design standards, primary articulation, lighting standards height of dumpster enclosure and light at non-residential property line. ARB recommended approval of the building elevations.

Dwayne Zinn
1720 West Virginia St
McKinney, TX
Mr. Zinn came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Dan Sopranzi
10300 N Central Expwy
Dallas, TX 75231
Mr. Sopranzi came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## SP2024-009 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Justin Toon of Industrial Reserve on behalf Reserve Capital - Rockwall Industrial SPE, LLC for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Warehouse/Distribution Center on an 18.48-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 1, 1-3 \& 1-7 of the J. M. Allen Survey, Abstract No. 2, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 1725 SH-276, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. The applicant is requesting a variance to the berm.

## Easton Turner

12404 Park Central Dr
Dallas, TX
Jose Garcia
12404 Park Central Dr
Dallas, TX
Mr. Garcia and Mr. Turner came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 16. SP2024-010 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Renee Ward, PE of Weir \& Associates, Inc. on behalf of Conor Keilty, AIA of Structured REA-Rockwall Land, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Golf Driving Range on an 9.942-acre tract of land being portions of a larger 18.131-acre tract of land and a 12.868-acre tract of land identified as Tract 22 \& 22-2 of the R. Irvine Survey, Abstract No. 120, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, generally located near the terminus of Fit Sport Life Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a summary regarding the request. ARB did recommend approval and staff is working through comments at this point the variances were to the material requirements and to the articulation.

## Renee Ward

## 2201 E Lamar Blvd.

Arlington, TX 76006
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 17. SP2024-011 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Bobby Pross of Pross Design Group on behalf of Jaymie Bullard of USEF Rockwall Owner, LLC for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Warehouse/Distribution Facility on a 12.101-acre tract of land identified as Lot 4, Block A, Rockwall Park 30 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, generally located on the southside of the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Frontage Road east of the intersection of Corporate Crossing and $\mathrm{IH}-30$, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. Applicant is requesting an amended site plan to allow for silos on the property. Currently showing the six proposed silos today with potential of six more in the future. The top elevation shows the existing screen wall that's there that's roughly $121 / 2$ feet tall or 12 feet tall. ARB did ask that the screening of the wall be raised to the height of the building. ARB also agreed if along the detention if they can add shrubs along this edge. Staff also recommended Estern Red Cedars just goes that would grow into a taller thicker vegetative screen as well to help not only hide it but if that wall is raised it'll help break up that that flat façade.

Chairman Deckard asked what material they are proposing.
Jaymie Bullard
3030 LBJ Freeway
Dallas, TX 75234
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
18. SP2024-012 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Frank Conselman for the approval of a Site Plan for an Industrial/Warehouse Building on a 6.68-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 21 \& 21-01 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the Airport Overlay (AP-OV) District, addressed as 1735 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross provided a summary regarding the request. The applicant is requesting a Site Plan Approval for Industrial warehouse. Staff has identified 7 Variances and are working through comments.

## Jeff Carroll

750 E Interstate 30
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Carroll came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.

## 19. SP2024-013 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Margie Verhagen of Meals on Wheels for the approval of a Site Plan for a facility for a Social Service Provider (i.e. Meals on Wheels) on an 6.211-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the Airport Overlay (APOV) District, addressed as 1780 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross provided a summary regarding the request. Staff has identified 4 exceptions and are working through comments. ARB did look at this case and recommended approval.

## Jeff Carroll

750 E Interstate 30
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Carroll came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
20. SP2024-014 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Dnyanada Nevgi of SRV Land Building and Real Estate, LLC on behalf of Naomi Freeman of Buffalo Country Properties, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Building and Daycare Facility on a 2.649 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 13 of the Rockwall Business Park East Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, generally located on the southside of E. Ralph Hall Parkway west of the intersection of E. Ralph Hall Parkway and S. Goliad Street [SH-205], and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. This came in about 4 years ago and engineering plans expired. Staff has identified 2 exceptions primary and secondary building articulation.

Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
21. SP2024-015 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Kevin Patel of Triangle Engineering on behalf of Conor Keilty of Structured REA-Rockwall Land LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales (i.e. 7/11) on a 1.95-acre portion of a larger 12.868-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 6, Block B, Fit Sport Life Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Corporate Crossing and Fit Sport Life Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. Staff has identified 7 exceptions and variances and working through comments.

## Kevin Patel

1782 W. McDermott Dr
Allen, TX 75013
Mr. Patel came forward and provided additional details regarding the request.
Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
22. SP2024-016 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LCC for the approval of a Site Plan for the Terraces Subdivision consisting of 82 single-family residential lots on a 21.648 -acre tract of land being identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting a site plan. Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a summary regarding the request. The applicant is requesting a site plan. This is phase 2 of the Terraces. Staff is working through comments.

Chairman Deckard advised that this item will go before the commission for discussion or action on April 9, 2024.
23. Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2024-006: Final Plat for Lots 1, 2, 3, Block A, George Morton Estates Addition (APPROVED)
- Z2024-003: PD Development Plan and Amendment to Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) (POSTPONED TO THE APRIL 1, 2024 CITY COUNCIL MEETING)
- Z2024-006: Text Amendment to the UDC and Municipal Code of Ordinances for Short-Term Rentals (1st READING; APPROVED)
- Z2024-008: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for 302 E. Bourn Street (POSTPONED TO THE APRIL 15, 2024 CITY COUNCIL MEETING)
- Z2024-009: Zoning Change (AG to SFE-1.5 and LI) for 172 Zollner Road (15T READING; DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE)

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced cases at the City Council meeting.

## VI.ADJOURNMENT

## Chairman Deckard adjourned the meeting at 8:23PM

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024.

Derek Deckard, Chairman

## Attest:

Melanie Zavala, Planning Coordinator

521 The Capital Improvement Advisory Commiltee Meeting (CIAC) will be meeting directly after the Planning and Zoning Commission Work Session

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties
P2024-009; Final Plat for Lots 1-8, Block L, Peoples Tract Addition

## SUMMARY

Consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-8, Block A, Peoples Tract Addition being a 15.561-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

## PLAT INFORMATION

$\square$ Purpose. The applicant is requesting the approval of a Final Plat for a 15.561 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) for the purpose of establishing eight (8) single-family residential lots (i.e. Lots 1-8, Block A, Peoples Tract Addition). Staff should note that the applicant has concurrently submitted a zoning change [Case No. Z2024-015] for the subject property proposing to change the zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District. As a condition of approval for this subdivision plat, this zoning case would need to be approved. This means that if the subdivision plat is approved by the City Council, the plat will not be considered fully approved and be able to be recorded with Rockwall County until after the anticipated second reading of the proposed zoning ordinance on May 6, 2024. Staff should note that if the City Council denies this zoning case, the subsequent conditional approval of this subdivision plat would not be valid.

V Background. The subject property was annexed into the City of Rockwall on January 4, 2010 by Ordinance No. 10-01 [Case No. A2009-005]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On December 6, 2021, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 21-58 [Case No. Z2021-047; S-265] allowing an Animal Shelter or Loafing Shed on a portion of the subject property (i.e. 555 Cornelis Road). On September 6, 2022 a portion of Tracts $10-02,10-03,25-1, \& 26$ of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72 were rezoned to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Case No. Z2022-038; Ordinance No. 22-47] for the purpose of incorporating this land into the Terraces Subdivision. The remainder of the subject property remained unchanged and is zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

च Parks Board. On April 2, 2024, the Parks and Recreation Board reviewed the Final Plat and made the following recommendations concerning the proposed subdivision:
(1) The property owner shall pay Pro-Rata Equipment fees of $\$ 3,324.10$ (i.e. $\$ 664.82 \times 5$ Lots).
(2) The property owner shall pay Cash in Lieu of Land fees of $\$ 3,554.95$ (i.e. $\$ 710.99 \times 5$ Lots).
$\boxtimes$ Conformance to the Subdivision Ordinance. The surveyor has completed the majority of the technical revisions requested by staff, and this plat -- conforming to the requirements for plats as stipulated by the Subdivision Ordinance in the Municipal Code of Ordinances -- is recommended for conditional approval pending the completion of final technical modifications, submittal requirements, and conformance to the items listed in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo.

V Conditions of Approval. Conditional approval of this Final Plat by the City Council shall constitute approval subject to the conditions stipulated in the Conditions of Approval section below.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-8, Block A, Peoples Tract Addition, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All technical comments from City Staff (i.e. Engineering, Planning and Fire Department) shall be addressed prior to release of the civil engineering plans; and,
(2) The development shall adhere to the Parks and Recreation Department's recommendations as outlined above in this case memo; and,
(3) If approved by the City Council, this subdivision plat will be deemed to be conditionally approved until after the approval of the proposed zoning change [Case No. Z2024-015]. If the City Council denies the proposed zoning change, this subdivision plat will be considered to be denied and shall not be filed with Rockwall County; and,
(4) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Final Plat shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

## PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

```
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
\square MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\squarePRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
    FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
    REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) '
    AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
\square PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
\square SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) '
\square AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)
```


## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1 \& 2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
VARIANCE REQUESTISPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOIES:
1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WLLL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTON WITHOUT OR NOT $\operatorname{N}$ COMPLANCE TO AN APPROVED BULLDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS
333 Cornelius Road
SUBDIVISION M.B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 LOT BLOCK
general location Approximately 1250 ft east of the intersection John King \& FM 1141 South of 1141
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION IPLEASE PRINT]


OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGNAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Mike Peoples | $\square$ APPLICANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Mike Peoples | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | PO Box 41 | ADDRESS | 767 Justin Road |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | 512-965-6280 |
| E-MAIL |  | E-MAIL | ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com |
| NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED] <br> before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Mike peoplas [owner] The undersigne STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING: |  |  |  |
| "I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT IAM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF \$ 611,22$\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLCATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THECTY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE SH2 $\qquad$ Re day OF march 2024. BY SIGNING THIS APPLCATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IIE. CTTY) IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITED IN CONUUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION." |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

## FIELD NOTE DESCRIPTION

### 15.561 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract Number 72 Rockwall County, Texas and being portions of those tracts of land conveyed to Mike Peoples, according to the documents filed of record in Volume 1679, Page 190, Volume 1679, Page 196, Volume 173, Page 752 and Volume 184, Page 522, Deed Records Rockwall County, Texas (D.R.R.C.T.) and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for the northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to the City of Rockwall, Texas according to the document filed of record in Volume 58, Page 191, (D.R.R.C.T.) same being the northwest corner of the tract of land described herein;

THENCE, North 89degrees 31minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 485.01 feet to a point for a corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 00degrees 28minutes 35seconds West, a distance of 10.64 feet to a point for a corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 89degrees 17 minutes 06 seconds East, a distance of 1058.46 feet to a point for the northwest corner of that tract of land conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to the document filed of record in Volume 188, Page 357 (D.R.R.C.T.) and being the common northeast corner of this tract;

THENCE, South 00degrees 32minutes 31seconds East, with the west line of said Donnie Peoples tract, same being common with the east line of this tract, a distance of 458.47 feet to a point for the southeast corner of this tract;

THENCE, South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, leaving said common line, a distance of 1265.98 feet to a point for a corner of this tract and being the beginning of a curve to the right, having a central angle of $49^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 150.00 feet, and a long chord bearing and distance of North 65degrees 54minutes 48seconds West, 125.02 feet;

THENCE, with said curve to the right an arc distance of 128.96 feet to a point for a corner of this tract and the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the left, having a central angle of $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450.00 feet, and a long chord bearing and distance of North 54degrees 21minutes 52seconds West, 203.68 feet;

THENCE, with said curve to the left an arc distance of 205.46 feet to a point in the east line of Terraces Phase One, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the plat filed of record in Document Number 20240000000459 Plat Records Rockwall County, Texas for the most westerly southwest corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 01degrees 28minutes 22seconds West, with said east line, a distance of 63.06 feet to a point for the northeast corner of said addition, same being the common southeast corner of the above-mentioned City of Rockwall tract and a common corner of this tract;

THENCE North 00degrees 06minutes 44seconds West, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract and common west line of this tract, a distance of 209.82 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 677,846 square feet or 15.561 acres of land.
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FINAL PLAT
LOTS 1-8, BLOCK A PEOPLES TRACT

ADDITION
15.561 ACRES OR 677,846 SQ. FT.

$$
8 \text { SINGLE FAMIYY LOTS }
$$

SItUATED IN THE
wimam dalton Survey, abstract no. 72 CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS P2024-009

March 28, 2024
SHEET 1 OF 2

Engineer/Surveyor:
704 Central Parkway East Suite.
Plano, Texas 75074
Plano, Texas 75074
Phone: $972-201-3100$ Contact:Tom Dayton, PE


JOHNSON VOLK
CONSULTING


LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
BEING a tract of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract Number 72 Rockwall county, Texas and being portions of those tracts of land conveyed to Mike Peoples, according
to the documents filed of record in Volume 1679, Page 190, Volume 1679, Page 196, Volume 173 , Page 752 and Volume 184 , Page 522 , Deed, Records Rockwall County, Texas (D.R.R.C.T.)
and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for the northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to the City Rockwall, Texas according to the document filed of record in Volume 58, Page 191,
(D.R.R.C.T.) same being the northwest corner of the tract of land described herein;
THENCE, North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 485.01 feet to a point for a corner of this tract;
THENCE, North 00 degrees 28 minutes 35 seconds West, a distance of 10.64 feet to a point
for a corner of this tract;
THENCE, North 89 degrees 17 minutes 06 seconds East, a distance of 1058.46 feet to a
point for the northwest corner of that tract of land conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to point dor the northwest corner of that tract of ogn conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to
the document ifed of record in Volume 188, Page 357 (D.R.R.C.T.) ond being the common this tract

THENCE, South 00 degrees 32 minutes 31 seconds East, with the west line of said Donnie
Peooples tract, same being common with the east line of this tract, $a$ distance of 458.47 feet Peoples tract, same being common with the east
to a point for the southeast corner of this tract;
THENCE, South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, leaving said common line, a distance


THENCE, with said curve to the right an arc distance of 128.96 feet to a point for a corner of this, tract and the begining of a non-tangent curve to the left, having a central angle of $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450.00 feet, and a long
degrees 21 minutes 52 seconds West, 203.68 feet;
THENCE, with said curve to the left an arc distance of 205.46 feet to a point in the east Tine of Terraces Phase One, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the plat filed of record in Document Number 20240000000459 Plat Records
Rockwall County, Texas for the most westerly southwest corner of this tract: Tence, Noth 01
THENCE, North 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds West, with said east line, a distance of 63.06 feet to a point for the northeast corner of said addition, same being the common
southeast corner of the above-mentioned City of Rockwall tract ond a common corner of this tract;
THENCE North 00 degrees 06 minutes 44 seconds West, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract and common west line of this tract, a distance of 209.82 feet to the POIN

## OWNER'S CERTIFICATION:

now therefore, know all men by these presents:
$\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{ll}\text { STATE OF TEAS } \\ \text { COUNTY OF RACKWALL } \\ 8\end{array} & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$
SURVEYOR'S CERTFICATE:
Now, THEREFORE KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS.


STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF COLIN
8

Siven under my hond and seol of office, this ___ day of ______ 2024

Notery public for and in the State of Texac ----


Until on escrow deposit, sufficient to pay for the cost of such improvements, os determined by the city's
engineer ond /or city odministrotor, computed on o private commercial rote bosis, hos been mode with the city secretary, occompanied by on arreement signed by the developer and/or owner, authorizing the city to moke
such improvements ot prevoiling private commercial rotes, or hove the some mode by acontroctor ond pay the somemeutt of the escrow deposit, should the doveloper ond on ouner foil to refuse to instoll the required

 further ocknowedge that the dedications ond/or exactions mode herein are proportional to the impoct of the


Signoture
Name
Title
STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF DALLAS
$\$$

Siven under my hand ond seal of office, this _-_ day $\qquad$ . 2024.

FINAL PLAT

| Owner: | Developer: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mike Peoples | Michael Joyce Properties |
| P.O. Box 41 | 67 Justin Rd |
| Rockwall, Texas 75087 | Rockwall, Texas 75087 |
|  | Phone: $512-965-6280$ |

Engineer/Surveyor:
704 Central Parkway East, Suite. 1200 entral Parkway East, Su
Plano, Texas 75074
Pho Contact: Tom Dayton, PE

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties
P2024-011; Final Plat for Phase 2 of the Terraces Subdivision

## SUMMARY

Consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LLC for the approval of a Final Plat for the Terraces Subdivision consisting of 82 single-family residential lots on a 21.648 -acre tract of land being identified as a portion of Tracts $10-02,10-03,25-1 \& 26$ of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

## PLAT INFORMATION

$\square$ Purpose. The applicant is requesting the approval of a Final Plat for a 21.648 -acre tract of land (i.e. a portion of Tracts 10 02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) for the purpose of establishing Phase 2 of the Terraces Subdivision, which will consist of 82 single-family residential lots and three (3) open space lots (i.e. Lots 15-62, Block B; Lots 8-23, Block J; Lots 1-22, Block K, Terraces Phase 2 Subdivision). Staff should note that the applicant has concurrently submitted a PD Site Plan [Case No. SP2024-016] in accordance with the procedures contained within the Planned Development District Ordinance.

च Background. The subject property was annexed on March 16, 1998 by Ordinance No. 98-10 [Case No. A1998-001]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On April 18, 2022, the City Council approved a zoning change [Case No. Z2022-010; Ordinance No. 22-22] from Agricultural (AG) District to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses for Phase 1 of the Terraces Subdivision. On May 16, 2022, the City Council approved a preliminary plat [Case No. P2022-015] and a master plat [Case No. P2022-016] for Phase 1 of the Terraces Subdivision. On September 16, 2022, the City Council approved a zoning change [Case No. Z2022-038; Ordinance No. 22-37] to amend Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) to include the subject property. On October 17, 2022, the City Council approved a preliminary plat [Case No. P2022-049] and a master plat [Case No. P2022-048] for the subject property.

च Parks Board. On April 2, 2024, the Parks and Recreation Board reviewed the Final Plat and made the following recommendations concerning the proposed subdivision:
(1) The property owner shall pay Pro-Rata Equipment fees of $\$ 53,152.40$ (i.e. $\$ 648.20 x$ 82Lots).
(2) The property owner shall pay Cash in Lieu of Land fees of $\$ 56,634.94$ (i.e. $\$ 690.67 x 82$ Lots).
(3) The park fees will be used to provide amenity to the four (4) acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) owned by the City of Rockwall north of the subject property in accordance with Ordinance No. 22-37 (i.e. Planned Development District 93 [PD-93]). The property owner shall provide receipts to the Director of Parks and Recreation showing that the amenities provided are equal to or greater than the fees assessed.

V Conformance to the Subdivision Ordinance. The surveyor has completed the majority of the technical revisions requested by staff, and this plat -- conforming to the requirements for plats as stipulated by the Subdivision Ordinance in the Municipal Code of Ordinances -- - is recommended for conditional approval pending the completion of final technical
modifications, submittal requirements, and conformance to the items listed in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo.

- Conditions of Approval. Conditional approval of this Final Plat by the City Council shall constitute approval subject to the conditions stipulated in the Conditions of Approval section below.


## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of a Final Plat for Phase 2 of the Terraces Subdivision, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All technical comments from City Staff (i.e. Engineering, Planning and Fire Department) shall be addressed prior to release of the civil engineering plans; and,
(2) The development shall adhere to the Parks and Recreation Department's recommendations as outlined above in this case memo; and,
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Final Plat shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAPF USE OHLY
PLANHNG a zonmo case mo. P2024-811
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS MOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CTYYONTH THE FLANNANG DRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

ORREGTOR OF PLANHAO:
GTY ENGMEER:

PLATHNG APPLICATTON FEES:

- MASTER PLAT ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE)
$\square$ PRELIMMARY PLAT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
II FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) :
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE)
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$450.00)
$\square$ PLAT RENSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
STE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN ( $\$ 250.00$ + $\$ 20.00$ ACRE):
$\square$ AMENDED STE PLANELEVATIONSHANDSCAPNG PLAN (\$100.00)


## ZONAN APFLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE)
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMTT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE -2
$\square$ PD DEVELOPNENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{\prime}$
OTHER APPL/CATION FEES:
CTREE REMOVAL ( $\$ 75.00$ )
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUESTISPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ( $\$ 100.00$ ):

## Mans:

FW DETEAMNNG THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE DMCT ACREAGE MFENMUTTPLYNG BY THE PER ACRE MHONT. FOR FECUESTS OH LESS THAN OHE ACRE, ROUNDUP TO OHE (I) ACRE
 INMOLVES GONSTRUCTION WTHOUT OR NOT I COMPLANCE TO AN APPROVED BUHLDNG ревя界.

PROPERTY INFORMATION PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS
2031 Terraces Blvd, Rockwall, TX 75087
SUBDIVIION ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01 LOT BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION Approximately 1250 feet east of the intersection John King \& FM 1141 South of 1141
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION PLEASE PRNT]

CURRENT ZONING PD-93
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE 21.648 LOTS [CURRENT] 82 LOTS [PROPOSED]

IV SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKNG THS BOX YOU ACKHOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CTV MO LONGER HAS FLEXIBLTY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FALLURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMAENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAA WHL RESULTIN THE DENLAL OF YOUR CASE.

OWNERIAPPLICANTIAGENT INFORMATION IPLEASE PRWTCHECK THE PRMARY CONTACTORIGIMNL SIGMATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| - OWNER | TM Terraces, LLC | -1apPLCANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Bret Pedigo | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | 4416 W. Lovers Lane, Suite 200 | ADDRESS | 767 Justin Road |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas, TX 76209 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall. TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | 512-965-6280 |
| E-MALL |  | E-MALL | ryan@michaslioycaproperties.com |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQURED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OHNERT THE LNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATON ON THIS APPLLCATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
-I MEREBY GERTIFY THATIAM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THS APPLCATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBWITTED HEREN IS TRUE AND CORRECT, ANQ THE APPUCATION FEE CF GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THS THE 15 DHY OF M ACCM 2024. OWNER'S SIGMATURE
$\qquad$


## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to
Michael L. . Peoples, os recorded in Volume 173, Page 752, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of those tracts of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded
in Volume 184, Page 522 and Volume 1679, Page 190 and Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed in Volume 184, Page 522 and Volume 1679, Page 190 and Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed
Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being and being more particularly described as follows:

COMMENINCING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for the common southeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Donnie Peoples, as recorded in Volume 188, Page 357, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and northeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed
to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, to Cain Family Partners
Rockwall County, Texas;
THENCE South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, with the common south line of said Donnie Peoples tract and north line of said Cain Fomily Partnership Ltd. tract, a distance of
488.10 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the POIN OF BEGINNING of the tract of land herein described, said point being the common southwest corner of said Donnie Peoples tract and southeast corner of eoples tract recorde

THENCE South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, with the north line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract, a distance of $1,531.48$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow last
plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of said Cain Family
Partnership Ltd. tract and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volum 1679, Page 196;
THENCE North 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds West, a distance of 566.49 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;
THENCE North 40 degrees 18 minutes 38 seconds East, a distance of 50.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a
non-tangent curve to the left having a central angle of 14 degrees 15 minutes 57 seconds, a radius of 325.00 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 56 degrees 49 minutes 20
seconds West, 80.71 feet;

THENCE Northwesterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 80.92 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for a corner;
THENCE North 26 degrees 02 minutes 41 seconds East, a distance of 125.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for a corner at the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the right having a central angle of 22 degrees 40 minutes 14 seconds,
a radius of 450.00 feet and a chord bearing and distonce of South 52 degrees 37 minutes 12 seconds East, 176.89 feet,

THENCE Southeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 128.96 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of eeverse curve to the left having a central angle of 49 degrees 15 minutes 27 seconds,
radius of 150.00 feet and a chord bearing and distance of South 65 degrees 54 minutes 48 seconds East, 125.02 feet;
THENCE North 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds East, a distance of $1,265.98$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC", set for corner in the common east line of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 184, Page 522 and west line of said Donnie Peoples tract;

THENCE South 00 degrees 32 minutes 31 seconds East, with said common line, a distance 600.00 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 21.648 acres of land more or less.

## OWNER'S CERTIFICATION:

now therefore, know all men by these presents:



 signed this plot. I (we) understand
Surposes stoded ond for ther untual
(we) olso understond the following:

1. No buildings shall be constructed or ploced upon, over, or ocross the utility eosements os described herein




2. The developer ond subdivision engineer shall




Until on escrow deposit, sufficient to pay for the cost of such improvements, os determined by the iity's
engineer ond/or city odministrotor, computed on o private commerciol rote bosis, has been mode with the city secretary, occomponied by on ogreement signed by the developer and/or owner, outhorizing the city to moke




(we) further acknowledge that the dedications ond/ or exactions made herein ore proportional to the impact
of the subdivison upon the public services required in order thot the development will comport with the


## Signoture

Name
Title

\section*{| STATE OF TEXAS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| COUNTY OF DALLAS | $\S$ |}


Given under my hand ond seal of office, this ___ day of ________-_, 2024

## SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

now, therefore know all men by these presents.
 PRELMINARY, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE ROT BE USED OR MEWED OR RELLED UPON AS
A FINL SUPVEY DOUMENT.

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF COUIN
8

## 

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
fionning $\&$ Zōning Commission, Chairiperson
$\overline{\text { Dote }}$
 This aporovol shall beinvolid ulless the opprovede plat for such ododition is recordede in the office of the
County cleerk of Rocknol, county. Texss, within one hundered eighty (180) doys from soid dote of TNEESS OUR HANOS, this ___ doy of _-_-_, 2024.

## TO:

FROM:
DATE:
SUBJECT:

Planning and Zoning Commission
Henry Lee, Senior Planner
April 9, 2024
SP2024-016; PD Site Plan for the Terraces Phase 2 Subdivision

The applicant, Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties -- on behalf of Bret Pedigo of TM Terraces, LLC. --, is requesting the approval of a Site Plan for Phase 2 of the Terraces Subdivision. The subject property is a 21.648 -acre tract of land (i.e. a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) generally located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141. Concurrently with this Site Plan, the applicant has submitted a Final Plat [Case No. P2024-011] for Phase 2 of the subdivision.

The subject property is zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-47] for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Based on this Planned Development District, the proposed subdivision will consist of 263 single-family lots and be composed of five (5) lot types (i.e. [Type A Lots] 9, 100' x 130' lots; [Type B Lots] 26, $82^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ ' lots; [Type C Lots] 86 , $72^{\prime} \times 110$ lots; [Type D] 34, 72' x 110'; [Type E] 108, 62' x 110' lots. On April 17, 2023, the City Council approved a final plat [Case No. P2022-049] for Phase 1 of the Terraces Subdivision. In accordance with the Concept Plan contained within Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-47], Phase 1 included 181 single-family lots. Concurrently with this Site Plan, the applicant has submitted a Final Plat [Case No. P2024-011] for the remaining 82 single-family lots contained within Phase 2 of the subdivision. Below are the density and dimensional requirements that the Terraces Subdivision is subject to per the Ordinance No. 22-47:

## TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $100{ }^{\prime}$ | 82' | 72' | 72' | $6{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 130' | 120' | 115' | 110' | 110' |
| Minimum Lot Area | 13,000 SF | 10,000 SF | 9,000 SF | 8,400 SF | 7,200 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (5) \& (6) | 25 | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 15 | 6 ' | 6 ' | 6 ' | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2),(5) ~ \& ~(7) ~}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | 15 | $15^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height (3) | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4) | $25^{\prime}$ | 20' | $20^{\prime}$ | 20' | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,400 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,000 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 45' | 45' | 45' | $65^{\prime}$ | 65 |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
3: The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6. J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.

7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

In accordance with the PD Site Plan requirements outlined within the PD Ordinance [Ordinance No. 22-47] the applicant has submitted a site plan, landscape plan, and hardscape plan. The proposed Site Plan and Landscape Plan appear to conform to all requirements stipulated by Ordinance No. 22-47. The Hardscape Plan shows the required sidewalks and a fence exhibit that conform to the requirements stipulated by Ordinance No. 22-47. Since the request generally conforms to the requirements of Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-47] and the Unified Development Code (UDC), this case is being placed on the consent agenda. Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions concerning the applicant's request, staff and the applicant will be available at the April 9, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

DEVELOP InENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE OI

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE HO.
NOTE THE APPLIGATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BYTHE CITVUTL THE PLANHNG DHECTOR AND CTY ENGINEER HAVE SGMED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNMO:
CTTY EWGJMEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVEL OPMENT REQUEST /SELECT ONLY ONE BOX::

```
PLATTNO APPLICATION FEES:
\square MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square PRELMMNARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE)
\square FINAL PLAT ($300.00+$20.00 ACRE):
\squareREPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE)'
\squareAMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ($150.00)
\square PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)
STFE PLAN APPLICATKON FEES:
|ITEPLAN ($250.00 +$20.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square AMENDED SITE PLANELEVATHNSLANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)
```


## ZONHHG APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00$ + $\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $) 182$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ( $\$ 200.000+\$ 15.00$ ACRE)
OTHER APPL/CATION FEES:

- TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
- VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)$
motes:
W DETERMNWG THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EACT ACREAGE WHEN MURTPLYMVG EY THE PER ACRE AHOUNT. FCR REOUESTS ON LESS THAN OHE ACCE, RONND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE $\therefore$ A \$tMo FEE WOL BE ADDED TO THE APPLCATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT ANOVES COASTRUCTION YATHOUT OR NOT W COMPLAHLEE TO AM APFROVEO BULOMN PERNIT.


## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEAEE PRNTT

ADDRES 2031 Terraces Blwd, Rockwall, TX 75087
SUBDVISION ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01 LOT BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION Approximately 1250 fetet east of the intersection John King \& FM 1141 South of 1141 ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRNT]

CURRENT ZONING PD-93
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE
21.648

LOTS [CURRENT]

82
PROPOSED USE

LOTS PRROPOSEDJ
$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKNG THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBRITY WTH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FALLURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMAKENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WIL RESLHTINTKE DENUL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEAEE PRNTCHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTYORIGMML SIGMATURES ARE REQURED]

| - OWNER | TM Terraces, LLC | $\square$ APPLICANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Bret Pedigo | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | 4416 W. Lovers Lane, Suite 200 | ADDRESS | 767 Justh Road |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas, TX 76209 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | 512-965-6280 |
| E-MAL |  | E-MAIL | ryan@michaorijycaproperties.com |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSKGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED
FPCA L. PEDICO 0 [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE NFORMATION ON THSS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.









kNoll trail




| PLANT LIST - TREES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KEY | Estimated QUANTITY | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | SIZE | SPACING | REmarks |
| ${ }^{10}$ | 5 | Lıve оak | Uercus tigginava | $4{ }^{\text {4 Caliper }}$ | as shown |  |
| so | 3 | shumard оак | Qubrcus shmarali | $4{ }^{\text {4 Caliper }}$ | us shown | Cita |
| ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ | 3 | cmaram | uinus crassifoua | ${ }^{4}$ C calper | as shown | ( |
| ${ }^{\text {кв }}$ | 6 | texas redbud |  | ${ }^{2 \prime}$ Conliper | ${ }^{15} 5$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {pw }}$ | 4 | Desert wiliow | Chulopis linearis | $2{ }^{\text {2 Caliper }}$ | as shown |  |
|  | 28,47 | Conmon bernuta grass | crnodon daction |  | soum sod | minmum low\% Coverage all Areas show |

## $\frac{\text { INSPECTIONS: }}{1 / 2}$














$\frac{\text { IRRIGATION STANDARDS }}{\text { RINTHANESTO THESE }}$






 $\frac{\text { MAINTENANCE STANDARDS }}{\text { LATE }}$






- Transphanting of any trees.








(1)

TYPICAL WOOD EENCE AND COLUMN BY BULDER
einevatión


2- TYPICAL ORNAMENTAL METALEENCE BY BULLDER



CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING MEMORANDUM
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

| TO: | Planning and Zoning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE: | April 9,2024 |
| APPLICANT: | Marisol Ortiz |
| SUBJECT: | Z2024-008; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 302 |
|  | E. Bourn Street |

On April 2, 2024, the applicant -- Marisol Ortiz -- sent an email to staff requesting to withdraw Case No. Z2024-008. According to Subsection 01.03, Application Withdrawal, of the Article 11, Development Application and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(i)f an application requires notice to be published in the newspaper and/or notifications of a public hearing sent to affected property owners, such request for withdrawal of an application must be placed on the public hearing agenda and acted upon by the applicable body." This means that the Planning and Zoning Commission will need to vote on the applicant's request to withdraw. Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions, staff will be available at the April 9, 2024 meeting.

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Mark Fernandez; Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC
Z2024-010; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Expansion of an Existing Motor Vehicle Dealership 1790 E. IH-30

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC on behalf of Zohreh Malek for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks to allow the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership on a 5.9613-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall KIA Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1790 E . IH-30, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on December 3, 1985 by Ordinance No. 85-69 (Case No. A1985-002). At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. The subject property remained zoned Agricultural (AG) District until June 5, 2006 when the City Council approved Ordinance No. 06-19 (Case No. Z2006-007) changing the zoning to a Light Industrial (LI) District. On June 12, 2007, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan and preliminary plat (Case No. SP2007-013; Case No. P2007-014) to allow the construction of a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks, and on June 18, 2007, the City Council approved the preliminary plat and denied a variance to the cross-access requirements of the IH-30 Overlay District. On February 18, 2008, the City Council approved a final plat (Case No P2008-005) that establish the subject property as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall KIA Addition.

Staff should note that all New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks are required to receive a Specific Use Permit (SUP) within the Light Industrial (LI) District. In this case, before 2008 the Unified Development Code (UDC) did not require New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks to obtain a SUP. Given that the New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks on the subject property began the development process before the Unified Development Code (UDC) changed, their land use was considered to be vested or legally conforming after the change was made to require the SUP. Recently, the applicant approached staff about increasing the size of the New Motor Vehicle Dealership. According to Section 04, Board of Adjustments, of Article 02, Development Review Authority, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), to expand a non-conforming land use the applicant could either [1] submit a request to the Board of Adjustments (BOA) requesting approval of the expansion, or [2] submit a development application for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in order to bring the land use into conformance with the current Unified Development Code (UDC). In this case, the applicant opted to request the Specific Use Permit (SUP).

## PURPOSE

The applicant -- Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC -- is requesting approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow for the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks, and Major Auto Repair Garage as an accessory land use in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as $1790 \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{IH}-30$ and is currently being used as a Motor Vehicle Dealership (i.e. Southwest Kia of Rockwall), with a Major Automotive Repair Garage as an accessory use. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property are the eastbound frontage roads for $\mathrm{IH}-30$, followed by the main lanes for $\mathrm{IH}-30$, and the intersection of Conveyor Street and the westbound frontage road. On the west side of Conveyor Street are two (2) parcels of land (i.e. part of Lot 1, Block C, Rockwall Commercial Park Addition; Tract 5-1 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134) developed with a Pawn Shop (i.e. Rockwall Pawn) and an Office/Warehouse (i.e. Firehouse Heating and Air). Both properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. East of Conveyor Street are two (2) parcels of land (i.e. two (2) parts of Lot 1, Block D, Rockwall Commercial Park Addition) zoned Commercial (C) District. One (1) property is developed with a Building and Landscape Material Supply Company (i.e. A-1) followed by a vacant parcel.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a 43.237-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Stream 549 Addition) developed with a $\sim 301,120$ SF Warehouse/Distribution Center. Beyond this is an 8.482 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 8, Block C, Rockwall Technology Park Addition) developed with a Warehouse/Distribution Center. Both properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District.

East: Directly east of the subject property are two (2) tracts of land (i.e. Tracts 9-01 \& 9-10 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134) developed with Light Industrial (LI) land uses (i.e. Auto Auction; Mini-Warehouse) and are zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond this are several tracts of land (i.e. Tract 9-02 \& 9-5 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134; Lots 1 \& 2, DBK Addition; Lot 1, Block A, K. H. D. Inc. Subdivision; Lot 1, Block 1, Cavender's Addition) zoned Light Industrial (LI) District.

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property are three (3) parcels of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Service King IH-30 Addition; Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, McKeown-Belaustegui Addition) developed with industrial land uses (i.e. Auto Repair, MiniWarehouse, and Recreational Vehicle Repair) and are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Beyond this is a 14.7506-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Nissan of Rockwall Addition) developed with a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks (i.e. Cavender Nissan Rockwall) zoned Light Industrial (LI) District and Commercial (C) District.

MAP 1: LOCATION MAP
YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST AND CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODE

Along with the development application, the applicant submit a site plan and building elevations. Based on the site plan submitted by the applicant, the existing Office/Sales Floor will be increased by $\sim 2,000 \mathrm{SF}$, with minor grade changes being proposed in front of the proposed building (i.e. between the front façade and the parking area adjacent to IH -30) to address current drainage issues. They are not requesting to change any other aspects of the site (i.e. fire lanes, parking, vehicle delivery, etc.). Staff has included this site plan into the draft ordinance in order to generally tie down what the applicant is proposing. With that being said, based on the building elevations provided by the applicant there will need to be variances approved in association with the building design. Given this, staff did not include the building elevations in the draft ordinance. This will allow the Architectural Review Board (ARB) and the Planning and Zoning Commission greater flexibility in making recommendations at the time of site plan, and the applicant greater flexibility when proposing changes to the façade of the building in the future (e.g. when making minor changes for rebranding purposes).

According to Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks is defined as the "(r)etail sales of new automobiles or light load vehicles, including, as a minor part of the business, the sale of used automobiles or light load vehicles and the service of new or used vehicles within an area or enclosed building." In addition, Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) sets forth the following Conditional Land Use Standards for this land use: [1] all outside display of vehicles must be on an approved concrete, or enhanced concrete surface, and [2] all vehicle display areas must meet the landscape standards for parking areas. Currently, the subject property is considered to be in compliance with item one (1) of these requirements. The landscaping on the site was originally approved in 2007, and is considered to be legally non-conforming; however, staff has informed the applicant that at the time of site plan they will be required to meet the current landscaping requirements of the General Overlay District Standards in accordance with the Unified Development Code (UDC).

With regard to the Major Automotive Repair Garage land use, Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) defined a Major Automotive Repair Garage as consisting of "( m )ajor repair, rebuilding or reconditioning of engines, transmissions, or other major components for motor vehicles; collision services including body, frame, or fender straightening or repair; customizing; overall painting or paint shop; automotive glass and upholstery; those uses listed under Minor Auto Repair Garage, and other similar uses. All repair work shall be performed inside an enclosed building. Vehicles shall not be stored on site no longer than 90 -days." Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) goes on to list the Conditional Land Use Standards for this land use as [1] garage doors shall not face a public right-of-way, park or open space, or residentially zoned or used property, and [2] vehicles, equipment, parts or inventory shall not be stored outside overnight unless granted by the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council through Specific Use Permit (SUP). In this case, the proposed Major Automotive Repair Garage bay doors do not face onto a public right-of-way, park or open space, or residentially used property; however, they face onto residentially zoned property. Directly adjacent to the subject property -- to the east -- is an Agriculturally zoned property. According to Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), an Agricultural (AG) District is considered a residential zoning designation. In this case, the adjacent property is zoned Agricultural (AG) District, but is developed with a Light Industrial (LI) land use, and is designated as being in the Special Commercial Corridor per the Future Land Use Map contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Given that the adjacent property is currently not developed as a residential property, the property is not designated as residential in the future, and the Major Automotive Repair Garage is existing, this does not appear to create issues with the intent of the Conditional Land Use Standards.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

Today, the existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks, and accessory Major Auto Repair Garage land uses are considered legally non-conforming per the current Land Use Schedule contained within Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). To facilitate the expansion of the of the existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership, the applicant is requesting a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks, and Major Auto Repair Garage as an accessory use in order to bring land uses established on the subject property into conformance with the current requirements. In addition, the applicant will be subject to the building and landscape requirements outlined in the General Overlay District Standards and Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) at the time of site plan. Given this, the applicant is bringing the property closer into conformance with the standards outlined within the Unified Development Code (UDC).

Staff should note that the applicant submit building elevations that appear to require variances for approval. Given this, staff opted to have these reviewed at the time of site plan to allow the Architectural Review Board (ARB) and the Planning and Zoning Commission greater flexibility in making recommendations related to design, and allow the applicant greater flexibility in changing the exterior of the dealership in the future (i.e. it will only require an amended site plan as opposed to having to amend the Specific Use Permit [SUP] first). With this being said, requests for Specific Use Permits (SUPs) are discretionary decisions for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 19, 2023, staff mailed 28 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Homeowner's Associations (HOAs) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff has received one (1) returned notice in-favor of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the expansion of an existing Motor Vehicle Dealership and accessory Major Auto Repair Garage, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) The development or redevelopment of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
(b) No vehicle display areas shall be permitted within the required landscape buffer along the E . $\mathrm{IH}-30$ frontage road.
(c) Motor vehicle inventory for the existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks and/or Major Auto Repair Garage shall not be parked/stored on an unimproved surface.
(d) The outside storage of tires or any other automotive parts shall be prohibited.
(e) Any maintenance of motor vehicles shall be performed in a completely enclosed building, and no work on motor vehicles shall be performed outdoors.
(2) The applicant will be required to submit a site plan with building elevations, a material sample board, a landscape plan, and photometric plan prior to the submittal of engineering plans or the issuance of a building permit.
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT ( $\$ 100.00$ + $\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{\dagger}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLANJELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
区 SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{18.2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOTES:
1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS 1790 1-30 Frontage Rd
SUBDIVISION Rockwall Kia
LOT
1
BLOCK
1
GENERAL LOCATION South side of East I-30, 1785'+- from John King Blvd.
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | Light Industrial | CURRENT USE | Existing Southwest KIA Car Dealership |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOSED ZONING | SUP (New \& Used Motor Vehicle Dealership | PROPOSED USE | Existing Southwest KIA Car Dealership to remain |
| ACREAGE | 5.9915 | LOTS [CURRENT] | 1 | REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQURED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Zohreh Malek | $\square$ APPLICANT | Lithia c/o Golden Property Development, LLC |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| CONTACT PERSON |  | CONTACT PERSON | Mark Fernandez |
| ADDRESS | 3845 Oak Lawn Ave | ADDRESS | 20025 E Country Hollow Dr |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas TX 75219 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Walnut, CA 91789 |
| PHONE | $214-727-7422$ | PHONE | $909-573-2765$ |
| E-MAIL | zohreh7@gmail.com | E-MAIL | mark@goldenpropertydevelopment.com |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIREd]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$ 289.42$ $\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE $\qquad$ $1^{3+}$ DAY OF Manch , 202Y. BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH




## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
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Case Number:
Case Name:
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address:

Z2024-010
SUP for the Expansion of an Existing Automotive Dealership Zoning
Light Industrial (LI) District $1790 \mathrm{IH}-30$


LINE 5 HOLDINGS LP
1201 N RIVERFRONT BLVD SUITE 100
DALLAS, TX 75207

RESIDENT
1515 CORPORATE CROSSING ROCKWALL, TX 75087
RESIDENT
1750 E I30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1790 E I30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1810 S I30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
2350 DISCOVERY BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SALEHOUN FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP 39650 LYNDON B JOHNSON FWY DALLAS, TX 75237

MIDDLE BROOKS HOLDINGS LLC
513 SAINT MARY ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONVEYOR I3O PARTNERS LP 8750 N CENTRAL EXPY SUITE 1735 DALLAS, TX 75231

THACKER DENNIS ALAN TESTAMENTARY TRUST AND
LINDA THACKER LAHOOD AND JOSHUA ALAN THACKER
PO BOX 8693
GREENVILLE, TX 75404

DEN-MAR ENTERPRISES INC
124 MONT BLANC DR HEATH, TX 75032

PRITCHARD DONNA CULLINS \&
KIMBERLY CULLINS COLLICHIO TRUSTEES 1610 SHORES BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT<br>1760 E I30<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1795 I30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1820 E I30
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
2610 OBSERVATION TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WESTCORE BRAVO ROCKWALL LLC
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DR STE 900
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122

SWBC RW2, LP
5949 SHERRY LN SUITE 750 DALLAS, TX 75225

AMBATIELOS EVANGELOS \& VASILIKI JAMES E ZAFERIS \& JOANNA ZAFERIS P. O. BOX 86404

LOS ANGELES, CA 90086

RAFIZADEH M \& M FAMILY LTD
C/O REPUBLIC MOTORSPORTS INC 12707 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY STAFFORD, TX 77477

RESIDENT 1635 INNOVATION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1785 E I30 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 1805 E I30 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SELF SCOTT \& JANET
1830 E INTERSTATE 30 STE 100 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JBC LAND \& CATTLE COMPANY LLC 2905 DUBLIN PARKER, TX 75002

ROCKWALL STORAGE SOLUTIONS LLC 447 STEVENS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ROCKWALL 549/I30 PARTNERS LP 8750 N CENTRAL EXPWY SUITE 1735

DALLAS, TX 75231

ROCKWALL AA RE LLC PO BOX 775
ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-010: SUP for the Expansion of an Existing Automotive Dealership

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC on behalf of Zohreh Malek for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks to allow the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership on a 5.9613-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall KIA Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1790 E. IH-30, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9,2024 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning

## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2024-010: SUP for the Expansion of an Existing Automotive Dealership

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Case No. Z2024-010: SUP for the Expansion of an Existing Automotive Dealership

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

1 am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:


Address:


Tex. LDc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

[^1]

March 4, 2024

City of Rockwall<br>Planning \& Zoning Department<br>Attn: Henry Lee<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087<br>Re: Proposed Southwest Kia Car Dealership Remodel and Expansion Special Use Permit (SUP) Request<br>Letter of Explanation<br>1790 I-30 Frontage Rd<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

Mr. Lee:
Please use this Letter of Explanation as a formal request to process a Special Use Permit (SUP) for a Proposed Southwest Kia Car Dealership Remodel and Expansion. Lithia Motors, Inc., owners of the existing and operational Southwest Kia Dealership located at 1790 I-30 Frontage Rd, are filing for a SUP to permit the car dealership use and expansion as it is currently a legal non-conforming use.

Introduction:
Southwest Kia of Rockwall embarks on a transformative project aimed at enhancing its facility to better serve customers and align with Kia's latest brand image. The project includes the demolition of the existing showroom and service drive, followed by the construction of a new showroom and service drive facility designed to mitigate flooding risks and improve operational efficiency. Additionally, the project includes repainting the existing building exterior and implementing new interior and exterior finishes to reflect Kia's updated brand aesthetics.

Key Items:

- Demolition of Existing Showroom and Service Drive:
- The project begins with the demolition of the current showroom and service drive to pave the way for the construction of a modernized facility.

- Construction of New Showroom and Service Drive:
- The new showroom and service drive facility will be elevated to prevent flooding issues resulting from existing grades, ensuring operational continuity even during adverse weather conditions.
- Careful consideration will be given to architectural design and structural integrity to meet both aesthetic and functional requirements.
- Sales functions and operations will continue to operate out of temporary trailers.
- When completed the facility would have increased in size by approximately 2,050 sf.
- Limited Paving Scope for Storm Water Drainage:
- The paving scope will be optimized to efficiently surface drain stormwater, reducing the risk of flooding and water damage on the premises.
- Drainage systems will be strategically integrated to manage stormwater runoff effectively.
- All existing fire lanes will remain in their existing configuration.
- Repainting Existing Building Exterior:
- The existing building exterior will undergo repainting to rejuvenate its appearance and align it with Kia's latest brand image.
- High-quality paint materials resistant to weathering and fading will be selected to ensure long-term visual appeal.
- New Interior and Exterior Finishes:
- All interior and exterior finishes will be replaced to reflect Kia's updated brand aesthetics, creating a cohesive and inviting atmosphere for customers and staff.
- Finishes will prioritize durability, ease of maintenance, and visual appeal to uphold the brand's standards and enhance customer experience.


Conclusion:

The Southwest Kia of Rockwall renovation project represents a significant investment in modernizing and improving the dealership facility. Through the demolition of the existing showroom and service drive, construction of a new elevated facility, optimization of stormwater drainage, repainting of the building exterior, and implementation of new interior and exterior finishes, the dealership aims to enhance functionality, aesthetics, and brand alignment. This endeavor underscores Southwest Kia's commitment to providing exceptional service and maintaining a strong brand presence in the City of Rockwall's automotive market.

Sincerely,
Mark Fernandez
Lithia Motors, Inc. c/o Golden Property Development, LLC
909-573-2765
mark@goldenpropertydevelopment.com
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## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

260,988 sq. ft. - 5.9915 AC, Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall Kia (recorded plat attached)
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(Name) Donnie L. Tucker, Registered Public Surveyor No. 5144 .


| Donnie L. Tucker |
| :--- |
| R.P.L.S. $\# 5144$ |



CITY OF ROCKWALL
ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{\text { 24-XX }}$
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-3XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW A NEW MOTOR VEHICLE DEALERSHIP FOR CARS AND LIGHT TRUCKS AND AN ACCESSORY MAJOR AUTO REPAIR GARAGE ON A 5.9613ACRE PARCEL OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK 1, ROCKWALL KIA ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DEPICTED AND DESCRIBED IN EXHIBITS 'A' AND ‘B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Mark Fernandez of Lithia C/O Golden Property Development, LLC on behalf of Zohreh Malek for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks and an accessory Major Auto Repair Garage to allow the expansion of an existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership being a 5.9613-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall Kia Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 1790 E. IH-30, and being more specifically depicted and described in Exhibits ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that Ordinance No. 22-02 [S-266] the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the approval of this ordinance shall supersede all requirements stipulated in Ordinance No. 22-02.

SECTION 2. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks and
accessory Major Auto Repair Garage as stipulated by Subsection 01.02, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 3. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 02.03, Conditional Land Use Standards, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Subsection 05.01, General Industrial District Standards, and Subsection 05.02, Light Industrial (LI) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and as may be amended in the future --, and with the following conditions:

### 3.1. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of a New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks and an accessory Major Auto Repair Garage on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions are required for continued operations:
(1) The development or redevelopment of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) No vehicle display areas shall be permitted within the required landscape buffer along the E . $\mathrm{IH}-30$ frontage road.
(3) Motor vehicle inventory for the existing New Motor Vehicle Dealership for Cars and Light Trucks and/or Major Auto Repair Garage shall not be parked/stored on an unimproved surface.
(4) The outside storage of tires or any other automotive parts shall be prohibited.
(5) Any maintenance of motor vehicles shall be performed in a completely enclosed building, and no work on motor vehicles shall be performed outdoors.
3.2. COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:
(1) Upon obtaining a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) and/or building permit, should the business owner operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 4. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 5. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 6. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 7. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 8. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2024.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: May 6, 2024


Exhibit 'A'
Zoning Exhibit
Address: 1790 E. IH-30
Legal Description: Lot 1, Block 1, Rockwall Kia Addition

Exhibit ' B ':
Concept Plan


TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Alan Wyatt
Z2024-011; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Flag Pole at 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alan Wyatt on behalf of PSB Indemnity Family, LTD PTRN for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36-feet in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158 -acre parcel of land identified as a Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed into the City of Rockwall on September 5, 1960 by Ordinance No. 60-02 (i.e. Case No. A1960-002). At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Based on the City's Historic Zoning Maps the subject has been zoned Commercial (C) District since January 3, 1972. On July 26, 1984, the subject property was established as Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), there is a 49,479 SF commercial office building situated on the subject property that was constructed in 1985. The subject property has remained unchanged since this building was established.

## PURPOSE

The applicant -- Alan Wyatt -- is requesting the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36 -feet in the Scenic Overlay (OV) District for the purpose of constructing a flag pole on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is a 2.187-acre tract of land (which is part of a larger 2.681-acre tract of land identified as Tract 22 of the E.P.G. Survey, Abstract No. 64). Beyond this, is a house of worship (i.e. Great Faith Church) which sits on a 2.95 -acre parcel of land. Both of these properties are zoned Commercial (C) District. North of this is the right-of-way for the Union Pacific/Dallas Garland NE Railroad.

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property is a 1.049 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition) zoned Commercial (C) District. Beyond this is Turtle Cove Boulevard, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. South of this is a vacant parcel of land (i.e. Lot 5, Block A, MTA Andrews Addition) zoned Commercial (C) District.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 2.187 -acre tract of land (which is part of a larger 2.681 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 22 of the E.P.G. Survey, Abstract No. 64). Beyond this is a 0.434 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1 , Ridge Road Office Park) with several commercial businesses (i.e. State Farm and Spillman Family Dental). East of this is Ridge Road, which is identified as an A4D (i.e. arterial, four (4) lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Turtle Cove Boulevard, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 2.1994acre vacant tract of land (i.e. Lot 5, Block A, MTA Andrews Addition) that is zoned Commercial (C) District.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST AND CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 07.03, Non-Residential District Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the maximum height for a structure in a Commercial (C) District is 60 -feet; however, the City Council may grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a structure up to 240 -feet through a Specific Use Permit (SUP). In this case, the subject property is zoned Commercial (C) District and is situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District. According to the Special Use Standards in Subsection 06.02 (B), General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...development within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District...shall comply with the Land Use Schedule contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of this Unified Development Code (UDC)..."; however, the following land uses may be considered on a case-by case basis through a Specific Use Permit: (A) Retail Store with Gasoline Sales (Any Number of Dispensers or Vehicles), (B) Car Wash, or (C) Structures Over 36-Feet in Height. In this case, the applicant is requesting a structure (i.e. flag pole) with an overall height of 60 -feet which will require a Specific Use Permit (SUP). Staff should note that the proposed flag pole shall meet all of the required setbacks stipulated by Subsection 07.03, Non-Residential District Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## STAFF ANALYSIS

In this case, the proposed flag pole does not appear to negatively impact any of the adjacent properties nor does it appear to create a visibility issue within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District; however, the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 22, 2024, staff mailed 44 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Turtle Cove and Lakeside Village Homeowner Associations which are the only Homeowner Associations (HOAs) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program to notify. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices back regarding the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If City Council chooses to approve of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36-feet in height in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) Development of the Flag Pole shall generally conform to the Concept Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of the draft ordinance.
(b) Construction of a flag pole on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Flag Pole Elevations depicted in Exhibit 'C' of the draft ordinance;
(c) The proposed flag pole shall not exceed a maximum overall height of 60 -feet as measured from the grade; and,
(d) The flag shall be maintained in good condition, free of tears, rips, fraying, or fading.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of

Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY <br> PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE)
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( 8150.00 )
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( 8100.00 )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ( $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 . 0 0}$ )

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00+815.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1 \& 2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL ( 875.00 )
VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$

## NOTES:

: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYiNG BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE AGRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| ADDRESS | 510 Turtle Cove \#200 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SUBDIVISION | Rockwall Executive Center | LOT | 2 | BLOCK | A |
| GENERAL LOCATION | 510 Turtle Cove Blvd |  |  |  |  |

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]


$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINaL SIGNatures are required]

| $\square$ OWNER | PSB Indemnity Family Ltd Pirn |
| ---: | :--- |
| CONTACT PERSON | Alan Wyatt |
| ADDRESS | 510 Turtle Cove Blvd \#200 |
|  |  |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall Texas 75087 |
| PHONE | $972-670-1309$ |
| E-MAIL | edwinwade@gmail.com |

母 APPLICANT
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
EMAIL

## Alan Wyatt

Alan Wyatt
510 Turtle Cove Blvd

Rockwall Texas 75087
972-670-1309
edwinwade@gmail.com

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
" HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF
$\qquad$






City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


Case Number: Z2024-011
Case Name: $\quad$ Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Flag Pole at 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard
Case Type:
Zoning: Zoning
Commercial (C) District
Case Address: 510 Turtle Cove Suite 200


| From: | Zavala, Melanie |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Ross, Bethany; Guevara, Angelica; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2024-011\} |
| Date: | Tuesday, March 19, 2024 10:59:30 AM |
| Attachments: | Public Notice (P\&Z) (03.15.2024).pdf |
|  | HOA Map (3.14.2024).pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:
Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500 -feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on Friday March 22, 2024. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9, 2024 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2024-011: SUP for a Flagpole

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alan Wyatt on behalf of PSB Indemnity Family, LTD PTRN for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to all a structure in excess of 36 -feet in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158-acre parcel of land identified as a Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## Melanie Zavala

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator | Planning Dept.| City of Rockwall 385 S. Goliad Street \| Rockwall, TX 75087
http://www.rockwall.com/

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2024-011
Case Name:
Case Type:
Zoning:
Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Flag Pole at 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard

Case Address: Zoning
Commercial (C) District
510 Turtle Cove Suite 200


TURTLE COVE RESIDENTIAL ASSOC C/O NEIGHBORHOOD MANAGEMENT 1024 S GREENVILLE AVE SUITE 230 ALLEN, TX 75002

MOURIER LAND INVESTMENT CORPORATION 1430 BLUE OAKS BLVD STE 190 ROSEVILLE, CA 95747

RESIDENT 2231 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MMF INVESTMENTS LLC 2255 RIDGE RD SUITE 333 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 2306 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 2313 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2455 RIDGE LLC
2701 CUSTER PARKWAY SUITE 706 RICHARDSON, TX 75080

PSB INDEMNITY FAMILY LTD PTRN
510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 600 TURTLE COVE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 629 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAKEWOOD PROPERTIES LLC
1864 MCDONALD RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
2245 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDSPETH FREDERICK WARD ESTATE
NONA MAHAFFY HUDSPETH INDEPENDENT
EXECUTOR
2304 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SPILLMAN DENTAL PROPERTIES LLC 2308 RIDGE RD STE B ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2424 MTA REALTY LLC 2424 RIDGE ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2251 RIDGE ROAD LLC
4131 N CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY SUITE 450 DALLAS, TX 75204

RICE CARINE 545 BEDFORD FALLS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SEAY KENNETH WAYNE \& ELIZABETH CAUFIELD 623 HARBOR COVE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ONTIVEROS NADINE MARGARET \& ALFREDO JOSE
631 HARBOR COVE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JARA PARTNERS LTD
1425 HUNTERS GLEN
ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

RESIDENT 2230 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 2251 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 2305 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
2310 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
2455 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
500 TURTLE COVE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PACHECO ENRIQUE AND JOANN T 5788 W SKY HAWK TRL ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

LITHERLAND LORILEE 627 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## BARROW KYLE

 633 HARBOR COVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087JIMMIE LEE ALFORD AND BARBARA EDWARDS
ALFORD-COTRUSTEES
635 STAFFORD CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAYS FAMILY TRUST
JOAN AVOY HAYS - TRUSTEE
639 STAFFORD CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL ALIGNED REI LP
8637 FREDERICKSBURG RD SUITE 360
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78240

HUGHES NICHOLAS AND AMY
930 BRIAR OAKS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GLOBAL ADVANCE INC PO BOX 742077
DALLAS, TX 75374

RESIDENT
637 STAFFORD CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GOODWIN HEATHER 641 STAFFORD CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GAY-SEVITS MORIAH E
920 BRIAR OAK
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CENTERS FOR PEACE AND MERCY, INC P.O. BOX 615

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALMLAM REAL ESTATE LLC PO BOX 755
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARRISON KIMBERLY RAE AND JOHN
638 TURTLE COVE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SMITH RICHARD G EBERLE 642 TURTLE COVE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BISHOP MICHAEL F 926 BRIAR OAK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAIKER PARTNERS LTD.
PO BOX 1059
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ITY OF ROCKWALL PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-011: SUP for a Flagpole

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alan Wyatt on behalf of PSB Indemnity Family, LTD PTRN for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to all a structure in excess of 36 -feet in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158 -acre parcel of land identified as a Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9,2024 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Angelica Guevara

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning

## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2024-011: SUP for a Flagpole

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Independence Series

IRW - Internal with Winch
Wire Halyard
Ground Set Installation

| Customer Name: Texas Specialty |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dealer: | Epic Eagle | Qty: 1 |
| Project: | $60^{\prime}$ Rockwall Turtle Cove | Location: Rockwall |
| Notes: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



TRK-9800-58
Int. Revolving Truck Sealed Bearings


COL1-A10S
FC-11 Spun Alum 1-Piece


IRW - WINCH
Reinforced Welded
Door Frame

BAL-1058-GLD HD Gold Anodized Aluminum Ball


SAT Satin Finish



$$
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## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{\text { 24-XX }}$

## SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-3XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW A STRUCTURE IN EXCESS OF 36-FEET IN HEIGHT IN THE SCENIC OVERLAY (SOV) DISTRICT TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A FLAG POLE ON A 1.158-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 2, BLOCK A, ROCKWALL EXECUTIVE CENTER ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT ' $A$ ' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Alan Wyatt for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36 -feet in height in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole on a 1.158 -acre parcel of land being described as Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard, and being more specifically described and depicted in Exhibit 'A' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow a structure in excess of 36 -feet in height in the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District to allow the construction of a flag pole in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Article 05, District Development Standards, the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in

Subsection 03.04, Flag Poles, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Subsection 07.03, NonResidential District Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and with the following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the construction and continued operation of a flag pole on the Subject Property and conformance to these operational conditions are required:

1) The development of a flag pole on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Concept Plan as depicted in Exhibit 'B' of this ordinance.
2) The construction of a flag pole on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Flag Pole Elevations depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance.
3) The proposed flag pole shall not exceed a maximum overall height of 60 -feet as measured from grade.
4) The flag shall be maintained in good condition free of tears, rips, fraying, or fading.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP) of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require the Subject Property to comply with the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the contractor operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full
force and effect.
SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2024.


## Kristy Teague, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

## Frank J. Garza, City Attorney

$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: May 6, 2024

Exhibit 'A'
Location Map and Survey
Address: 510 Turtle Cove Boulevard Legal Description: Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall Executive Center Addition


Exhibit 'B':
Concept Plan


## Exhibit 'C':

Flag Pole Elevations


TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Preston Harris; Harris Family Lake House, LLC
Z2024-012; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Pickleball Courts at 1722 Ridge Road

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

Based on the City's annexation ordinances the subject property was annexed on September 5, 1960 by Ordinance No. 60-02 [Case No. A1960-002]. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District, currently situated on the subject property is a 2,417 SF single-family home built in 1962, and a 1,682 SF Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit built in 1992. According to the to the City's Historic Zoning Maps, the subject property was zoned Single Family 2 (SF-2) District as of January 3, 1972. Between January 3, 1972 and May 16, 1983, this zoning designation was changed to a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District. This zoning designation has not changed since it was established. On September 9, 1985, the City Council approved a final plat [Case No. PZ1985-024-01] that establish the subject property as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition.

## PURPOSE

The applicant -- Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC -- is requesting the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow an unpermitted Pickleball Court to remain on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 1722 Ridge Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property are two (2) estate style parcels of land (i.e. Lots 3 \& 6, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition) developed with single-family homes. Beyond this is the Lake Ray Hubbard Estates Subdivision, which was established December 10, 1973 and consists of 65 single-family homes. All of these properties are zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District.

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property is a 2.1029 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 5, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition) developed with a single-family home. Beyond this are two (2) tracts of land (i.e. Tracts 4 \& 12 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1) developed with single-family homes. Following this is the Lakeridge Park Subdivision, which was established September 29, 1972 and consists of 87 single-family homes. All of these properties are zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is Ridge Road, which is classified as an A4D (i.e. arterial, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained within the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 12.1148 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 2 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1), zoned Planned Development District 4 (PD-4) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses.

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property is a 0.6370 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 7 , Block B, The Estates of Coast Royale \#2 Addition) developed with a single-family home. Beyond this is a vacant 0.24 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 5 of the D. Atkins Survey, Abstract No. 1). Following this is the Lakeridge Park Subdivision, which was established September 29, 1972 and consists of 87 single-family homes. All of these properties are zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District.

## MAP 1: LOCATION MAP

YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted an application, and pictures showing the existing sports court. The existing sports court is 28 -foot by 44 -foot or 1,232 SF. It incorporates a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) with a net, basketball hoop, and one (1) light pole with two (2) light fixtures. It is located in the backyard of the subject property. Staff should note that the backyard of the subject property is surrounded by an eight (8) foot privacy fence along the western and southern property line, and has a thick row of trees along the northern property line. Given that the Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) was constructed without a Specific Use Permit (SUP) and without a Building Permit, the applicant was required to pay the non-compliant structure fee of $\$ 1,000.00$.


FIGURE 1: EXISTING SPORTS COURT

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) defines a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) as "(a) surface designed and constructed for playing the game of tennis along with all fencing, nets and related appurtenances but excluding lighting for night play in residential areas except as may be otherwise permitted." According to the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) requires a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District.

According to Section 10-491, Exterior Grounds, Article XII, Property Maintenance Code, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, "(e)xterior lights placed or erected on private property shall be directed down and shall be of an indirect, diffused, or shielded type luminaries and so installed as not to produce harsh, bright light or direct illumination across the property line from a
visible source of illumination so as not to create a traffic hazard or a public nuisance." In this case, there is one (1) light pole with two (2) light fixtures that are unshielded and not directed downward.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

In this case, the applicant has stated that the unpermitted Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) is primarily intended to be used as a basketball court; however, since this structure will incorporate a pickleball court and lighting, the SUP for a Private Tennis Court is required. Additionally, the SUP for a Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) allows for operational conditions including lighting standards. Staff has provided an operational condition that requires the exterior light fixtures to be_directed down and incorporate a shielded type of luminaire. With this being said, the Specific Use Permit (SUP) allows the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council the ability review the full context of a request and grants them the discretion to approve or deny the request on a case-by-case basis.

When reviewing the location of the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court), it is $\sim 142$-feet (North), $\sim 200$-feet (West), and $\sim 270-$ feet (South) from the single-family homes on the adjacent properties; however, the properties west of the subject property are located at least 20 -feet lower and may be affected by the lighting. With this being said, the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) -- excluding the lighting -- does not appear to have a negative effect on the adjacent properties. The Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council should consider not only the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court), but other aspects of the request (e.g. nuisances like noise and lighting). In this case, pickleball can be a loud sport, and -- with the addition of lighting -light levels can spill over to adjacent properties creating a potential nuisance. Staff should point out that the lighting does appear to be directed to the existing tree line along the northern side of the subject property, and may not create an issue for adjacent properties; however, the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 19, 2024, staff mailed 62 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Waterstone and Turtle Cove Homeowner's Associations (HOAs), which were the only Homeowner's Associations (HOAs) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received one (1) response from a property owner within the 500 -foot notification area indicating they were in opposition of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court), then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) The Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) shall maintain conformance to Exhibits 'A' and 'B' of this ordinance.
(b) All exterior lights placed or erected on the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) shall be directed down. In addition, the lights shall be of the shielded type luminaries and installed so as to not to produce harsh, bright light or direct illumination across the property line from a visible source of illumination so as not to create a traffic hazard or public nuisance.
(c) The applicant shall have 90-days to obtain a building permit for the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court).
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

```
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
    \square MASTER PLAT ($100.00 + $15.00 ACRE) )
    \square PRELIMINARY PLAT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE)'
    \square FINAL PLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) 
    \square REPLAT ($300.00 + $20.00 ACRE) 
    \square \mp@code { A M E N D I N G ~ O R ~ M I N O R ~ P L A T ~ ( \$ 1 5 0 . 0 0 ) }
    \square PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ($100.00)
    SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
    \square SITE PLAN ($250.00 + $20.00 ACRE) $
    \square AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN ($100.00)
```


## ZONAMG APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{\$}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{4}$ \&
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{*}$ NOTES:
\% IN DETERMINNG THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MLITIPLYNG BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 4 A $\$ 1,00000$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLANCE TO AN APPROVED BULLDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRRI]]
ADDRESS 1722 Ridge Rd Rockwall, TX

SUBDIVISION Estates of Coast Royale \#1 _ $\quad$ LOT $4 \quad$ BLOCK C
GENERAL LOCATION
Ridge and Yellow Jacket
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENT ZONING
CURRENT USE
PROPOSED ZONING
PROPOSED USE

## ACREAGE

LOTS [CURRENT]
LOTS [PROPOSED]
$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.

## OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Harris Family Lake House LLC | $\square$ APPLICANT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Preston Harris | CONTACT PERSON |
| ADDRESS | 1722 Ridge Rd | ADDRESS |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP |
| PHONE | 214.384 .4525 | PHONE |
| E-MAIL | prestoncharris@gmail.com | E-MAIL |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQURED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THS APPLICATION: ALI INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$ 237.83$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CTYY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY OF 20 _ BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IE 'CITY') IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORINATION SUBMITIED IN CONJLNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTIONIS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC IMFORMATION."

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS THE ___ DAY OF___
OWNER'S SIGNATURE


NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California
County of

> San Diego

On $\qquad$ before me,

## J. Gaspar, Notary Public

(insert name and title of the officer)
personally appeared $\square$ Preston C. Harris ,
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose names) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signatures) on the instrument the persons), or the entity upon behalf of which the persons) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.


Signature



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


| From: | Zavala, Melanie |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Ross, Bethany; Guevara, Angelica; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2024-012] |
| Date: | Tuesday, March 19, 2024 10:59:35 AM |
| Attachments: | HOA Map (03.14.2024).pdf |
|  | Public Notice (P\&Z) (03.15.2024).pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:
Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500 -feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on Friday March 22, 2024. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9, 2024 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

## Melanie Zavala

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator | Planning Dept. | City of Rockwall
385 S. Goliad Street | Rockwall, TX 75087
http://www.rockwall.com/
972-771-7745 Ext. 6568

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2024-012

Case Name:

Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address:

Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Pickle Ball Court at 1722 Ridge Road Zoning
Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District 1722 Ridge Road


## NEUFELL JAMES V AND FRANCINE M <br> 101 BECKY LN <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
BCL REAL ESTATE LLC 103 GROSS RD MESQUITE, TX 75149
```

AZULAY TJ AND MELINDA 105 EMERALD COVE HEATH, TX 75032

PECK RUTH H 108 LAKEDALE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## BARRON JOSE ENRIQUE AND ELIZABETH <br> 1504 RIDGE RD <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT<br>1602 RIDGE RD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RYAN THOMAS M \& ROXANN W
1607 SEASCAPE CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RIOS LUISA N AND MANOLO 1614 S LAKESHORE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SINGER JOHN B JR \& GAIL P 1702 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
102 LAKEDALE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEST KENDRA LYNN AND JOHN HENRY LEWIS 104 LAKEDALE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARTINEZ GRACE \& JESSE LEE III<br>106 LAKEDALE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HFS MANAGEMENT INC C/O HANNA SAHLIYEH
122 W JOHN CARPENTER FWY STE 400
IRVING, TX 75039

MCANALLY JOHN L \& CINDY N
1600 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIELDS R THEODORE JR \&
ALEXIA C
1603 SEASCAPE CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KENNETH \& GLENDA JONES FAMILY TRUST KENNETH RAY JONES AND GLENDA JOYCE JONES- TRUSTEES 1608 S LAKESHORE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLER LIVING TRUST
ROLAND MORRIS MILLER III TRUSTEE 1612 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1615 CAPE HATTERAS PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 1722 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARSHALL RICHARD A AND KENNETH F WILSON 103 BECKY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COX MARCUS D 105 BECKY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 107 BECKY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WELLS RACHEL MARY 1502 RIDGE ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## STAGGS STEVEN C \& MARLYN D 1601 SEASCAPE CT <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WRIGHT JOHN \& SUSAN 1605 SEASCAPE CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 1609 CAPE HATTERAS PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NOBLIE LESLIE A 1613 CAPE HATTERAS PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARRIS FAMILY LAKE HOUSE, LLC 1663 MISSOURI ST SAN DIEGO, CA 92109

GREEN STEVEN T
1724 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1726 RIDGE RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TOLMAN JENNIFER L 1804 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NEVITT THERESA T
201 BECKY LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
SIMS CHRISTOPHER P AND KRISTEN
206 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> KELLY HUGH LAWRENCE
> 209 LAKEVIEW DR
> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LYON ROBERT LSR \& PATRICIA R
212 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
STOOKSBERRY CECIL R AND KIM
215 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
218 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CARROLL ANGELA C \& CHRIS S
222 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOLENA GROUP, LLC 321 PRAIRIE VIEW RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HATCHER JASON \&
NATASHA HATCHER
1728 RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHAPMAN CHRISTOPHER 1902 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LYNAS GERALD ETUX 203 BECKY LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BROYLES LYNN
207 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VOLESKY TAYLOR \& ERIC MAURO 210 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TAYLOR RONALD R \& ELIZABETH R 213 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODEN ELRA C 216 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
219 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
hULLUM RANDY LEE 2795 COUNTY ROAD 3210 LONE OAK, TX 75453

HENDRICKS JAMES \& BARBARA 5903 VOLUNTEER PL ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HARMON MICHAEL JOHN 1802 S LAKESHORE ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 1905 LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PODREBARAC MATTHEW \& ABIGAIL LYNN 205 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAYNES PETER \& JANNA 208 LAKEVIEW DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087
tURNER BILLIE SUE
211 LAKEVIEW DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FENTON DONYELLE
214 LAKEVIEW DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEFAZIO RODERICK S \& MARGARET R 220 LAKEVIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JAMES \& MARY SYVRUD REVOCABLE TRUST JAMES P SYVRUD AND MARY J SYVRUD 301 MCKINNEY ST FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442

HUDSON SFR PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC
C/O HUDSON HOMES MANAGEMENT LLC
ATTN: HEATHER HAWKINS 2711 N HASKELL STE 1800
DALLAS, TX 75204

HALL BRANDON \& ADRIENNE
P. O. BOX 201

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KJT FLYING PROPERTIES LLC
PO BOX 1476 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9, 2024 at $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

```
- - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM
```

Case No. Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court)
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *
Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2024-012

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *
$\checkmark$ I am in favor of the request.
$\square$ I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request. Can there be a playground with the pickle ball courts? :)

Respondent Information
Please provide your information.

First Name *
Abigail

Last Name *
Podrebarac

Address *

205 Lakeview Dr.

City *
Rockwall

State *
TX

Zip Code *
75087

Please check all that apply: *
$\checkmark$ I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\checkmark$ I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ Other:

## How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *

() I received a property owner notification in the mail.

I read about the request on the City's website.
I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.My neighbors told me about the request.Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

## Google Forms

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below
I am not opposed to the pickle ball court perse. The flood lights are invasive to my home. I am opposed to the flood lights.

Name:

## Leslie A. Noble

Address 1613 Cape Hatteras PI Rockfall TX 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

| From: | Rachel Wells |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Planning |
| Cc: | Rachel M Wells |
| Subject: | Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis (Pickleball) Court Resident Concern |
| Date: | Wednesday, March 27, 2024 9:02:52 PM |

Dear Mr. Miller and Mr. Lee,
My name is Rachel Wells and I'm a resident of Rockwall, residing in the property I own at 1502 Ridge Rd (at Becky Lane).

I'm writing to express and document my opposition to Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis (Pickleball) Court.

My opposition has several components:
A) TRAFFIC: Too much of it currently + Lack of any traffic planning or options for Ridge Rd over-development
Anyone who daily utilizes Ridge Rd to access l-30 in this explosively-growing community can and will attest to the gigantic concerns we all have for lack of wide-scale traffic and emergency route planning. Just sit at any coffee shop, local restaurant, or in line at Target, every stranger to each other is complaining about it, in every mundane interaction, the traffic there is a top topic for all Rockwallians. Ridge Rd is the main artery through this once-smaller community and as such is the critical for emergencies and general commuting through the city and around the neighborhoods. It is also critical as a cut-through for emergency services. The traffic in the past several months as any casual commuter can objectively witness, is beyond congested at the l-30 interchange light- often backed up near to Yellowjacket and beyond. Putting yet another new development along the West side of the street at Yellowjacket Lane is an abject disregard for the current neighborhood's residents who already felt unheard due to the timing of the meetings (at the Christmas holidays) which changed zoning to enable an exceptionally tall officer tower development on the East side of the street.

## B)IRRIGATION/FLOODING/DRAINAGE

As anyone who drives this section of Ridge Rd after a rain can also attest, there is dramatic flooding in this area already with so much development, concrete and building interfering with natural run-off. This is yet again, something not *currently* addressed with the current level of building and unfettered, unplanned "development" - it needs addressing for the current situation. Drive it right after a rain, and tell us that there is adequate drainage.

## C) OTHER VACANT LOCATIONS

There are plenty of giant parcels of land available on the edges of town - not in the middle of the main artery - that could accommodate a pickle ball/tennis-specifc facility. There does not need to be one smack dab in the
middle of an established neighborhood.
D) NOISE

What was a peaceful, "neighborhood" has now more and more backed up traffic, cars stop-going and trying to enter-exit going into a lot for the "courts". This is an established neighborhood. Homes here over 45 years in this area. Why would this be needed suddenly? To address population growth and the massive outcry for pickleball?
E) WHY PICKLEBALL/TENNIS? Who is asking for this from the community? If we think we "need* a "athletic or recreation center" - why cater only to those who play these sports? Some might like basketball, some might like yoga. Where is that for the "community" members who are giving up the sense of established neighborhood to a giant office tower on the East side of Ridge Rd, and then a pickle ball-specific complex on the West side? Also, would the "city" own the courts? No? If this is yet another private developer from out of state trying to re-develop my Rockwall community smack dab next to my home, and the long-time homes of my neighbors, many of them elderly because they have been here for decades, no.

In general, why oh why do we need this? Tax revenue? I get it, tax revenue and business development is good for the county. It only works when the development benefits the majority of the community and we are not turning Rockwall into a place with no "there" there. Just unplanned, unfettered development for the latest high bidder. There are plenty of places to develop into a court like this. Who on earth thinks more traffic in and out of Ridge Rd right at that area would be a good idea? Not a single soul who actually lives or drives it daily.

Thank you for considering and documenting my feedback into the record on this matter. Please register me, OPPOSED.

Sincerely,
Rachel Wells
1502 Ridge Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court)

Hold a public heaning to discuss and consider a request by Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesdav, April 9, 2024 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S . Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: hifpa:l/sites google.comisitefrockwallplanning/developmentidevelopment-cases

=- $=$ PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2024-012: SUP for a Tennis Court (Pickleball Court)

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square 1$ apl in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

We are opposes 0 tho the lightening, surrounding
the courts sos they cav be sex by nome manyhomessuimers aid are intrusive Whites WRIGHT- 1605 SEASCAPE ar.
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in
order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either. (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed
change and extending 200 feet from that area. change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Annual nughtumes due conplawed that CITY OF ROCKWALL • PLANNING AND ZONING DEPAAETMENT • 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL., TEXAS 087 • P: (972) 771-7745 • E: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM the light shines into the in bedrooms.

Preston Harris
1722 Ridge Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

To Whom It May Concern:
I hope this letter finds you all well. Harris Family Lake House and it's majority owner Preston Harris are submitting for a Special Use Permit pertaining to a sport court that has been built on the family property. We regret we are submitting for the application post construction. Unfortunately when doing an internet search for if we needed a permit for a pickleball court, a sport court, or basketball court we failed to find any relevant information stating we needed one. Also, after discussing with the court's builder, we were also advised by them that a permit was not needed. We did take their advice and concluded that a permit was not needed.

We are here to rectify that situation today after being notified in early March that our conclusion was incorrect. Please take into consideration that avoiding the permitting process was never our intent.

Thank you again for your consideration on this matter,


Preston Harris



## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{24-X X}$


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR A PRIVATE TENNIS COURT ON A 2.522-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 4, BLOCK C, THE ESTATES OF COAST ROYALE \#1 ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBITS 'A' AND 'B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Preston Harris of Harris Family Lake House, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Private Tennis Courts (Pickleball Courts) on a 2.522-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block C, The Estates of Coast Royale \#1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 1722 Ridge Road, and more fully described and depicted in Exhibits ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same are hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit allowing for the establishment of a Private Tennis Court in a Single Family 10 (SF-10) District as stipulated by, Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] on the Subject Property; and

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 03.01, General Residential District Standards, and Subsection 03.07, Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and with the following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of a Private Tennis court on the Subject Property and conformance to these requirements is necessary for continued operations:
(1) The Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) shall maintain conformance to Exhibits ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) All exterior lights placed or erected on the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court) shall be directed down. In addition, the lights shall be of the shielded type luminaries and installed so as to not to produce harsh, bright light or direct illumination across the property line from a visible source of illumination so as not to create a traffic hazard or public nuisance.
(3) The applicant shall have 90-days to obtain a building permit for the Private Tennis Court (Pickleball Court).

### 2.2. COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:
(1) Upon obtaining a building permit, should the property owner operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein;

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code (UDC) of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable; and

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect;

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2024.


## ATTEST:

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024
2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: May 6, 2024


Exhibit 'A'
Location Map
LEGAL DESCRIPTION. LOT 4, BLOCK C, THE ESTATES OF COAST ROYALE \#1 ADDITION


Exhibit 'B'
Pickleball Court Design


CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CASE MEMO
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Paul Arce; Projects \& Constructions Arague
Z2024-013; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 340 Evans Road

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Paul Arce of Projects \& Constructions Araque on behalf of Shirley Soto for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.248 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single- Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 340 Evans Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was originally platted as Lots 1180 \& 1179 of the Rockwall Lake Properties Development No. 2 Addition, which was filed with Rockwall County on April 23,1968 . On February 17, 2009, the subject property -- along with the rest of the Lake Rockwall Estates Subdivision -- was annexed into the City of Rockwall by Ordinance No. 09-07. On September 21, 2009, the City Council rezoned the Lake Rockwall Estates Subdivision from an Agricultural (AG) District to Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) [Ordinance No. 09-37] for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. On January 4, 2016, the City Council amended Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) with Ordinance No. 16-01, which made minor changes to the Consideration of a Special Request section of the ordinance. The subject property has been vacant since its annexation.

## PURPOSE

The applicant -- Paul Arce -- is requesting the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on the subject property in accordance with Subsection 02.03(B)(11), Residential Infill in or Adjacent to an Established Subdivision, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC)..

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 340 Evans Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is a 90.5 -acre vacant tract of land (which is part of a larger 140.5-acre tract of land identified as Tract 3 of the G. Wells Survey, Abstract No. 219), which is zoned Planned Development District 101 (PD-101) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Beyond that is Mims Road, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 48-6670-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 3 of the W.H. Barnes Survey, Abstract No. 26), which is zoned Commercial (C) District and belongs to the Rayburn Country Electric Cooperation.

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property is Evans Road, which is classified as a $R 2$ (residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are multiple lots zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. These properties are mostly developed with modular homes, with some of the lots being developed with
single-family homes. Beyond this is County Line Road, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property are multiple lots zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for SingleFamily 7 (SF-7) District land uses. These properties are mostly developed with mobile/manufactured homes, with some of the lots being developed with single-family homes. Beyond this is Renee Drive, which is classified as a R2 (residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. East of this is a 0.66 -acre parcel of land (i.e. 557 Renee Drive) developed with a modular home and zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75).

West: Directly west of the subject property are multiple lots zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for SingleFamily 7 (SF-7) District land uses. These properties are mostly developed with mobile/manufactured homes, with some of the lots being developed with single-family homes. Beyond this is a 66.083 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 2 of the G. Wells Survey, Abstract No. 219), which consists of Rockwall Lake and is zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75).

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST AND CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) defines Residential Infill in or Adjacent to an Established Subdivision as "(t)he new development of a single-family home or duplex on an existing vacant or undeveloped parcel of land or the redevelopment of a developed parcel of land for a new single-family home or duplex within an established subdivision that is mostly or entirely built-out." An established subdivision is further defined in Subsection 02.03(B)(11) of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the UDC as "...a subdivision that consists of five (5) or more lots, that is $90 \%$ developed, and that has been in existence for more than ten (10) years." The subject property is part of the Lake Rockwall Estates Subdivision, which has been in existence since before 1968 and is considered to be more than $90 \%$ developed. The Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the UDC, requires a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in or Adjacent to an Established Subdivision in all single-family zoning districts, the Two-Family (2F) District, the Downtown (DT) District, and the Residential-Office (RO) District. This property, being in a Planned Development District for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses, requires a Specific Use Permit (SUP).

In addition, Subsection 02.03(B)(11) of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the UDC states that, "...the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council shall consider the proposed size, location, and architecture of the home compared to the existing housing ... [and] (a)ll housing proposed under this section [i.e. Residential Infill in or Adjacent to an Established Subdivision] shall be constructed to be architecturally and visually similar or complimentary to the existing housing ..." The following is a summary of observations concerning the housing on Evans Road and Russell \& Wayne Drive compared to the house proposed by the applicant:

| Housing Design and Characteristics | Existing Housing on Evans Road, Wayne Drive \& Russell Drive | Proposed Housing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Height | One (1) Story | One (1) Story |
| Building Orientation | All of the homes face the towards Evans Road, Wayne or Russell Drive. | The front elevation of the home will face onto Evans Road. |
| Year Built | 1970-2003 | N/A |
| Building SF on Property | 970 SF - 2,558 SF | 3,306 SF |
| Building Architecture | Majority Modular Homes and Two (2) Single-Family Homes | Comparable Architecture to Newer Single-Family Homes |
| Building Setbacks: |  |  |
| Front | The front yard setbacks appear to conform to the required 20 -foot yard setback. | 20-Feet |
| Side | The side yard setbacks appear to conform to the required five (5) foot setback. | $X>5$-Feet, $X=5$-Feet |
| Rear | The rear yard setbacks appear to conform to the ten (10) feet rear yard setback. | 17-Feet |
| Building Materials | Metal, Modular Paneling, Masonite Siding, and Brick. | Brick and Stone |
| Paint and Color | White, Gray, Red, Yellow, Blue, Green | Undefined by the Applicant |
| Roofs | Composite Shingles and Metal | Asphalt Composite Shingle |

According to Section 04, Residential Parking, of Article 06, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20 -feet behind the front façade for front entry garages ..." In this case, the applicant is proposing to put the garage approximately five (5) feet in front of the front façade of the singlefamily home, and if approved the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will be waiving this requirement. Staff should note that this waiver has been approved in the Lake Rockwall Estates Subdivision in the past, and that the single-family home directly west of the subject property appears to be in the same configuration as the proposed home. With the exception of the garage orientation, the proposed single-family home meets all of the density and dimensional requirements for the Single Family 7 (SF-7) District as stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC). For the purpose of comparing the proposed home to the existing single-family housing located adjacent to or in the vicinity of the subject property, staff has provided photos of the properties along Evans Road, Wayne Drive, and Russell Drive and the proposed building elevations in the attached packet. The approval of this request is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission and a finding that the proposed house will not have a negative impact on the existing subdivision.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 22, 2024, staff mailed 81 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Homeowner Associations (HOAs) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program to notify. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices back regarding the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If City Council chooses to approve of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to construct a single-family home as a Residential Infill within an Established Subdivision, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) Development of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Residential Plot Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of the draft ordinance.
(b) Construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of the draft ordinance;
(c) The applicant shall be required to replat the subject property prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; and,
(d) Once construction of the single-family home has been completed, inspected, and accepted by the City, the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall expire, and no further action by the property owner shall be required.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.


DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ MASTER PLAT $\left(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE $){ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLANELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$区 SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1 \% 2}$

- PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$

OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL ( $\$ 75.00$ )
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOTES:
1: IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS

Evans Road

SUBDIVISION


O Evans Road


GENERAL LOCATION
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENT ZONING


CURRENT USE
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE

.
2
LOTS [CURRENT]
PROPOSED USE


SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFFS COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [pLease printicheck the primary contactioriginal signatures are required]


גaplicant Projects \& Constructions contact person paul farce Araque adobes 453 Lynn el Dr ADDRESS 18601 LBJ Fwy SUITE 250
cITY, STATE \&ZIP Rock wal ( $t \times 75032$ air, STATE \& ZIP MESQuITE TX 75150 PHONE $(903) 985-1425$ PHONE (972) 365-6823
E.MAL shirleysoto e hotmail.com ${ }^{\text {EMIL }}$ projectraraque 41 mail

NOTARY VERIFICATION REEURED I Mail - COn BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Shirley Sot_ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

* HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT: AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF \$ 2 9 TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWELL ON THIS THE YE SH Watch 2OLY. BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (I.E. "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMUTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUESIFOR RUBLICINFORMATION.
gIVEN under my hand and seal of office on this the l Utu, day of Mech 224

CAMERON FORTUNE owners signature shirley soto It
-Notary 10-134309452--




City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2024-013
Case Name: $\quad$ Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill at 340 Evans Road Case Type:
Zoning: Zoning
Planned Development District 75 (PD-75)
Case Address: 340 Evans Road
Date Saved: 3/15/2024
For Questions on this Case Call: (972) 771-7746


SILVA BERTHA
1041 E FM 552
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEAN ANN W
106 STANDING OAK DR GEORGETOWN, TX 78633

RODRIGUEZ ROMAN
220 EVANS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

NGO HA THAI AND MIA DINH 2505 WESTBANK TRL GARLAND, TX 75042

PEREZ MARCOS AND MARIA ELVA GACHUZO
VELAZQUEZ
290 EVANS ROCKWALL, TX 75032

SILVA JORGE \& ELIZABETH 3078 S FM 551<br>ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

MAZARIEGOS EDGAR A AND SONIA I 3248 BLACKLAND RD
ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

GONZALEZ NORMA PATRICIA SOLIS
388 EVANS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

LLANAS JOSUE MENDOZA
400 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
412 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
418 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MARTINEZ MARIO CRUZ
461 YVONNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

QUALICO DEVELOPMENTS (US), INC 14400 THE LAKES BLVD BUILDING C, SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78660

ROJAS MARCOS \& ROSALINDA
234 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT 266 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT 302 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BALDERAS GREGORY
310 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RAMIRES RAUL
358 EVANS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CARMONA JOSE ROBERTO<br>397 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## GAMEZ PETRA K MARTINEZ 406 EVANS ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BALLEZA REAL ESTATE LLC AND
ANA QUEZADA
4408 AIKEN TRL
SACHSE, TX 75048

CRUZ MARIO
461 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT 466 WAYNE DR

ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
471 YVONNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MORENO LUIS NOE<br>474 BASS ROAD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75032

GUEVARA MARIA 482 YVONNE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT 488 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

GONZALEZ HIPOLITO CANTU AND FANIA GARCIA 494 RUSELL LOT 1252 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HERNANDEZ CARMELITA NOEMI 500 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HERNANDEZ BENJAMIN 509 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

AYALA FRANCISCO \& MARIA
513 RUSSELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MARTINEZ DAVID
516 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
470 RUSSELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## SOTO DOMINGO <br> 471 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## NEVAREZ LUIS E \& ALMA <br> 479 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VIERA EUSEVIO ZAPATA AND FELICITAS MARTINEZ-AGUILAR 485 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
491 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032
ARROYO REYES
499 RUSSELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

VELASQUEZ LORENA
501 CHRIS DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75033

## ALVARADO HERALD DAVID CORDOVA 5112 WOLVERTON CT GARLAND, TX 75043

LEON VANESSA RANGEL
514 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
518 RUSSELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MORENO ORALIA SOLIS 474 BASS ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> ALONSO ELEASAR \& BENITO GAMEZ
> 482 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PAYNE MILDRED IRENE 487 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CASTILLO SIXTO \& MARIA<br>491 CHRIS DR<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PARRISH KENNETH LEE JR AND
JUDITH GAIL WOOD 499 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MARTINEZ PEDRO \& MARIA CELIA
506 RUSSELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
513 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

SANCHEZ GERARDO RAFAEL AND LILIA GALLEGOS
516 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

JIMENEZ LEONARDO
5201 KELSO LN
GARLAND, TX 75043

RESIDENT
521 YVONNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ALVAREZ MARIA G GALLEGOS
552 RUSSELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RAMIREZ MARGARITO VALDEZ
525 WAYNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

GRANADOS CASTULO \& NANCY 530 RUSSELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RETANA JUAN \& YENY RUBIO 535 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RODRIGUEZ ROMAN 540 CHRIS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ANDREWS TRESIA AND KENNETH 547 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032
RAMIREZ MARGARITO VALDEZ
525 WAYNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

GANUS HUGH
524 SESAME DR
MESQUITE, TX 75149

RESIDENT
528 CHRIS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

RESIDENT
531 YVONNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

STRICKLAND TARA
536 WAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

DELGADO JUAN E \& MARIA L
541 YVONNE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CERVANTES HECTOR AND
ERIKA MOLINA 548 NICOLE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HUERTA JOSE AND MARIA 848 SMITH ACRES DR ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

VASQUEZ JAVIER AND LILIANA
524 YVONNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-013: SUP for Residential Infill

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Paul Arce of Projects \& Constructions Araque on behalf of Shirley Soto for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.248 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single- Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 340 Evans Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9,2024 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Angelica Guevara Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

Case No. Z2024-013: SUP for Residential Infill
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.



Front Elevation


## 2Right Elevation

Yavew Chapa
03/1312024

| ARAQUE <br> PROJECTS \& CONSTRUCTION |  | Project Name \& Address <br> EVANS ROAD $\frac{\text { Legal Description }}{\text { LOT } 1179}$ | ELEVATIONS |  |  |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Proje |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Date: | 02/17/2024 | Scale | $1 / 8{ }^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0 \mid$ |  |
|  |  |  | Drawn by: Projects \& Construction Araque |  |  |  |  |



$1 \frac{\text { Floor Plan }}{18=1 v_{0}}$

| Door Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mark |  |  |  |  | Type | Width | Height |
| Phase Created |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Grand total: 23

| Window Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase Created | Mark | Type | Height | Width |
| New Construction | W2448 | $\begin{aligned} & 0915 \mathrm{x} \\ & 1220 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | 2' - 0' | $4^{\prime}$ - 0 " |
| New Construction | W3660 | 36"x60" | 5' - 0" | $3^{\prime}$ - 0" |
| New Construction | W4860 | 48"x60" | 5' - 0" | $4^{\prime}$ - 0 " |
| New Construction | W4860 | 48"x60" | 5' - 0" | $4^{\prime}$ - 0 " |
| New Construction | W4868 | 48"x60" | $5^{\prime}$ - 0 " | $4^{\prime}$ - 0 " |
| New Construction | W4872 | $48 " x 72$ | $6^{\prime}$ - 0 " | $4^{\prime}$ - 0 " |

A ARAQUE


Roof
$\frac{\text { Roor }}{182 m}$

$2 \frac{\text { Rafter Roof }}{n=\pi=\sim \cdot r}$

Yavcur chypa

| Fmm miear sis |
| :---: |
| $03 / 13 / 2024$ |


|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |



CITY OF ROCKWALL
HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## ADJACENTHOUSING ATTRIBUTES

ADDRESS
HOUSING TYPE YEAR BUILT HOUSE SF ACCESSORYBUILDING EXTERIORMATERIALS

| 302 Evans Road | Modular Home | 1985 | 1,104 | N/A | Siding |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310 Evans Road | Modular Home | 1999 | 1,856 | N/A | Siding |
| 322 Evans Road | Single-Family Home | 1995 | 1,324 | 90 | Siding |
| 340 Evans Road | Vacant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 358 Evans Road | Single-Family Home | 1975 | 1,152 | 1350 | Brick and Siding |
| 376 Evans Road | Modular Home | 1998 | 2,384 | N/A | Siding |
| 388 Evans Road | Modular Home | 1980 | 1,391 | 90 | Siding |
| 400 Evans Road | Modular Home | 1974 | 1,148 | 168 | Siding |
| 552 Russell Drive | Modular Home | 2003 | 1,560 | 144 | Siding |
| 547 Wayne Drive | Modular Home | 1998 | 1,444 | 400 | Siding |
| 548 Wayne Drive | Modular Home | 1984 | 2,558 | 192 | Siding |
| 541 Yvonne Drive | Modular Home | 2003 | 1,276 | 168 | Siding |
| 544 Yvonne Drive | Modular Home | 1970 | 940 | 240 | Siding |

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMALL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM


## 302 Evans Road



CITY OF ROCKWALL
HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM


322 Evans Road


CITY OF ROCKWALL
HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM


358 Evans Road


376 Evans Road


400 Evans Road

CITY OF ROCKWALL
HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM


552 Russell Drive


547 Wayne Drive


548 Wayne Drive


541 Yvonne Drive

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

HOUSING ANALYSIS FOR CASE NO. Z2024-013
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM


544 Yvonne Drive

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{\text { 24-XX }}$
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-3XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 75 (PD-75) [ORDINANCE NO. 16-01] AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR RESIDENTIAL INFILL IN AN ESTABLISHED SUBDIVISION ON A 0.248-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS A PORTION OF LOT 1180 AND ALL OF LOT 1179 OF THE LAKE ROCKW ALL ESTATES PHASE 2 ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Paul Arce for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision on a 0.248 -acre parcel of land identified as a portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for SingleFamily 7 (SF-7) District land uses, addressed as 340 Evans Road, and being more specifically described and depicted in Exhibit 'A' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) [Ordinance No. 16-01] and the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) [Ordinance No. 16-01] and the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision to allow for the construction of a single-family home in an established subdivision in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in

Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) [Ordinance No. 16-01] and Subsection 03.01, General Residential District Standards, and Subsection 03.09, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 2002] -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and with the following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property and conformance to these operational conditions are required:

1) The development of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Residential Plot Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance.
2) The construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
3) The applicant shall be required to replat the subject property prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
4) Once construction of the single-family home has been completed, inspected, and accepted by the City of Rockwall, this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall expire, and no further action by the property owner shall be required.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP) of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require the Subject Property to comply with the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the contractor operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and
the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {th }}$ OF MAY, 2024.

ATTEST:


Kristy Teague, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: May 6, 2024

Exhibit ' $A$ ':
Location Map and Residential Plot Plan
Address: 340 Evans Road
Legal Description: Portion of Lot 1180 and all of Lot 1179 of the Lake Rockwall Estates Phase 2 Addition


Exhibit ' A ':
Location Map and Residential Plot Plan
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## Site Plan

1" = 10'-0"
EVANS ROAD


Exhibit 'B':
Building Elevations


TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Barbara Lee
Z2024-014; Zoning Change (AG to SF-1) for 628 Cornelius Road

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Barbara Lee for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a ten (10) acre tract of land identified as Tract 22-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 628 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on December 2, 2019 by Ordinance No. 20-03 [i.e. Case No. A2019-001]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to Rockwall Central Appraisal District, currently situated on the subject property is a $2,632-\mathrm{SF}$ single-family home that was constructed in 2018 . Also situated on the subject property is a barn (i.e. 1350 SF), an accessory structure (i.e. 240 SF), and two (2) detached carports (i.e. 320 SF \& 400 SF) that were all constructed in 1990.

## PURPOSE

On March 15, 2024, the applicant -- Barbara Lee -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District. The applicant has indicated that the purpose of the zoning change is to establish two (2) additional single-family residential lots the will be conveyed to family members.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 628 Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is a 19.00-acre tract land of land (i.e. Tract 22-05 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) zoned Planned Development District 91 (PD-91) that makes up part of the Winding Creek Subdivision. Beyond that is Clem Road, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. North of this is a vacant 101.43-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 4 of the J. M. Glass Survey, Abstract No. 88) zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property is Cornelius Road, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a one (1) acre tract of land (i.e. which is part of a larger 12.231-acre tract of land and is identified as Tract 10 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72). Beyond this is a vacant 10.231-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 10-01, of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72). South of this is a 55.08 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72), which consists of one (1) single-family home. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

East: Directly east of the subject property is the boundary for the city limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this, there are multiple residential properties situated within the City's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ).

West: Directly west of the subject property is a 10.00-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 22-02 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72), which belongs to the Rockwall RV Park and Tiny Home Village. Beyond this is a 4.00 -acre tract of vacant land (i.e. Tract 22-07 of the W.M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72). West of this is the Maytona Ranch Estates Subdivision, which was established on September 26, 1983, consists of 19 residential lots, and is $100 \%$ developed. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

MAP 1: LOCATION MAP
YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant is requesting to rezone the ten (10) acre parcel of land from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF1) District. The applicant has stated that if approved, it would be her intent to subdivide the property into three (3) parcels of land for the purpose of keeping the parcel with the existing single-family home, and conveying the other two (2) parcels to family members for two (2) new single-family homes. It should be noted, that if this zoning change is approved, any development on the subject property will be required to adhere to all applicable requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property that is zoned Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 05.02, Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District is the property zoning classification for larger, single-family lots that are a minimum of one (1) acre in size ... [and] is also intended to be used for developments that incorporate public/private parks, denominational and private schools, and churches that are essential in creating the basic neighborhood unit." In this case, the properties along Cornelius Road that are adjacent to the subject property are zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and are typically greater than one (1) acre. Based on this, the requested zoning change does appear to conform to the surrounding area. If the applicant's zoning request is approved, the subject property will be required to conform to all of the requirements stipulated for properties in a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District, which are summarized as follows:

## TABLE 1: SINGLE -FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT STANDARDS

| DWELLING UNITS/LOT | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| DWELLING UNITS/ACRE ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1.0 |
| MINIMUM DWELLING UNIT SIZE | 2,500 |
| MINIMUM LOT AREA | 8,400 SF |
| MINIMUM LOT WIDTH ${ }^{(2)}$ | $70^{\prime}$ |
| PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT | PAGE 2 |


| MINIMUM LOT DEPTH | $100^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK | $20^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK ${ }^{(3)}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK | $10^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM BETWEEN BUILDINGS | $10^{\prime}$ |
| MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT | $36^{\prime}$ |
| MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE | $45.00 \%$ |
| MINIMUM REQUIRED PARKING SPACES $\left.{ }^{\prime} 4\right) \&(5)$ | 2 |

## NOTES:

1: THE SINGLE-FAMILY 1 (SF-1)
2: FRONTAGE ON A PUBLIC STREET
3: THE SIDE SETBACK ADJACENT TO A STREET IS TREATED THE SAME AS A FRONT YARD BUILDING SETBACK.
4: MINIMUM LENGTH OF DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT FROM PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR REAR AND SIDE YARD IS 20-FEET.
5: AN ENCLOSED GARAGE SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN MEETING THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Northeast Residential District and is designated for Low Density Residential (LDR) land uses. The Northeast Residential District is defined as being "...characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots... (t)he Northeast Residential District being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition." With this being said, most properties with single-family homes along Cornelius Road are situated on larger tracts of land. Based on this, the proposed zoning change conforms to the District Strategies which state that "...the maintenance of the Estate and Rural Residential housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City." The applicant request also conforms to this strategy given that this district requires a minimum of 1-acre lots. In addition, the Land Use Plan defines the Low Density Residential land use as a "...land use category [that] consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less ..." In this case, the applicant is requesting a density of one (1) dwelling unit per acre. Based on this, the applicant's request appears to be in substantial conformance with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the proposed request, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the development of the subject property:
(1) Roadways. At the time of subdivision plat, the developer shall be required to verify and dedicate right-of-way to be $42.50^{\prime}$ from the centerline of Cornelius Road in accordance with the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
(2) Water. The existing single-family home currently situated on the subject property is utilizing Mount Zion Water Supply. An Infrastructure Study might be needed if the proposed lots want to tie in with the City of Rockwall water lines.
(3) Wastewater. Given that the existing single-family home on the subject property already has an OSSF or septic system, staff should note that the two (2) proposed lots may want to utilize On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) or septic systems as well. According to Section 44-243 of Chapter 44, Utilities, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, "(n)o permit for an on-site sewage facility (OSSF) will be issued if the location is within 100-feet of an existing city sanitary sewer line unless an exception is granted by the City Council on the grounds that connection with the sanitary sewer is impossible ...", and "(n)o permit for the installation of an on-site sewage facility (OSSF) will be issued for property less than $11 / 2$-acre..." In this case, the sewer system for the Winding Creek Subdivision is located more than 100 -feet from the proposed lots. In addition, all of the proposed lots are $11 / 2$-acre in size. Based on this, the proposed lots are eligible for On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) or septic systems, and no further public hearing or approval is required.
(4) Drainage. Detention mayl be required for the newly proposed lots.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 22, 2024, staff notified 32 property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Homeowner's Associations (HOA) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was written, staff had not received any notices in regard to the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Zoning Change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.


NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST /SELECT ONLY ONE BOX:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:<br>MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$<br>PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE) })^{1}$<br>FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$<br>$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$<br>$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ )<br>$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST (\$100.00)<br>SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:<br>$\square$ SITE PLAN ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$<br>$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

```
ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
<ZZNING CHANGE ($200.00 +$15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) 182
\squarePD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) '
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
\square TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
\squareVARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
```

NOTES:
1 : IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE
PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT
INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING
PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
address 628 CORNELIUS ROAD
SUBDIVISION 10.02 ACRES W. DALTON A-72 LOT - BLOCK
general location
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENT ZONING

CURRENT USE

## Resloovtal/agricuutue

 PROPOSED USE$$
\text { ACREAGE } 10.02 \text { LOTS[CURRENT] }
$$

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WII RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTCHECK THe PRIMARY CONTACTIORIIINAL IIGNATURES ARE REQuIRED]


NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED BARBARA LES _ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
" HEREBY CERTIEYTHATIAM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT: AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF \$ $\quad 350.00$ TO COYER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWELL ON THIS THE I $\qquad$ DAY OF INFORMATION CONTANED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMUTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."



## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2024-014
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG to SF-1 for 628 Cornelius Road
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address: 628 Cornelius Road


THOMAS STEPHEN R \& SHARON C
1200 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COOK ROBERT M ETUX 1206 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
1212 MAYILYN JAYNE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MENDOZA ROBERT E AND SANDRA J WILKINS 1218 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COMBS TERRY G \& RONNA T 2008 E COLLINS BLVD RICHARDSON, TX 75081

RESIDENT
505 CLEM RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
588 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEE JAMES H AND BARBARA
628 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PERKINS RALPH TRENT \& AMY CAIN
701 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FALCON PLACE SF LTD
8214 WESTCHESTER DRIVE SUITE 900 DALLAS, TX 75225

JAMES BRIAN \& KIMBERLY
1202 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RODRIGUEZ CONRADO JR 1208 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
1214 MARILYN JAYNE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SINGH GAGANPREET K AND PAWANPREET 151 STEVENSON DR FATE, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RV PARK AND TINY HOME VILLAGE 3094 COUNTY ROAD 1024
FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442

RESIDENT
520 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> PEOPLES DONNIE 589 CORNELIUS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 657 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES DANIEL AND ALINA 722 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
839 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALKER GRACIE \& LEON 1204 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## EMRA JOHN AND SHERYL AND

 PAUL AND KATIE EMRA 1210 MARILYN JAYNE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087COUCH MITCHELL E AND PATRICIA M 1216 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCCALLUM CRAIG WILLIAM 1983 N STODGHILL RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 427 CLEM RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 555 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 611 CLEM RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEWITT RONNY M AND JENNIFER L 668 CORNELIUS ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LITTERELL KENNIE LLOYD
798 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOUTHALL MARK P \& KATHY L
P. O. BOX 2214 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE
PO BOX 41
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-014: Zoning Change from AG to SF-1

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Barbara Lee for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a ten (10) acre tract of land identified as Tract 22-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 628 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9,2024 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Angelica Guevara

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

-     -         - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM


## Case No. Z2024-014: Zoning Change from AG to SF-1

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.


All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being all of a called 10.00 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from Joseph E. Cook and Kerri A. Cook to William Edward Powers and Nancy Freeman Powers, dated July 17, 2015 and being recorded in Document No. 20150000011248 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for corner in Cornelius Road, said point being at the southwest corner of said Powers tract and at the southeast corner of a 9.97 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from John C. Fredriksen and Arlene C. Fredriksen to Marc J. Laughrey and Tracey D. Laughrey, as recorded in Document no. 20150000008096 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 00 deg. 05 min .24 sec . W., at 20.00 feet pass a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for witness and continuing for a total distance of 1329.65 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for corner at the northeast corner of said 9.97 acres tract of land;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 26 min .06 sec . E. a distance of 327.76 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner at the northeast corner of said Powers tract and the northwest corner of a 9.58 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from William E. Powers and Nancy F. Powers to James H. Lee and Barbara P. Lee, as recorded in Document no. 20170000013220 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE S. 00 deg. 06 min .19 sec . E. along the west boundary line of said 9.58 acres tract, at 1311.20 feet pass a $3 / 8$ " iron rod found for witness and continuing for a total distance of 1331.68 feet to a point in the center of Cornelius Road;

THENCE S. 89 deg. 47 min .28 sec . W. along the center of said road, a distance of 328.10 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 10.02 acres of land, of which 0.22 acres lies within Cornelius Road.

| LEGEND: | PERMITTED LAND USES IN AN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use NOT Permitted |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted By-Right |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted with Conditions |  |  |  |
| S Land Use Permitted Specific Use Permit (SUP) | SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT |  |  |
| X Land Use Prohibited by Overlay District |  |  |  |
| A Land Use Permitted as an Accessory Use |  |  |  |
| LAND USE SCHEDULE | LAND USE DEFINITION REFERENCE [Reference Article 13, Definitions] | CONDITIONAL USE REFERENCE Reference [Article 04 Permissible Uses] | SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT |
| AGRICULTURAL AND ANIMAL RELATED LAND USES | 2.02(A) | $2.03(\mathrm{~A})$ |  |
| Agricultural Uses on Unplatted Land | (1) |  | P |
| Private Horse Corral or Stable | (10) | (6) | S |
| Community Garden | (11) | (7) | S |
| Urban Farm | (12) | (8) | S |
| RESIDENTIAL AND LODGING LAND USES | 2.02(B) | 2.03(B) |  |
| Residential Accessory Building or Structure | (1) | (1) | P |
| Residential Garage | (7) | (4) \& (5) | A |
| Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit/Accessory Dwelling Unit | (8) | (6) | A |
| Home Occupation | (9) | (7) | P |
| Portable Building | (15) | (10) | P |
| Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision | (16) | (11) | S |
| Single-Family Detached Structure | (18) | (13) | P |
| Private Swimming Pool | (20) |  | A |
| Private Tennis Court | (21) |  | S |
| INSTITUTIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE LAND USES | 2.02(C) | 2.03(C) |  |
| Church/House of Worship | (4) | (2) | S |
| Daycare with Seven (7) or More Children | (9) | (4) | S |
| Group or Community Home | (11) | (5) | P |
| Public or Private Primary School | (21) | (7) | S |
| Public or Private Secondary School | (22) | (8) | S |
| Temporary Education Building for a Public or Private School | (23) | (9) | S |
| RECREATION, ENTERTAINMENT AND AMUSEMENT LAND USES | 2.02(E) | 2.03(E) |  |
| Public or Private Community or Recreation Club as an Accessory Use | (4) |  | S |
| Private Country Club | (5) |  | S |
| Temporary Fundraising Events by Non-Profit | (7) | (4) | P |
| Public Park or Playground | (12) |  | P |
| Tennis Courts (i.e. Not Accessory to a Public or Private Country Club) | (14) |  | S |
| RETAIL AND PERSONAL SERVICES LAND USES | 2.02(F) | 2.03(F) |  |
| Temporary Real Estate Sales Office | (25) |  | P |
| COMMERCIAL AND BUSINESS SERVICES LAND USES | 2.02(G) | 2.03(G) |  |
| Temporary On-Site Construction Office | (18) | (6) | P |
| INDUSTRIAL AND MANUFACTURING LAND USES | $2.02(1)$ | 2.03 (1) |  |
| Temporary Asphalt or Concrete Batch Plant | (2) | (2) | P |
| Mining and Extraction of (Sand, Gravel, Oil and/or Other Materialsł | (12) | (5) | S |
| UTILITIES, COMMUNICATIONS AND TRANSPORTATION LAND USES | 2.02(K) | 2.03(K) |  |
| Antenna, as an Accessory | (2) | (1) | S |
| Antenna; for an Amateur Radio | (4) | (3) | A |
| Antenna Dish | (5) | (4) | A |


| LEGEND: | PERMITTED LAND USES IN AN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use NOT Permitted |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted By-Right |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted with Conditions |  |  |  |
| S Land Use Permitted Specific Use Permit (SUP) | SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT |  |  |
| X Land Use Prohibited by Overlay District |  |  |  |
| A Land Use Permitted as an Accessory Use |  |  |  |
| LAND USE SCHEDULE | LAND USE DEFINITION REFERENCE [Reference Article 13, Definitions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONDITIONAL USE } \\ & \text { REFERENCE } \\ & \text { Reference [Article 04, } \\ & \text { Permissible Uses] } \end{aligned}$ | SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT |
| Utilities (Non-Municipally Owned or Controlled), Including Sanitary Landfill, Water Treatment, and Supply, and Wastewater Treatment | (10) |  | S |
| Municipally Owned or Controlled Facilities, Utilities and Uses | (11) |  | P |
| Private Streets | (12) |  | S |
| Railroad Yard or Shop | (14) |  | S |
| Satellite Dish | (16) |  | A |
| Solar Energy Collector Panels and Systems | (17) | (7) | A |
| Utilities Holding a Franchise from the City of Rockwall | (21) |  | S |
| Utility Installation Other than Listed | (22) |  | S |
| Utility/Transmission Lines | (23) |  | S |
| Wireless Communication Tower | (24) |  | S |

SUBSECTION 07.01: RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

| ZONING DISTRI DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABBREVIATION |  | SFE-1.5 | SFE-2.0 | SFE-4.0 | SF-1 | SF-16 | SF-10 | SF-8.4 | SF-7 | ZL-5 | 2 F | AG |
| DWELLING UNITS/LOT |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| DWELLING UNITS/ACRE |  | 0.67 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 1.01 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 12.4 | 0.111 |
| MINIMUM DWELLING UNIT (SF) |  | 2,000 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 2,200 | 1,500 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,600 |
|  | AREA (SQUARE FEET) | 65,340 | 87,120 | 174,240 | 8,400 | 16,000 | 10,000 | 8,400 | 7,000 | 5,000 | 7,000 | 43,560 |
|  | WIDTH (FEET) ${ }^{2}$ | 150 | 150 | 200 | 70 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 100 |
|  | DEPTH (FEET) | 250 | 250 | 250 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 200 |
|  | FRONT (FEET) | 50 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 |
|  | REAR (FEET) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | SIDE (FEET) ${ }^{3}$ | 25 | 25 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0\| 104 | $0^{5} 16^{6}$ | 6 |
| BETWEEN BUILDINGS (FEET) |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| BUILDING HEIGHT (FEET) |  | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 36 |
| MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE (\%) |  | 35 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 50 | 45 | N/A |
| REQUIRED PARKING SPACES 7 |  | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | $2^{8}$ | 29 | 210 | $2^{8}$ |

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

1: THE SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT ALLOWS FOR ONE (1) UNIT PER GROSS ACRE.
2: FRONTAGE ON A PUBLIC STREET
3: THE SIDE SETBACK ADJACENT TO A STREET IS TREATED THE SAME AS A FRONT YARD BUILDING SETBACK.
4: MINIMUM MAINTENANCE EASEMENT IS TEN (10) FEET. MINIMUM MAINTENANCE EASEMENT ON THE NON-ZERO LOT LINE SIDE, WHEN ADJACENT TO ANOTHER LOT IN THE SAME ZONING DISTRICT IS FIVE (5) FEET (THIS EASEMENT SHALL BE MAINTAINED AS AN OPEN SPACE EXCEPT UPON A FINDING BY THE BUILDING OFFICIAL THAT THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS DO NOT IMPEDE THE USE OF SAID EASEMENT FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE ADJOINING STRUCTURE).
5: ABUTTING STRUCTURES SEPARATED BY FIRE RETARDANT WALLS.
6: TOWNHOUSES SEPARATED BY FIREWALL MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE BUILDING CODE MAY BUILD TO THE PROPERTY LINE WHERE SUCH STRUCTURES ABUT.
7. MINIMUM LENGTH OF DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT FROM PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR REAR AND SIDE YARD IS 20-FEET.

8: AN ENCLOSED GARAGE SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN MEETING THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS. FOR ALL OTHER USES SEE ARTICLE 06, PARKING AND LOADING.
9: A TWO (2) CAR GARAGE IS REQUIRED.
10: TWO (2) OFF-STREET PARKING SPACES PLUS ONE (1) GARAGE PARKING SPACE FOR EACH DWELLING UNIT IS REQUIRED.
11: A MINIMUM LOT SIZE OF TEN (10) ACRES IS REQUIRED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANY SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING.

## 11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION
The Northeast Residential District is characterized by its established lowdensity residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Northeast Residential District being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:
(1) Estate and Rural Residential. The maintenance of the Estate and Rural Residential housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
(2) Suburban Residential. Any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential in this district.
(3) Infill Development. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4 Neighborhood/Convenience Centers. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
(5) John King Boulevard Trail Plan. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in Appendix ' $B$ ' of this Comprehensive Plan.

## POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use


John King Boulevard Trail Plan Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

2. Future Suburban Residential


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{\text { 24-XX }}$


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A SINGLE-FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT FOR A 10.00-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 22-01 OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' AND FURTHER DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Barbara Lee for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a 10.00-acre tract of land identified as Tract 22-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 628 Cornelius Road, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same are hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District as stipulated in Section 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses and Section 03.01, General Residential District Standards and Section 03.05, Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein;

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code (UDC) of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable; and

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect;

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2024.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024

Exhibit 'A'
Legal Description

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, Rockwall County, Texas, and being all of a called 10.00 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from Joseph E. Cook and Kerri A. Cook to William Edward Powers and Nancy Freeman Powers, dated July 17, 2015 and being recorded in Document No. 20150000011248 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for corner in Cornelius Road, said point being at the southwest corner of said Powers tract and at the southeast corner of a 9.97 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from John C. Fredriksen and Arlene C. Fredriksen to Marc J. Laughrey and Tracey D. Laughrey, as recorded in Document no. 20150000008096 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 00 deg. 05 min .24 sec . W., at 20.00 feet pass a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for witness and continuing for a total distance of 1329.65 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner at the northeast corner of said 9.97 acres tract of land;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 26 min . 06 sec . E. a distance of 327.76 feet to a $3 / 8$ " iron rod found for corner at the
northeast corner of said Powers tract and the northwest corner of a 9.58 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from William E. Powers and Nancy F. Powers to James H. Lee and Barbara P. Lee, as recorded in Document no. 20170000013220 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE S. 00 deg. 06 min .19 sec . E. along the west boundary line of said 9.58 acres tract, at 1311.20 feet pass a $3 / 8$ " iron rod found for witness and continuing for a total distance of 1331.68 feet to a point in the center of Cornelius Road;

THENCE S. 89 deg. 47 min .28 sec . W. along the center of said road, a distance of 328.10 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 10.02 acres of land, of which 0.22 acres lies within Cornelius Road.



TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties
Z2024-015; Zoning Change (AG to SFE-1.5) for 291, 333, \& 555 Cornelius Road

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for a 15.561 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed into the City of Rockwall on January 4, 2010 by Ordinance No. 10-01 [Case No. A2009-005]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On December 6, 2021, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 21-58 [Case No. Z2021-047; S-265] allowing an Animal Shelter or Loafing Shed on a portion of the subject property (i.e. 555 Cornelis Road). On September 6, 2022 a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72 were rezoned to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Case No. Z2022-038; Ordinance No. 22-47] for the purpose of incorporating this land into the Terraces Subdivision. The remainder of the subject property remained unchanged and zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD) the following improvements currently exist on the subject property:
(1) 291 Cornelius Road. A 1,732 SF single-family home was constructed in 1960, two (2) covered porches (i.e. 676 SF and 560 SF) were constructed in 1960, and a 256 SF detached carport was constructed in 2006.
(2) 333 Cornelius Road. A 1,584 SF single-family home was constructed in 1989, a 648 SF attached garage was constructed in 1989, two (2) covered porches (i.e. 670 SF and 614 SF) were constructed in 1989, and a 504 SF detached carport was constructed in 2012.
(3) 555 Cornelius Road. A 1,750 SF single-family home was constructed in 1960, two (2) covered porches (i.e. 400 SF and 300 SF) were constructed in 1960, a 360 SF barn with a 330 SF canopy was constructed in 1973, a 7,040 SF barn was constructed in 1985, and a 256 SF storage building was constructed in 2012.

Staff should note -- that concurrently with this zoning request -- a subdivision plat [Case No. P2024-009] was submitted, which would establish the lots contained within this proposed zoning change. As a condition of approval for this subdivision plat, this zoning case would need to be approved. This means that if the subdivision plat is approved by the City Council, the plat will not be considered fully approved and be able to be recorded with Rockwall County until after the anticipated second reading of the proposed zoning ordinance on May 6, 2024. Should the City Council deny this zoning case, the subsequent conditional approval of the subdivision plat would no longer be valid.

## PURPOSE

On March 15, 2024, the applicant -- Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for the purpose of establishing eight (8) single-family residential lots.

The subject property is addressed 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is Cornelius Road, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is the Maytona Ranch Estates Subdivision, which was established on September 26,1983 and consists of 19 single-family residential lots. This subdivision is zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Also, beyond Cornelius Road is the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall, followed by the Meadowview Ranch Estates Subdivision. This subdivision was established on February 22, 1977, consists of 24 single-family residential lots, and is situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ).

South: Directly south of the subject property is Phase 2 of the Terraces Subdivision, which is zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) and will consist of 82 single-family residential lots. Beyond this is a 55.08 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72), which is currently being utilized for agricultural purposes. This property is zoned Agricultural (AG) District. South of this is SH-66, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 12.231 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tracts $9,10, \& 10-01$ of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72), which is currently occupied with a single-family home. Beyond this is a 22.27 -acre tract of land (i.e. Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, DC Addition), which consists of two (2) residential lots currently occupied with two (2) single-family homes. All three (3) of these tracts of land are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Phase 1 of the Terraces Subdivision, which is zoned Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) and consists of 181 single-family residential lots. Beyond this is a 15.935-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 5-1 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122) that is vacant and zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## MAP 1: LOCATION MAP

YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The 15.561 -acre subject property currently has three (3) existing single-family homes on four (4) tracts of land. The applicant has submitted a zoning exhibit showing that -- if approved -- the four (4) tracts of land will be reconfigured and subdivided to consist of eight (8) single-family residential lots that will range in size from 1.6296 -acres to 2.8269 -acres. To facilitate this request, the applicant is requesting the zoning be changed from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE1.5) District. According to Subsection 03.02, Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District is the proper zoning classification for rural, estate lots that are a minimum of $11 / 2$-acres in size. These lots are typically in areas that are located in the City's hinterland, away from higher density residential developments and non-residential development." In this case, Cornelius Road is primarily developed with larger tracts of residential or agricultural land. The only development that deviates from this land pattern is the Terraces Subdivision, which is currently under construction and is situated closer to John King Boulevard than the larger tracts of land along Cornelius Road. Based on this, the applicant's request appears to conform to the purpose of the Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District and the existing land use patterns in the area. According to the Residential District Development Standards contained in Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the following is a summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE1.5) District:

TABLE 1: SINGLE -FAMILY ESTATE 1.5 (SFE-1.5) DISTRICT STANDARDS

| DWELLING UNITS/LOT | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| DWELLING UNITS/ACRE | 0.67 |
| MINIMUM DWELLING UNIT SIZE | 2,000 |
| MINIMUM LOT AREA | 65,340 SF |
| MINIMUM LOT WIDTH ${ }^{(1)}$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM LOT DEPTH | $250^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK | $50^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK ${ }^{(2)}$ | $25^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK | $10^{\prime}$ |
| MINIMUM BETWEEN BUILDINGS | $10^{\prime}$ |
| MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT | $36^{\prime}$ |
| MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE | $35.00 \%$ |
| MINIMUM REQUIRED PARKING SPACES ${ }^{(3) \&(4)}$ | 2 |

## NOTES:

1: FRONTAGE ON A PUBLIC STREET
2: THE SIDE SETBACK ADJACENT TO A STREET IS TREATED THE SAME AS A FRONT YARD BUILDING SETBACK.
3: MINIMUM LENGTH OF DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT FROM PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR REAR AND SIDE YARD IS 20-FEET.
4: AN ENCLOSED GARAGE SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN MEETING THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS.
For the City Council and Planning and Zoning Commission's reference, staff has included a list of all of the Permissible Land Uses for the Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District as stipulated by Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) in the attached packet.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Northeast Residential District and is designated for Low Density Residential land uses. According to the District Description, the Northeast Residential District is a district that is "...characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots." As previously stated, the majority of properties along Cornelius Road are larger tracts of land with single-family homes or that are being utilized for agricultural purposes. This appears to conform to the District Strategies for this district, which encourage "(t)he maintenance of the Estate and Rural Residential housing types ... [as being] important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City." The applicant's request also appears to conform to this strategy being a request for a zoning district that requires a minimum of $11 / 2$-acre lots. In addition, the Land Use Plan defines the Low Density Residential land use as a "...land use category [that] consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less ..." In this case, the applicant is requesting a density of 0.67 dwelling units per acre. Based on this, the applicant's request appears to be in substantial conformance with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

Based on the proposed request, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the development of the subject property:
(1) Roadways. At the time of subdivision plat, the developer shall be required to verify and dedicate the right-of-way for Cornelius Road in accordance with the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
(2) Water. A 12-inch waterline is required in the 20' Drainage and Utility Easement in Lots $2 \& 3$ as shown on the zoning exhibit. In addition, this line will need to be extended across the frontage of Lots $3-8$ per the Master Water Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. For Lots $1 \& 2$, an eight (8) inch water line will need to be extended from the 12 -inch line to provide service and fire protection for these two (2) proposed parcels of land.
(3) Wastewater. As part of this zoning request, the applicant is also requesting to utilize On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) or septic systems for the five (5) newly proposed lots. The existing three (3) single-family homes currently situated on the subject property already have OSSF or septic systems. According to Section 44-243 of Chapter 44, Utilities, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, "(n)o permit for an on-site sewage facility (OSSF) will be issued if the location is within 100feet of an existing city sanitary sewer line unless an exception is granted by the City Council on the grounds that connection with the sanitary sewer is impossible ...", and "( $n$ )o permit for the installation of an on-site sewage facility (OSSF) will be issued for property less than $1 \frac{1}{2}$-acre..." In this case, the sewer system for the Terraces Subdivision has not been accepted by the City, and the proposed lines are more than 100 -feet from the subject property. In addition, all of the proposed lots are greater than $1 \frac{1}{2}$-acre in size. Based on this, the proposed lots are eligible for On-Site Sewage Facilities (OSSF) or septic systems, and no further public hearing or approval is required.
(4) Drainage. Detention will be required and need to be sized per Manning's $C$-Value for the zoning designation.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On March 19, 2024, staff mailed 34 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Homeowner's Associations (HOAs) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received two (2) notices (i.e. one [1] in favor and one [1] opposed to the applicant's request); however, both notices were from property situated in the City Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ). These have been included in the attached packet.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Zoning Change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

[^2]PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES;
$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST $(\$ 100.00)$
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONSILANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) $)^{1 \& 2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL ( $\$ 75.00$ )
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOTES:
: IN DETERMINNG THE FEE. PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2. A $\$ 1,000,00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLANCE TO AN APPROVED BULLDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS
333 Cornelius Road
SUBDIVISION
M.B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122

LOT
BLOCK
general location Approximately 1250 ft east of the intersection John King \& FM 1141 South of 1141
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | PD-93 |  | CURRENT USE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPOSED ZONING | SFE-1.5 |  | PROPOSED USE | Single Family |  |
| ACREAGE | 15.561 | LOTS [CURRENT] | 4 | LOTS [PROPOSED] | 8 |

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Mike Peoples | G/APPLICANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| CONTACT PERSON | Mike Peoples | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | PO Box 41 | ADDRESS | 767 Justin Road |
|  |  |  |  |
| CITY, STATE \&ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 | CITY, STATE \&ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | $512-965-6280$ |
| E-MAIL |  | E-MAIL | ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared mike peoples $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:

II HEREBY CERTIFY THATI AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREI IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$ \quad 433.42$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 8 中n

DAY OF
Marcch
2024. BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IE. 'CITY) IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONUUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."




Case Number: Z2024-015
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG to SFE 1.5 Case Type: for 291, 333, 555 Cornelius Road Zoning
Zoning: $\quad$ Agricultural (AG) District
Case Address: 333 Cornelius Road


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2024-015
Case Name:
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address:

Date Saved: 3/25/2024
For Questions on this Case Call: (972) 771-7745


## THOMAS STEPHEN R \& SHARON C 1200 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SMITH DANIELT 1203 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COOK ROBERT M ETUX 1206 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ 124 HARKER TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SINGH GAGANPREET K AND PAWANPREET 151 STEVENSON DR FATE, TX 75087

SOSTA ARLENE
171 HARKER TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE<br>226 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RV PARK AND TINY HOME VILLAGE 3094 COUNTY ROAD 1024 FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442

RESIDENT 555 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEE JAMES H AND BARBARA
628 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDY AND KAY WILSON REVOCABLE TRUST
RANDY SCOTT WILSON AND KAY MARIE WILSON- CO TRUSTEES 1201 MARILYN JAYNE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALKER GRACIE \& LEON 1204 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CANTRELL CARL DEAN 1207 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE MARK D 131 MEADOWLARK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KING NATALIE 153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FORTNER NATHAN
218 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WOOLARD JAMES R
252 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
333 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
588 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
657 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JAMES BRIAN \& KIMBERLY
1202 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JAMES DAVID L 1205 MARILYN JAYNE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RATLIFF JENNIFER L
123 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAWRENCE JAMES CHRISTOPHER
151 HARKER TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHANNON STANLEY W 162 HARKER TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 219 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 291 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 520 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES DONNIE 589 CORNELIUS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEWITT RONNY M AND JENNIFER L 668 CORNELIUS ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PERKINS RALPH TRENT \& AMY CAIN
701 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT
839 CORNELIUS RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE PO BOX 41 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Z2024-015: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for a 15.561 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, April 9, 2024 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, April 15, 2024 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Ryan Miller

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, April 15, 2024 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

- . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM


## Case No. Z2024-015: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Case No. Z2024-015: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name: <br> Address: <br> Sharon Shannon

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.
PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Case No. Z2024-015: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
STRONGlY OPPOSED

character of the reighbethoud. Wee mauled troth area years ago because wee lave the semi-rural charecter.

Name: James + Aundria Lledard
Address: 252 Harker Trl, Roulwall
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

767 Justin Rd
Rockwall, TX 75087

April 2, 2024

Mr. Ryan Miller
City of Rockwall
Director of Planning
385 S. Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

RE: Zoning Case Z2024-015 | Peoples Property

Dear Mr. Miller,

In relation to City of Rockwall Zoning Case Z2024-015 we would like to request that the zoning allow for On Site Sewer Treatment Facilities (OSSF) be allowed for this addition to the City. Part of the property includes 3 existing homes that are currently utilizing OSSF for their waste water treatment. Additionally these lots would sit below the grade of the homes in Terraces Phase 2. With the creations of these lots, the OSSF seems a better fit than a lift station and or pump stations for each individual home to get back up stream to the Terraces Phase 2 sanitary system.



LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
BEING a tract of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract Number 72 Rockwall County, Texas and being portions of those tracts of land conveyed to Mike Peoples, according
to the documents filed of record in Volume 1679, Page 190, Volume 1679, Page 196 , Volume 173, Page 752 and Volume 184, Page 522 , Deed Records Rockwall County, Texas (D.R.R.C.T.)
and being more porticularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for the northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to the City of BEGINNING at a point for the northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to the
Rockwall, Texas according to the document filed of record in Volume 58, Page 1911 ,
(D.R.R.C.T.) same being the northwest corner of the tract of land described herein;

THENCE, North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 485.01 feet to a point for a corner of this tract;
THENCE, North 00 degrees 28 minutes 35 seconds West, a distance of 10.64 feet to a point
for a corner of this tract;
THENCE, North 89 degrees 17 minutes 06 seconds East, a distance of 1058.46 feet to a
point for the northwest corner of that tract of land conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to poin for the northwest corner of that tract of ogn conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to
the document filed of record in Volume 188, Page 357 (D.R.R.C.T.) ond being the common northeast corner of this tract
THENCE, South 00 degrees 32 minutes 31 seconds East, with the west line of said Donnie
Peoples tract, same being common with the eost line of this tract, a distance of 458.47 fee Peoples tract, same being common with the erst
to a point for the southeast corner of this tract;
THENCE, South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, leaving said common line, a distance


THENCE, with said curve to the right an arc distance of 128.96 feet to a point for a corner of this, tract and the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the left, hoving a central angle o $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450.00 feet, and a long chord bearing and distance of North
54 degrees 21 minutes 52 seconds West, 203.68 feet;
THENCE, with said curve to the left an arc distance of 205.46 feet to a point in the east line of Terraces Phase One, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas
according to the plat filed of record in Document Number 20240000000459 Plat Records according to the plat filed of record in Document Number 20240000000459
Rockwall County, Texas for the most westerly southwest corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds West, with said east line, a distance of 63.06 feet to a point for the northeast corner of said addition, same being the common
southeast corner of the above-mentioned City of Rockwall tract ond a common corner of this tract;
THENCE North 00 degrees 06 minutes 44 seconds West, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract and common west line of this tract, a distance of 209.82 feet to the POIN OFkwal tract and common west line of this ract, a distance of 209.82 fet.
OF BEGINNG and containing 677,846 square feet or 15.561 acres of land.

## OWNER'S CERTIFICATION:

now therefore, know all men by these presents:
STATE OF TXXAS
COUNTY of ROCKWall
8
SURVEYOR'S CERTFICATE:
NOW, THEREFORE KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS.



 understond ond do hereby reserve the easement strips shown on this plat for the purposes stated ond for
the mutual use ond occommodotion of oll utitities desiring to use or using same. 1 (we) olso understond the
following.

1. No buildings shall be constructed or placed upon, over, or across the utility easements os described here
2. Any public utility shall have the right to remove ond keep removed oll or part of ony buiddings, fences, Any public utity solthave the right to remove ond keep removed all or part of any buidings, fences
trees, shrubs, or other growths or improvements which in ony way endonger or interfere with construction



The developer and

controls such that properties within the draingege orea are not odversely offected by storm drainage from
the oeveloment.
6o house dwelling unit, or other structure shall be constructed on ony lot in this oddition by the owner or



Until on escrow deposit, sufficient to pay for the cost of such improvements, as determined by the iity's
engineer ond/or city odministrotor, computed on o private commerciol rote bosis, has been mode with the city




 the City of Rockwal
(we) further acknowledge that the dedications ond/ or exactions made herein ore proportional to the impact
of the subdivison upon the public services required in order thot the development will comport with the


Signoture
Name
Title

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF DALLAS
$\$$
 Given under my hand ond seal of office, this ___ day of $\qquad$ , 2024.

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF COLIN
8

## 

$\qquad$
tary public tor and the state or
commission expires: --_-_-_-_-_-_-_
fionning $\&$ Zōning Commission, Chairiperson
Dote
-
 This aporovol shall beinvolid ulless the opprovede plat for such ododition is recordede in the office of the
County cleerk of Rocknol, county. Texss, within one hundered eighty (180) doys from soid dote of MTNESS OUR HANOS, this ___ day of _-_-__ 2024

Notary pubicic in and for the State of Texos
My Commission Expires

| LEGEND: | PERMITTED LAND USES IN AN SINGLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use NOT Permitted |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted By-Right |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted with Conditions |  |  |  |
| S Land Use Permitted Specific Use Permit (SUP) | FAMILY ESTATES (SFE) DISTRICT |  |  |
| X Land Use Prohibited by Overlay District |  |  |  |
| A Land Use Permitted as an Accessory Use |  |  |  |
| LAND USE SCHEDULE | LAND USE DEFINITION REFERENCE [Reference Article 13, Definitions] |  | SINGLE FAMILY ESTATE DISTRICTS |
| AGRICULTURAL AND ANIMAL RELATED LAND USES | 2.02(A) | $2.03(\mathrm{~A})$ |  |
| Agricultural Uses on Unplatted Land | (1) |  | P |
| Animal Boarding/Kennel with Outside Pens | (2) | (1) | S |
| Animal Boarding/Kennel without Outside Pens | (2) | (2) | S |
| Commercial Horse Corral or Stable | (9) | (5) | S |
| Private Horse Corral or Stable | (10) | (6) | P |
| Community Garden | (11) | (7) | S |
| Urban Farm | (12) | (8) | S |
| Wholesale Nursery (i.e. without Retail Sale On-Site) | (13) |  | S |
| RESIDENTIAL AND LODGING LAND USES | 2.02(B) | 2.03(B) |  |
| Residential Accessory Building or Structure | (1) | (1) | P |
| Bed and Breakfast | (2) | (2) | S |
| Residential Garage | (7) | (4) \& (5) | A |
| Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit/Accessory Dwelling Unit | (8) | (6) | A |
| Home Occupation | (9) | (7) | P |
| Portable Building | (15) | (10) | P |
| Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision | (16) | (11) | S |
| Single-Family Detached Structure | (18) | (13) | P |
| Private Swimming Pool | (20) |  | A |
| Private Tennis Court | (21) |  | S |
| INSTITUTIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE LAND USES | 2.02(C) | 2.03(C) |  |
| Church/House of Worship | (4) | (2) | S |
| Daycare with Seven (7) or More Children | (9) | (4) | S |
| Group or Community Home | (11) | (5) | P |
| Public or Private Primary School | (21) | (7) | S |
| Public or Private Secondary School | (22) | (8) | S |
| Temporary Education Building for a Public or Private School | (23) | (9) | S |
| RECREATION, ENTERTAINMENT AND AMUSEMENT LAND USES | 2.02(E) | 2.03(E) |  |
| Public or Private Community or Recreation Club as an Accessory Use | (4) |  | S |
| Private Country Club | (5) |  | S |
| Temporary Fundraising Events by Non-Profit | (7) | (4) | P |
| Public Park or Playground | (12) |  | P |
| Tennis Courts (i.e. Not Accessory to a Public or Private Country Club) | (14) |  | S |
| RETALL AND PERSONAL SERVICES LAND USES | 2.02(F) | 2.03(F) |  |
| Temporary Real Estate Sales Office | (25) |  | P |
| COMMERCIAL AND BUSINESS SERVICES LAND USES | 2.02(G) | 2.03(G) |  |
| Temporary On-Site Construction Office | (18) | (6) | P |
| INDUSTRIAL AND MANUFACTURING LAND USES | $2.02(1)$ | 2.03 (1) |  |
| Temporary Asphalt or Concrete Batch Plant | (2) | (2) | P |
| Mining and Extraction of (Sand, Gravel, Oil and/or Other Materialsł | (12) | (5) | S |


| LEGEND: | PERMITTED LAND USES IN AN SINGLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use NOT Permitted |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted By-Right |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted with Conditions |  |  |  |
| S Land Use Permitted Specific Use Permit (SUP) | FAMILY ESTATES (SFE) DISTRICT |  |  |
| X Land Use Prohibited by Overlay District |  |  |  |
| A Land Use Permitted as an Accessory Use |  |  |  |
| LAND USE SCHEDULE | LAND USE DEFINITION REFERENCE [Reference Article 13, Definitions] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONDITIONAL USE } \\ & \text { REFERENCE } \\ & \text { Reference [article 04, } \\ & \text { Permissible Uses] } \end{aligned}$ | SINGLE FAMILY ESTATE DISTRICTS |
| UTILITIES, COMMUNICATIONS AND TRANSPORTATION LAND USES | 2.02(K) | 2.03(K) |  |
| Antenna; as an Accessory | (2) | (1) | S |
| Antenna, for an Amateur Radio | (4) | (3) | A |
| Antenna Dish | (5) | (4) | A |
| Utilities (Non-Municipally Owned or Controlled), Including Sanitary Landfill, Water Treatment, and Supply, and Wastewater Treatment | (10) |  | S |
| Municipally Owned or Controlled Facilities, Utilities and Uses | (11) |  | P |
| Private Streets | (12) |  | S |
| Railroad Yard or Shop | (14) |  | S |
| Satellite Dish | (16) |  | A |
| Solar Energy Collector Panels and Systems | (17) | (7) | A |
| Utilities Holding a Franchise from the City of Rockwall | (21) |  | S |
| Utility Installation Other than Listed | (22) |  | S |
| Utility/Transmission Lines | (23) |  | S |
| Wireless Communication Tower | (24) |  | S |

SUBSECTION 07.01: RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS


## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

1: THE SINGLE FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT ALLOWS FOR ONE (1) UNIT PER GROSS ACRE.
2: FRONTAGE ON A PUBLIC STREET
3: THE SIDE SETBACK ADJACENT TO A STREET IS TREATED THE SAME AS A FRONT YARD BUILDING SETBACK.
4: MINIMUM MAINTENANCE EASEMENT IS TEN (10) FEET. MINIMUM MAINTENANCE EASEMENT ON THE NON-ZERO LOT LINE SIDE, WHEN ADJACENT TO ANOTHER LOT IN THE SAME ZONING DISTRICT IS FIVE (5) FEET (THIS EASEMENT SHALL BE MAINTAINED AS AN OPEN SPACE EXCEPT UPON A FINDING BY THE BUILDING OFFICIAL THAT THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS DO NOT IMPEDE THE USE OF SAID EASEMENT FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE ADJOINING STRUCTURE).
5: ABUTTING STRUCTURES SEPARATED BY FIRE RETARDANT WALLS.
6: TOWNHOUSES SEPARATED BY FIREWALL MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE BUILDING CODE MAY BUILD TO THE PROPERTY LINE WHERE SUCH STRUCTURES ABUT.
7: MINIMUM LENGTH OF DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT FROM PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR REAR AND SIDE YARD IS 20-FEET.
8: AN ENCLOSED GARAGE SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN MEETING THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS. FOR ALL OTHER USES SEE ARTICLE 06, PARKING AND LOADING.
9: A TWO (2) CAR GARAGE IS REQUIRED.
10: TWO (2) OFF-STREET PARKING SPACES PLUS ONE (1) GARAGE PARKING SPACE FOR EACH DWELLING UNIT IS REQUIRED.
11: A MINIMUM LOT SIZE OF TEN (10) ACRES IS REQUIRED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANY SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING.

## 11 NORTHEAST RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

DISTRICT DESCRIPTION
The Northeast Residential District is characterized by its established lowdensity residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots. This district is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development. In addition, the City currently owns a large tract of land that will be a northern community park and serve this district in the future.

## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Northeast Residential District being mostly an established residential district, is not anticipated to change or transition. The strategies for this district are:
(1) Estate and Rural Residential. The maintenance of the Estate and Rural Residential housing types are important to balancing the diversity of suburban lots to large lot housing within the City. These areas also provide rural reserves for the City and create a natural transition zone to the east, towards FM-3549.
(2) Suburban Residential. Any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential in this district.
(3) Infill Development. Residential infill development within this district should be compatible with the surrounding structures and should generally follow the guidelines for low density, suburban housing or rural/estate housing.
4 Neighborhood/Convenience Centers. The commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures.
(5) John King Boulevard Trail Plan. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in Appendix ' $B$ ' of this Comprehensive Plan.

## POINTS OF REFERENCE

A. Stoney Hollow Subdivision
B. Celia Hays Elementary School
C. North Country Lane Park
D. Saddlebrook Estates Subdivision
E. Resthaven Funeral Home

LAND USE PALETTES

- Current Land Use
- Future Land Use


John King Boulevard Trail Plan Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon

2. Future Suburban Residential


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 24-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A SINGLE-FAMILY ESTATE 1.5 (SFE-1.5) DISTRICT FOR A 15.561-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS A PORTION OF TRACTS 10-02, 10-03, 25-01 \& 26 OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' AND FURTHER DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for a 15.561-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-01 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 291, 333 \& 555 Cornelius Road, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same are hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District as stipulated in Section 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses and Section 03.01, General Residential District Standards and Section 03.02, Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning
change, and as maybe amended in the future;
SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein;

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code (UDC) of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable; and

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect;

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF MAY, 2024.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
Trace Johannesen, Mayor

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: April 15, 2024

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: May 6, 2024

## Exhibit ' A ' <br> Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the William Dalton Survey, Abstract Number 72 Rockwall County, Texas and being portions of those tracts of land conveyed to Mike Peoples, according to the documents filed of record in Volume 1679, Page 190, Volume 1679, Page 196, Volume 173, Page 752 and Volume 184, Page 522, Deed Records Rockwall County, Texas (D.R.R.C. T.) and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point for the northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to the City of Rockwall, Texas according to the document filed of record in Volume 58, Page 191, (D.R.R.C. T.) same being the northwest corner of the tract of land described herein;

THENCE, North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 485.01 -feet to a point for a corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 00 degrees 28 minutes 35 seconds West, a distance of 10.64-feet to a point for a corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 89 degrees 17 minutes 06 seconds East, a distance of $1,058.46$-feet to a point for the northwest corner of that tract of land conveyed to Donnie Peoples according to the document filed of record in Volume 188, Page 357 (D.R.R.C. T.) and being the common northeast corner of this tract;

THENCE, South 00 degrees 32 minutes 31 seconds East, with the west line of said Donnie Peoples tract, same being common with the east line of this tract, a distance of 458.47 -feet to a point for the southeast corner of this tract;

THENCE, South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, leaving said common line, a distance of $1,265.98$-feet to a point for a corner of this tract and being the beginning of a curve to the right, having a central angle of $49^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 150.00 -feet, and a long chord bearing and distance of North 65 degrees 54 minutes 48 seconds West, 125.02-feet;

THENCE, with said curve to the right an arc distance of 128.96 -feet to a point for a corner of this tract and the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the left, having a central angle of $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $450.00-$ feet, and a long chord bearing and distance of North 54 degrees 21 minutes 52 seconds West, 203.68-feet;

THENCE, with said curve to the left an arc distance of 205.46 -feet to a point in the east line of Terraces Phase One, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the plat filed of record in Document Number 20240000000459 Plat Records Rockwall County, Texas for the most westerly southwest corner of this tract;

THENCE, North 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds West, with said east line, a distance of 63.06 -feet to a point for the northeast corner of said addition, same being the common southeast corner of the abovementioned City of Rockwall tract and a common corner of this tract;

THENCE North 00 degrees 06 minutes 44 seconds West, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract and common west line of this tract, a distance of 209.82-feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing


Exhibit 'B'

## Survey




CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION MEMORANDUM
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:
FROM:
DATE:
SUBJECT:

Planning and Zoning Commission
Bethany Ross, Planner
April 9, 2024
SP2024-009; Amended Site Plan for 1775 SH-276

The applicant, Justin Toon of Industrial Reserve, is requesting the approval of an Amended Site Plan for the purpose of requesting a variance to the berm requirement along SH -276. The subject property is an 18.48 -acre parcel of land located at 1775 SH-276, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, and situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District. On July 26, 2022, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [Case No. SP2022-037] allowing the construction of a Warehouse/Distribution Center consisting of two (2) buildings (i.e. a 163,500 SF building and a 121,680 SF building) on the subject property. On March 15, 2024, the applicant submitted an application for an amended site plan detailing an alternative to the required berm along $\mathrm{SH}-276$.

According to Subsection 06.06, General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...(a)ll landscape buffers shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery or a combination thereof along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and shrubbery shall each have a minimum height of $30-$ inches and a maximum height of 48- inches. In addition, two (2) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage along the Primary Roadway." In this case, the elevation of the parking lot adjacent to SH-276 is an average of seven (7) foot lower than the elevation of SH-276. Subsection 09, Exceptions and Variances, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) does grant the Planning and Zoning Commission the ability to grant exceptions and variances where "...strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) would create an undue hardship." Typically, the code requires that variances and exceptions be supported by a minimum of two (2) compensatory measures that directly off-set the impact of the proposed variance. In this case, the applicant is proposing that in lieu of the berm, the current conditions of the site will meet the intent of the requirement for a berm and is not proposing any additional compensatory measures. It should be noted that in conjunction with the previously approved site plan, the applicant has provided six (6) compensatory measures for the six (6) variances approved. These compensatory measures are [1] increased six (6) inch caliper trees along SH-276, [2] increased six (6) inch caliper trees along Discovery Boulevard, [3] a 20 -foot landscape buffer along Discovery Boulevard in lieu of the required ten (10) foot landscape buffer, [4] a total landscaped area that exceeds the minimum requirements, [5] additional storefront windows/glass entrance doors in two (2) locations along the front façade, and [6] an additional architectural element over what is required. With this being said, requests for exceptions and variances are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission. Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions concerning the applicant's request, staff and the applicant will be available at the April 9 , $\underline{2024}$ Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1 \& 2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOTES:
$\because:$ IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE : A \$1,000.00 FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLCATON FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT Involves construction without or not in compliance to an approved bulding PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION IPLEASE PRINTI
ADDRESS 1775 State Highway 276, Rockwall, TX 75032
SUBDIVISION Revelation LOT 1 BLOCK 1
general location Tract East of John King Blvd. between Discovery Blvd. \& State Highway 276
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENT ZONING Light Industrial
PROPOSED ZONING Light Industrial
ACREAGE 18.48 LOTS [CURRENT] 1 LOTS [PROPOSED]
ACREAGE 18.48 LOTS [CURRENT] 1 LOTS [PROPOSED]
CURRENT USE Light Industrial
PROPOSED USE Light Industrial

Х SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
$\square$ OWNER Reserve Capital - Rockwall Industrial SPE LLC
区APPLICANT Industrial Reserve
CONTACT PERSON Justin Toon
address 15110 Dallas Pkwy
P O Box 670551
CITY, STATE \& ZIP Dallas, TX 75367
PHONE 214-577-6789
E-MALL justin@industrialreserve.net

| CONTACT PERSON | Justin Toon |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADDRESS | 15110 Dallas Pkwy |
|  | PO Box 670551 |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas, TX 75367 |
| PHONE | $214-577-6789$ |
| E-MAIL | justin@industrialreserve.net |

NOTARY VERIFICATION IREQuired]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

RE: VARIANCE REQUEST: Industrial Reserve Discovery Rockwall Rockwall, TX

## Dear reviewer,

Industrial Reserve would like to request a variance to the minimum ground cover requirements within landscape buffers adjacent to Primary Roadways and specific to the SH-276 Overlay District, as detailed in Subsection 06.02 (E) of the City of Rockwall Unified Development Code. The Unified Development Code requires a built-up berm and shrubbery, or combination thereof, along the length of the frontage where the berm is $30-48$ inches in height as measured from the primary roadway elevation. Based on our interpretation of the code requirements we believe that the intent and purpose of the required ground cover screening can be met with an alternative landscaping layout that still provides the required shrubbery and trees but eliminates the built-up berm. Below are key points to the proposed site conditions and proposed justification to providing the variance as requested.

## Proposed Site Conditions:

1) Please refer to the included amended "Site Plan".
2) The car parking for this proposed site development is several feet lower in elevation when compared to the existing primary roadway (SH-276). The parking is on average about 5.5 ft lower that $\mathrm{SH}-276$. Due to this height difference, providing a minimum 30 -inch-tall berm along the frontage road creates the need to add over 400 ft of retaining wall that averages 7 ft in height. The additional height difference created by the berm and limited space to make up the grades is what drives the need for the wall.
3) The reason the car parking sits several feet lower than SH -276 is due to grading requirements of the intended site layout and the intent to provide a balanced site for dirt import/export.

## Proposed Variance

1) Please refer to the included attachment labeled "TX 276 SECTION DETAIL".
2) The proposed variance to the code requirement is to eliminate the built-up berm. The shrubbery and trees would still be provided as required. It is our interpretation of the code that the landscape berm provides ground cover for both screening and aesthetic purposes. The requirement as detailed within the code has a more practical application to sites that are at or above the adjacent roadway elevation. This site sits several feet below and per this site's conditions, we believe the intent of the requirement can be met without the berm.
3) Any vehicles navigating within this site's car parking and facing towards SH-276 would have their headlights screened with the over 5 ft elevation difference between the car parking and the public roadway. This elevation difference is already greater than the 30 -inch berm height denoted in the code requirement and thus already provides more screening than is needed. The addition of the 30 " berm does not increase the functionality for the screening intent as over 30 " of screening are already being provided.

If you have any questions or need any additional information, please call me at your convenience 214-217-6622.

Sincerely,
HALFF


Jose Garcia, PE
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## Proposed Site Conditions:

1) Please refer to the included amended "Site Plan".
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HALFF
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TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Bobby Pross; Pross Design Group
SP2024-011; Amended Site Plan for 1220 Data Drive

On November 9, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [Case No. SP2021-027] to allow the construction of two (2), $\sim 157,720$ SF Warehouse/Distribution Facilities on the subject property. Following this approval, the applicant constructed the two (2) buildings and is nearing completion of the project. In February 2024, the applicant approached staff indicating that a potential tenant needed to add silos to the site to support their operations. Staff informed the applicant that they would need to go through the Amended Site Plan process in order to delineate the silos on the site plan and provide building elevations that included the silos.

On March 15, 2024, the applicant -- Bobby Pross of Pross Design Group -- submitted a development application requesting approval of an Amended Site Plan for six (6) silos on the subject property. As directed by staff, the applicant submitted a site plan and building elevations with their request. The proposed site plan indicates that six (6), 56 -foot tall silos would be added behind the northern building that is adjacent to E . $\mathrm{IH}-30$. Staff should note that the northern building faces Data Drive and sides onto E . IH-30. Given this, the silos will be highly visible from E. IH-30. According to Subsection 01.05 (D), Screening Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)boveground storage tanks shall be screened utilizing walls matching the main structure. Screening plans for above ground storage tanks shall


EXHIBIT 1. ABOVE GROUND STORAGE TANKS REQUIRE A SCREENING WALL THAT MATCHES THE MAIN STRUCTURE AND COMPLETELY SCREENS THE ABOVE GROUND STORAGE TANK FROM VIEW. IN ADDITION, LANDSCAPE SCREENING SHOULD BE PROVIDED. generally conform to the diagram [Figure 4] (incorporate primary screening -- screening wall -- and secondary screening) ..." [see Figure 4 in Exhibit 1] In this case, the applicant is proposing to utilize the existing 12 -foot wing wall and landscaping to screen the 56 -foot tall silos. This would leave 44 -feet of the silos exposed above the existing wing wall that would be visible from $\mathrm{IH}-30$ and the properties east of the subject property. In addition, the silos will extend 14.5 -feet above the roofline of the building, which will be visible from the property west of the subject property. Based on the landscape plan provided by the applicant, they are incorporating three (3) additional four (4) inch caliper evergreen canopy trees (i.e. Live Oaks) in front of the wing wall. Staff should note, that Live Oak trees are typically a slow growing tree and that a four (4) inch caliper tree will not provide immediate screening. Based on this, staff included project comments recommending a row of evergreen trees be planted along the detention pond to the east and north of the silos. This, in staff's opinion, would provide better screening than what the applicant is proposing. In addition, the Architectural Review Board (ARB) requested that the applicant [1] increase the height of the wing wall to match the height of the building (i.e. 39.5-feet) in conformance with the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC), and [2] provide the landscaping as described in staff's comments. The applicant has chosen not to incorporate any of the Architectural Review Board (ARB) or staff's recommendations into the proposed plans.

Since the applicant's request does not conform to the design criteria and in accordance with Section 09, Exceptions and Variances, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the applicant is requesting an exception to the above ground storage tank screening requirements. Per which section of the code, exception requests are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission and "...require a supermajority vote (i.e. a three-fourths vote of those members present), with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative required for approval."

Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions concerning the applicant's request, staff and the applicant will be available at the April 9, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $\left(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ )
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDGCAPING DLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{182}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$ NOTES:
VIN DETERM NING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXAGT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 3: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WLL BE ADDED TO THE APPLLCATON FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INvOLVES CONSTRUCTION WTHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLANCE TO AN APPROVED BUULDING PERMT

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| ADDRESS | 1220 Data Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBDIVISIION | Seefried Rockwall Addition |  | LOT | 1 | BLOCK | A |
| general location | I-30 and Data Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current zoning | LI | CURRENT USE | Vacant Warehouse |  |  |  |
| PROPOSED ZONING | No change | PROPOSED USE | Plastics Recycling |  |  |  |
| ACREAGE | 12.101 LOTS [CURRENT] |  |  | OPO |  |  |

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.

OWNERIAPPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTICHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQURED]

| $\square$ OWNER | USEF ROCKWALL OWNER, LLC, | $\square$ APPLICANT | Pross Design Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Jaymie Bullard | CONTACT PERSON | Bobby Pross |
| ADDRESS | 3030 LB.J Freeway Suite 1650 | ADDRESS | 5310 Harvest Hill Rd. Suite 180 |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas Tx 75234 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Dallas Tx 75230 |
| PHONE | 214-393-6060 | PHONE | 972-759-1400 |
| E-MAIL | jaymiebullard@seefnedproperties.com | E-MAIL | bpross@pdgarch.net |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Jaymie Bullard $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY IHATI AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPUCATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITIED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT: AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF
$\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 474
MarE L + DAY OF INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION



## City of Rockwall <br> Planning \& Zoning Department

385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): :972) $771-7745$
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
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## LANDSCAPE NOTES
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TME OF PLANTNG.
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TO:<br>DATE:<br>APPLICANT:<br>CASE NUMBER:<br>Planning and Zoning Commission<br>April 9, 2024<br>Jeff Carroll; Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc.<br>SP2024-012; Site Plan for 1775 Airport Road

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Frank Conselman for the approval of a Site Plan for an IndustrialWarehouse Building on a 6.68-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 21 \& 21-01 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the Airport Overlay (AP-OV) District, addressed as 1775 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed by the City Council on March 16, 1998 by Ordinance No. $98-10$ [Case No. A1998-001]. On February 22, 2022, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a Miscellaneous Case [Case No. MIS2022-001] for a Treescape Plan but denied the Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement. On May 2, 2022, the City Council approved a Miscellaneous Case [Case No. MIS2022-007] for an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement of $\$ 7,800.00$ paid into the City's Tree Fund prior to the removal of any trees on the subject property. On December 4, 2023, the City Council approved a Zoning Change [Case No. Z2023-051] from Agricultural (AG) District to Light Industrial (LI) District. Prior to this zoning change, there was 1,891 SF single-family home that was constructed in 1950 situated on the subject property; however, this home was razed from the property in 2023. The subject property has remained vacant since the home was removed.

## PURPOSE

On March 15, 2024, the applicant -- Jeff Carroll of Jeff Carroll Architects, Inc. -- submitted an application requesting the approval of a Site Plan for a 42,974 SF Office/Warehouse Facility on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located on the north side of Airport Road, east of the intersection of Airport Road and John King Boulevard. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is a 12.00 -acre tract of vacant land, and a 43.66 -acre tract of land with a single-family home situated on it. These two (2) properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond this is SH-66, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. a Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT], four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

South: Directly south of the subject property is Airport Road, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 6.177 -acre tract of land (i.e. which is part of a larger 10.291-acre tract of land and is identified as Tract 2-01, of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102) zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Beyond that is the right-of-way for the Union Pacific Dallas/Garland Northeast Railroad. Beyond this is a vacant 4.114-acre tract of land (i.e. which is part of a larger 10.291-acre tract of land and is identified as Tract 2-9, of the

D Harr. Survey, Abstract No. 102). Beyond this is a vacant two (2) acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 2-5, of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract 102). Both of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond that is an 18.5175acre vacant tract of land (i.e. Tract 3-5, of the J Lockhart Survey, Abstract 134) zoned Light Industrial (LI) District and Commercial (C) District.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a ten (10) acre tract of land (i.e. which is part of a larger 74.514-acre tract of land and identified as Tract 22 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102), zoned Agricultural (AG) District and developed with the Regional Firearms Training Center owned by the City of Rockwall. East of this is a 64.514acre tract of land (i.e. which is part of a larger 74.5144-acre tract of land and identified as Tract 15 of the D.Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102), which is zoned to Agricultural (AG) District and developed with an Animal Shelter owned by the City of Rockwall.

West: Directly west of the subject property is a 47.89-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Rockwall Municipal Airport), which is zoned Agriculture (AG) District. West of this is a vacant 31.393 -acre tract of vacant land (i.e. Tract 3 of the $N$. Butler Survey, Abstract No. 20) also zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond this is S. John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. a principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## DENSITY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

According to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), a Warehouse/Distribution Center and an Office Building 5,000 SF or Greater are permitted by-right land uses in a Light Industrial (LI) District. The submitted site plan, landscape plan, photometric plan, building elevations, and phasing plan generally do not conform to the technical requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property located within a Light Industrial (LI) District and requires several exceptions which are noted in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property are as follows:

| Ordinance Provisions | Zoning District Standards | Conformance to the Standards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | 12,500 SF | $X=6.68$-Acres; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Frontage | 100-Feet | $X=420$-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 125-Feet | X=640-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | 25-Feet | X>25-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | 10-Feet | X $>10$-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 10-Feet | X $>10$-Feet; In Conformance |
| Maximum Building Height | 60-Feet | $X=36-$ Feet; In Conformance |
| Max Building/Lot Coverage | 60\% | $X=34 \%$; In Conformance |
| Minimum Number of Parking Spaces | 1 Parking Space per 300 SF for Office and 1 Parking Space per 1,000 SF for Warehouse (64 Total Required) | X=64; In Conformance |
| Minimum Landscaping Percentage | 15\% | $X=25 \%$; In Conformance |
| Maximum Impervious Coverage | 90-95\% | $X=75 \%$; In Conformance |

## TREESCAPE PLAN

No trees are being removed from the subject property. Based on this, no Treescape Plan is required.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

The applicant is requesting to construct an office/warehouse building on the subject property. According to Subsection 02.02(J)(7), Wholesale, Distribution and Storage Land Uses, of Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), a Warehouse/Distribution Center is defined as a "... building used primarily for the storage and distribution of goods, merchandise, supplies, and equipment including wholesalers which display, sell, and distribute merchandise to business representatives for resale ..." In addition, Subsection 02.02(D)(2), Office and Professional Uses, of Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), an Office Building is defined as a "...(a) facility that provides executive, management,
administrative, or professional services ... but not involving the sale of merchandise except as incidental to a permitted use. Typical examples include real estate, insurance, property management, investment, employment, travel, advertising, law, architecture, design, engineering, accounting, call centers, and similar offices." In this case, the applicant's request an office/warehouse building is permitted by-right in a Light Industrial (LI) District as stipulated by Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

The proposed site plan does not conform to the requirements of the General Industrial District Standards as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), and requires several exceptions as outlined in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo.

## VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS BY THE APPLICANT

Staff has identified the following exceptions:

## (1) Building Materials.

(a) Primary Materials. According to Subsection 05.01 (A)(1), Materials and Masonry Composition, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(e)ach exterior wall of a building's façade shall consist of a minimum of $90.00 \%$ Primary Materials..." or masonry material. The applicant is proposing $8.00 \%$ $46.00 \%$ primary materials (i.e. $46.00 \%$ on the south elevation, $37.00 \%$ on the west elevation, $26.00 \%$ on the east elevation, and $8.00 \%$ on the north elevation). This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(b) $20 \%$ Stone. According to Subsection 06.02(C)(1)(A)(1), Stone, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...a minimum of $20.00 \%$ natural or quarried stone is required on all building facades." In this case, the applicant is not providing any stone on the building. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(c) Cementitious Material. According to Subsection 05.01, General Industrial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he use of cementitious materials (e.g. stucco, cementitious lap siding, or similar materials approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning or his/her designee) shall be limited to $50.00 \%$ of the building's exterior façade; however, stucco shall not be used within the first four (4) feet from grade on a building's façade." In this case, the applicant is proposing stucco within the first four (4) feet from grade. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(d) Accent Brick or Stone. According to Subsection 05.01, General Industrial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(e)ach building shall incorporate accent brick or stone, or brick and stone patterns and materials that create contrast through color, shape, size, and/or texture to the planes of the primary brick or stone materials used on each building elevation." In this case, the applicant is not providing any brick or stone to provide breaks in the stucco and metal siding on any of the facades of the building. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(2) Building Articulation.
(a) Primary Building Facades. According to Subsection 05.01 (C), Building Articulation, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the minimum wall length shall not exceed four (4) times the wall height. In this case, the proposed buildings do not meet the projection or wall length requirements for primary building facades on all buildings. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).
(b) Secondary Building Facades. According to Subsection 05.01 (C), Building Articulation, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the minimum wall length shall not exceed three (3) times the wall height. In this case, the proposed buildings do not meet the projection or wall length requirements for secondary building facades on all buildings. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).
(3) Residential Adjacency Screening. According to Subsection 05.02, Landscape Screening, of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ny non-residential or multi-family land use or parking area that has a side or rear contiguous to any residentially zoned or used property shall be screened with a masonry fence a minimum of six (6) feet in height with canopy trees planted on 20 -foot centers." As an alternative, the code does allow the Planning and Zoning Commission to consider the use of three (3) tiered landscaping along the adjacency. In this case, the applicant has provided a landscape plan that shows use of canopy and accent trees panted 50 -foot on-center in lieu of the required three (3) tiered screening and 20 -foot landscape buffer. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

According to Subsection 09, Exceptions and Variances, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...an applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant variances and exceptions to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) would create an undue hardship." In addition, the code requires that the applicant provide compensatory measures that directly offset the requested variances and exceptions. The applicant has indicated the following as compensatory measures: [1] three (3) additional accent trees in the front parking islands, [2] three (3) additional canopy trees, one at each side of the building, [3] awnings over the large storefront area to help offset the articulation, [4] 25.00\% landscaping in lieu of the required $15.00 \%$, [5] an outside pedestrian seating area with a park bench, [6] an outside pedestrian bike rack, [7] a private observation deck, and [8] increased $1 / 2$ inch caliper on all canopy trees at planting. However, requests for exceptions and variances to the Unified Development Code (UDC) are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission. Staff should note that a supermajority vote (e.g. six [6] out of the seven [7] commissioners) -- with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative -- is required for the approval of a variance or exception.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Plan adopted with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifies the subject property as being situated in the Central District. The Central District "... is composed of a wide range of land uses that vary from single-family to industrial." In addition, the Central District "... incorporates a high volume of industrial land uses adjacent to the Union Pacific/Dallas Garland and Northeastern Rail Road line that bisects the district -- and City -- in an east/west direction." The Future Land Use Map contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, indicates that the subject property should be developed with industrial land uses. In this case, the applicant is proposing a Warehouse/Distribution Center and Offices. Based on this, the applicant's land uses appear to conform with the Comprehensive Plan; however, Chapter 9, Non-Residential, of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan states as one of the goals of commercial building design, buildings should be "... designed and constructed in unity with the community's existing commercial and residential buildings, and should incorporate high quality materials that will minimize the need for maintenance over time." The polices to implement this goal are "... [1] (n)on-residential buildings should be constructed of masonry materials and contain a minimum of $20 \%$ stone on every façade that faces a street, public open space, trail or park. [and] [2] Non-residential buildings should be architecturally finished on all four (4) sides with the same materials, detailing and features." In this case, the applicant is requesting exceptions to building materials and building articulation requirements. These exceptions appear to conflict with the goals for non-residential buildings contained in the Comprehensive Plan. Staff should point out that a similar land use was approved on a property directly east of the subject property, which was required to meet the material requirements of the Light Industrial (LI) District, and which are called for in the Comprehensive Plan. Based on this the applicant's proposal does not appear to meet the vision of the Comprehensive Plan.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

The phasing plan provided by the applicant shows the first portion of the building being built in the first phase, and the building being expanded in the second phase. Staff should note that the phasing plan only lays out the phasing of the building, and all other site plan elements must be constructed with the first phase. This means that with the construction of the first phase all landscaping and irrigation will be required to be installed. Since the site is surrounded by Agricultural (AG) District zoned properties, the applicant is required to provide three (3)-tiered screening. The applicant has provided a landscape plan that shows use of canopy and accent trees panted 50 -foot on-center in lieu of the required three (3) tiered screening and 20 -foot landscape buffer described in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo. Staff
should also note that the compensatory measures and alternate screening method offered with the development do not seem to off-set the requested variances; however, requests for exceptions and variances to the Unified Development Code (UDC) are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD (ARB) RECOMMENDATION

On March 26, 2024 the Architecture Review Board reviewed the proposed building elevations provided by the applicant and recommended that the applicant [1] internalize the fire escape, [2] project the stucco elements out, and [3] extend the parapets back and finish the back side of the parapets in the same material as the exterior facing façade. The applicant has provided updated elevations that will be reviewed by the Architectural Review Board (ARB) prior to the April 9, 2024 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's Site Plan for the establishment of a $42,974 \mathrm{SF}$ Office/Warehouse Facility on the subject property, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All staff comments provided by the Planning, Engineering and Fire Department must be addressed prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(2) All landscaping shall be installed with Phase 1 of the proposed development.
(3) The applicant provides a Part 77 from the FAA confirming compliance prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(4) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Site Plan shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. SP2024-012
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLÂNNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES;
\& ${ }^{2}$ ITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLANIELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1 ~} 22$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$

## NOTES:

${ }^{3}$ :IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT $\mathbb{N}$ COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS 1775 AIRPORT RD.
SUBDIVISION DAVID Harr Survey ABstract 102 LOT BLOCK
general location next to munic. Airport
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENTZONING LIGHT INDUSTIIAL CURRENTUSE $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{A}$
PROPOSEDZONING SAME PROPOSEDUSE OFFICE WHSE
ACREAGE 6.68 AC LOTS [CURRENT] 1 LOTS [PROPOSED] 1

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE Printicheck the primary contactioriginal signatures are required]

| ■OWNER | Texas product, LhC | 『 APPLICANT | CASTOLC ARCHIECTS, IA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | FIANK CONSELMAN | CONTACT PERSON | JEFF Carroll |
| ADDRESS | 3925 Ruger DR | ADDRESS | 750 E. INTERSTATE 30 |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Roysé lity, TX 75189 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | STE: 110 ROCKWACL, TX 75087 |
| PHONE | 469.323.1937 | PHONE | 214.632.1762 |
| E-MAIL | Franketexasproducts.com | E-MAIL | JCecarrollarut com |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Jeff CuNOII
$\qquad$ STM DAY OF INFORMATION CONTANLD WITIU TH 20 E BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IE. 'GIY) IS AU IO IZED AND PERMIIED TO PROVIDE SUBMITTED IN CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITIED TO REPRODUGE ANY GOPYRIGHIED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUGTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO Applicant's


## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

April 2, 2024

Mr. Ryan Miller
City of Rockwall Director of Planning
City Hall 385 S. Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

Re: SP2024-012 Exceptions/ Variance Requested
Texas Products Office Development
1775 Airport Rd. Office Development
Rockwall, TX. 75087

Mr. Miller,
This letter serves as a summary Request for Exception/ Variances to the UDC. Zoning - Light Industrial district.
The Variances include 1) Primary Building Articulation, 2) Secondary Building Articulation, 3) Exceeds $10 \%$ secondary materials/ under $90 \%$ masonry, 4) $20 \%$ Stone, 5) Cementitious material within 4'-0" of grade, 6) Accent brick or stone.

1) Primary Building Articulation. Subsection 05.01 C. 1 of Article 5
2) Secondary Building Articulation. Subsection 05.01 C. 1 of Article 5

We are requesting an exception to the vertical and horizonal offset projections. This project does provide a small percentage of articulation with vertical and horizonal projections. The entry area has a raised stucco entry tower and a metal covered canopy stretching outward across the large storefront and projects outward. The stair tower on the west side also gives a vertical projection. We are providing what the ARB requested by projecting the large stucco vertical elements outward $1^{\prime}-0$ " and back over the roof $1^{\prime}-0$ " to create a thicker element.
3) Exceeds $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Secondary Materials/ under $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ Masonry. Subsection 05.01 A. 1 of Article 5
4) $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Stone. Subsection 05.01 A.1. a. 1 of Article 5

We are requesting an exception to the secondary material percentage, and stone requirement. The size of this warehouse facility prohibits the use of the typical materials. We are proposing to use stucco and metal materials to break up the long warehouse walls in a contemporary style. The ARB requested the stair tower have a solid wall type material facing the main street and we are complying with this comment. We are stuccoing the side and proposing a metal screening material that's perforated and open to the elements.
5) Cementitious Material within 4 feet of grade. Subsection 05.01 A. 2 of Article 5

We are requesting an exception to the cementitious material within 4 feet of grade. These (4) stucco elements project $1^{\prime}-0$ " onto a $10^{\prime}-0$ " wide sidewalk. This is along the front sidewalk and will have little to no pedestrian traffic to disrupt the stucco.
6) Accent Brick and Stone to create contrast. Subsection 05.01 A.1.b of Article 5

We are requesting an exception to the accent brick and stone requirement. We are proposing using stucco and metal siding to create a material, texture, size, and color contrast.
7) Landscape (3) Tier screening Alternate. Subsection 01.06 of Article 5

We are requesting an exception to the (3) Tiered screening requirement for the north and east locations. This property is adjacent to the active Municipal airport on the east side and a 100 -year flood plain on the north side. We are having to provide a small retaining wall on the north side to help create the new detention pond area. We are proposing to use canopy trees at $50^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. and accent trees at 50 ' -0 " o.c.

## Compensatory items we are providing for these exceptions/ variances.

1) We are providing (3)-additional ornamental trees in the front parking islands.
2) We are providing (2)-additional canopy trees, one at each side of front of building.
3) We are providing awnings over the large storefront area to help offset the articulation.
4) City Ordinance requires $20 \%$ landscaping. We are proposing $25 \%$. Which is more than required.
5) We are proposing an outside pedestrian seating area with a park bench and bike rack.
6) We are proposing an outside pedestrian bike rack.
7) We are providing a private observation deck.
8) The canopy trees will be a $1 / 2$ " thicker caliber at planting.

Thank you for your consideration and reviewing our request.

Sincerely,


Jeffrey Carroll
Carroll Architects, Inc.
President / CEO
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PHOTOMETRIC PLAN

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

INTENDED USE - Typical applications include corridors, lobbies, conference rooms and private offices.
CONSTRUCTION — Galvanized steel mounting/plaster frame; galvanized steel junction box with bottomhinged access covers and spring latches. Reflectors are retained by torsion springs.
Vertically adjustable mounting brackets with commercial bar hangers provide 3-3/4" total adjustment.
Two combination $1 / 2^{"-3 / 4 " ~ a n d ~ f o u r ~ 1 / 2 " ~ k n o c k o u t s ~ f o r ~ s t r a i g h t-t h r o u g h ~ c o n d u i t ~ r u n s . ~ C a p a c i t y: ~} 8$ ( $4 \mathrm{in}, 4$ out). No. 12 AWG conductors, rated for $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Accommodates 12"-24" joist spacing.
Passive cooling thermal management for $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ standard; high ambient $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ option available. Light engine and drivers are accessible from above or below ceiling.
Max ceiling thickness 1-1/2".
OPTICS — LEDs are binned to a 3-step MacAdam Ellipse; 80 CRI minimum. 90 CRI optional.
LED light source concealed with diffusing optical lens.
General illumination lighting with $1.0 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{MH}$ and $55^{\circ}$ cutoff to source and source image.
Self-flanged anodized reflectors in specular, semi-specular, or matte diffuse finishes. Also available in white and black painted reflectors.
A+ CAPABLE LUMINAIRE - This item is an A+ capable luminaire, which has been designed and tested to provide consistent color appearance and out-of-the-box control compatibility with simple commissioning when used with Acuity Brands controls products. All configurations of this luminaire are calibrated and tested to meet the Acuity Brands' specifications for chromatic consistency - including color rendering, color fidelity and color temperature tolerance around standard CIE chromaticity coordinates. To learn more about A+ standards, specifications, and testing visit www.acuitybrands.com/aplus.
UGR — UGR is zero for fixtures aimed at nadir with a cut-off equeal to or less than 60deg per CIE 117-1996 Discomfort Galre in Interior Lighting. UGR FAQs
ELECTRICAL — Multi-volt (120-277V, 50/60Hz) 0-10V dimming drivers mounted to junction box, $10 \%$ or $1 \%$ minimum dimming level available.
$0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ dimming fixture requires two (2) additional low-voltage wires to be pulled.
LUMEN MAINTENANCE - $70 \%$ lumen maintenance at 60,000 hours.
LISTINGS — Certified to US and Canadian safety standards. Wet location standard (covered ceiling). IP55 rated. ENERGY STAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ certified product. Drivers are ROHS compliant
BUY AMERICAN ACT — Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT regulations. Please refer to www.acuity-brands.com/buy-american for additional information.
WARRANTY - 5 -year limited warranty. This is the only warranty provided and no other statements in this specification sheet create any warranty of any kind. All other express and implied warranties are disclaimed. Complete warranty terms located at: www.acuitybrands.com/support/warranty/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application.
All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifications subject to change without notice.

## PERFORMANCE DATA

| LDN4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal | Lumens | Wattage | Lm/W |
| 500 | 523.6 | 5.74 | 91.2 |
| 750 | 751.1 | 8.6 | 87.3 |
| 1000 | 1045 | 10.58 | 98.8 |
| 1500 | 1512 | 17.5 | 86.4 |
| 2000 | 2006 | 22.12 | 90.7 |
| 2500 | 2551 | 26.1 | 97.7 |
| 3000 | 3007 | 32.1 | 93.7 |
| 4000 | 4212 | 43 | 98.0 |

## Notes

Tested in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08
Tested to current IES and NEMA standards under stabilized
laboratory conditions
Based on LDN4 AR LSS 35K 80CRI


## DISTRIBUTIONS



## DIMENSIONS

LDN4 500-2000 Lumens


Aperture: $\varnothing 45 / 166^{[11]}$
Ceiling Cutout: $\varnothing 5-1 / 88^{[ }[13]$ Self-flanged
Overlap Tim: $\varnothing 5$-7/16" [13.8]


See page 4 for other fixture dimensions



Example: LDN4 35/15 L04 AR LSS MVOLT EZ1


## ds design select

Items marked by a shaded background qualify for the Design Select program and ship in 15 days or less. To learn more about Design Select, visit www.acuitybrands.com/designselect. *See ordering tree for details
(Maximum order quantity for design select lead times is 160 .)

| $\quad \ddagger$ Option Value Ordering Restrictions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Option value | Restriction |
| Lumen Packages | Overall height varies based on lumen package, refer to dimensional charts on page 4 |
| WR, BR | Not available with finish (LSS, LD, LS) |
| TRALTBD, FRALTBD | RALTBD for pricing only. Replace with applicable RAL number and finish when ready to order. See the RAL BROCHURE for available color options. |
| TRW, TRBL | Available with clear (AR) trim color only |
| TCPC, FCPC | CPC options for pricing only. Custom color chip needs to be sent in to your Customer Resolution specialist before order can be processed. Click HERE for more details |
| 347 | Not available with emergency options |
| All Emergency | $12.5 "$ of plenum depth or top access required for battery pack maintenance. |
| NPP16D, NPP16DER | Not available with MVOLT. ER for use with generator supply EM power. Will require an <br> emergency hot feed and normal hot feed. |
| SF | Must specify 120 or 277 volt |
| N80 | Fixture begins at 80\% light level. Must be specified with NPS80EZ or NPS80EZ <br> ER. Only available with EZ10 and EZ1 drivers. |
| NPP16D, NPP16DER, NPPS80EZ, NPS80EZER | Specify voltage. ER for use with generator supply EM power. Will require an emergency hot feed and normal hot feed. |
| NLTAIR2, NLTAIRER2, NLATAIREM2 | Not available with CP, NPS80EZ, NPS80EZER, NPP16D, NPP16DER or N80 options. Not recommended for metal ceiling installations. |
| NLTAIR2 | When combined with EZ1 or EZ10 drivers, can be used as a normal power |
| JOT | Must specify D10 or D1 driver. Not available with nLight options. Not available with CP. Not recommended for metal ceiling installation. Not for use with emergency backup power <br> systems other than battery packs. |
| HAO | Fixture height is 5-11/16" for all lumen packages with HAO. |
| CP | Must specify voltage for 3000Im. Not available with emergency battery pack option. |
| E10WRSTAR | Not available with wet location, EC1, EC6, QDS, CP, 347V, NPS80EZ ER, NLTAIRER2, NLTAIREM2, AL03 \& ALO4 w/DALI, OR 2000-4500 lumens w/JOT. Top access installation or 17.5" <br> plenum clearance required for roomside installation. Not available with integral test switch. |

## LDN4

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accessories: Order as separate catalog number. |  |
| PS1055CP | FMC Power Sentry batterypack, T20 compliant, field installable, 10w constant power |
| $\underline{\text { EAC ISSM 375 }}$ | Compact interruptible emergency AC power system |
| EAC ISSM 125 | Compact interruptible emergency AC power system |
| GRA46 JZ | Oversized trim ring with 6" outside diameter |
| SCA4 | Sloped Ceiling Adapter. Degree of slope must be specified (5D, 10D, 15D, 20D, 25D, 30D). Ex: SCA4 10D. |

## Emergency Battery Pack Options - Field Installable

| Battery Model Number | Wattage | Runtime <br> (Minutes) | Lumen Output* <br> @ 120 Lumens/Watt | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LLB CP07 2H A | 7 W | 120 | 840 | Storm Shelter/ 2-hour Runtime |
| LLB CP10 A | 10 W | 90 | 1200 |  |
| ILBLP CP10 HE SD A+ | 10 W | 90 | 1200 | Title 20, Self Diagnostic |
| LLBLP CP15 HE SD A + | 15 W | 90 | 1800 | Title 20, Self Diagnostic |
| LLB CP20 HEA | 20W | 90 | 2400 | Title 20 |
| LLB CP20 HE SD A | 20W | 90 | 2400 | Title 20, Self Diagnostic |
| LLBHI CP10 HE SD A+ | 10 W | 90 | 1200 | 347-480V AC Input, Title 20, Self Diagnostic |
| LLBHI CP15 HE SD A + | 15 W | 90 | 1800 | 347-480V AC Input, Title 20, Self Diagnostic |

All the above are UL 924 Listed products that are certified for field install external/remote to the fixture.
*Minimum delivered lumen output to assist in product selection for increased fixture mounting height.
The CP10 delivered emergency illuminations outperforms legacy 1400 lumen fluorescent emergency ballasts.
Please contact us at techsupport@iotaengineering.com for any Emergency Battery related questions.

## PHOTOMETRY

| Distribution Curve | Distribution Data | Output Data |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Illuminance Data at 30" Above Floor for <br> a Single Luminaire |  |  |

LDN4 35/30 L04AR, input watts: 32.1 , delivered lumens: $3122.6, L M / W=88.52$, spacing criterion at $0=1.04$, test no. ISF 30712 P249.


| Ave |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumens |  |  |
| 0 | 2927 |  |
| 5 | 2989 | 290 |
| 15 | 3120 | 875 |
| 25 | 2575 | 1136 |
| 35 | 1062 | 667 |
| 45 | 149 | 148 |
| 55 | 3 | 5 |
| 65 | 2 | 1 |
| 75 | 0 | 0 |
| 85 | 0 | 0 |
| 90 | 0 |  |


| Zone | Lumens | \% Lamp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$ | 2301.2 | 73.7 |  |
| $0^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$ | 2968.2 | 95.1 |  |
| $0^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ | 3121.1 | 100.0 |  |
| $0^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ | 3122.6 | 100.0 |  |
| $90^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $90^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $90^{\circ}-150^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $90^{\circ}-180^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $0^{\circ}-180^{\circ}$ | 3122.6 | ${ }^{*} 100.0$ |  |
| *Efficiency |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  | $50 \%$ beam - <br> $55.6^{\circ}$ | $10 \%$ beam - <br> $78.0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inital FC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mounting | Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Height | Beam | Diameter | FC | Diameter | FC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | 96.8 | 5.8 | 48.4 | 8.9 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 | 52.0 | 7.9 | 26.0 | 12.2 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.0 | 32.4 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 15.4 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 | 22.1 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 18.6 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.0 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 8.0 | 21.9 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| LUMEN OUTPUT MULTIPLIERS - CCT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2700 K | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0 K}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0 K}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0 K}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 0 K}$ |
| 80 CRI | 0.950 | 0.966 | 1.000 | 1.025 | 1.101 |


| LUMEN OUTPUT MULTIPLERS - FINISH |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clear (AR) | White (WR) | Black (BR) |
| Specular (LS) | 1.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Semi-specular (LSS) | 0.950 | N/A | N/A |
| Matte diffuse (LD) | 0.85 | N/A | N/A |
| Painted | N/A | 0.87 | 0.73 |

## Notes

- Tested in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08.
- Tested to current IES and NEMA standards under stabilized laboratory conditions.
- CRI: 80 typical.


## HOW TO ESTIMATE DELIVERED LUMENS IN EMERGENCY MODE

Use the formula below to estimate the delivered lumens in emergency mode

## Delivered Lumens $=\mathbf{1 . 2 5} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$ LPW

$P=$ Ouput power of emergency driver. $P=10 \mathrm{~W}$ for PS1055CP
LPW = Lumen per watt rating of the luminaire. This information is available on the ABL luminaire spec sheet.
The LPW rating is also available at Designlight Consortium.

## * All dimensions are inches (centimeters) unless otherwise noted.



LDN4 2000-4000 Lumens


Aperture: $\varnothing$ 4-5/16" [11]
Ceiling Cutout: $\varnothing 5-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}[13]$ Self-flanged
Overlap Trim: $\varnothing$ 5-7/16" [13.8]
Ceiling Cutout: $\varnothing 5-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}[13.3]$ Flangeless

## LDN4 CP



Ceiling Opening: 5-1/8" (13)
Overlap Trim: 5-7/16" (13.8)


ADDITIONAL DATA
J()T
JUST ONE TOUCH
The Sensor Switch JOT enabled solution offers a wireless, app-free approach to single room lighting control. JOT enabled products use Bluetooth ${ }^{\circledR}$ Low Energy (BLE) technology to enable wireless dimming and switching.

## Diagram



1. Power: Install JOT enabled fixtures and controls as instructed.
2. Pair: Insert the pairing tool into the pinhole on the wall switch; press and hold any button for 6 seconds.

LDN4 Series


Sensor Switch WSXA JOT

## COMPATIBLE 0-10V WALL-MOUNT DIMMERS

| MANUFACTURER | PART NO. | POWER BOOSTER AVAILABLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lutron ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Diva ${ }^{\text {® }}$ DVTV |  |
|  | Diva ${ }^{\text {® }}$ DVSCTV |  |
|  | Nova T ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NTFTV |  |
|  | Nova ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NFTV |  |
| Leviton ${ }^{\circledR}$ | AWSMT-7DW | CN100 |
|  | AWSMG-7DW | PE300 |
|  | AMRMG-7DW |  |
|  | Leviton Centura Fluorescent Control System |  |
|  | IllumaTech ${ }^{\text {® }}$ IP7 Series |  |
| Synergy ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | ISD BC | RDMFC |
|  | SLD LPCS |  |
|  | Digital Equinox (DEQ BC) |  |
| Douglas Lighting Controls | WPC-5721 |  |
| Entertainment Technology | Tap Glide TG600FAM120 (120V) |  |
|  | Tap Glide Heatsink TGH1500FAM120 (120V) |  |
|  | Oasis OA2000FAMU |  |
| Honeywell | EL7315A1019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EL7305A1010 } \\ & \text { (optional) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | EL7315A1009 |  |
| HUNT Dimming | Preset slide: PS-010-IV and PS-010-WH |  |
|  | Preset slide: PS-010-3W-IV and PS-010-3W-WH |  |
|  | Preset slide, controls FD-010: PS-IFC-010-IV and PS-IFC-010-WH-120/277V |  |
|  | Preset slide, controls FD-010: PS-IFC-010-3W-IV and PS-IF-010-3W-WH-120/277V |  |
|  | Remote mounted unit: FD-010 |  |
| Lehigh Electronic Products | Solitaire | PBX |
| PDM Electrical Products | WPC-5721 |  |
| Starfield Controls | TR61 with DALI interface port | RT03 DALInet Router |
| WattStopper ${ }^{\bullet}$ | LS-4 used with LCD-101 and LCD-103 |  |

## LDN4

## EXAMPLE

Group Fixture Control*
*Appiication diagram applies for fixtures with eldoLED drivers only.
nPS 80 EZ Dimming/Control Pack (qty: 2 required)
nPODM 2P DX Dual On/Off/Dim Push-Button WallPod
nCM ADCX Daylight Sensor with Automatic Dimming Control nCM PDT 9 Dual Technology Occupancy Sensor

Description: This design provides a dual on/off/dim wall station that enables manual control of the fixtures in Row A and Row B separately. Additionally, a daylight harvesting sensor is provided so the lights in Row $B$ can be configured to dim automatically when daylight is available. An occupancy sensor turns off all lights when the space is vacant.

able.



## Choose Wall Controls

nLight offers multiple styles of wall controls - each with varying features and user experience.


## Push-Button Wallpod

 Traditional tactile buttons and LED user feedback

Graphic Wallpod Full color touch screen provides a sophisticated look and feel

| nLight ${ }^{\circledR}$ Wired Controls Accessories: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order as separate catalog number. Visit www.acuitybrands.com/products/controls/nlight for complete listing of nlight controls. |  |  |  |
| WallPod Stations | Model number | Occupancy sensors | Model Number |
| On/Off | nPODM (Color) | Small motion 360, ceiling (PIR/dual Tech) | nCM 9 / nCM PDT 9 |
| On/Off \& Raise/Lower | nPOD DX (Color) | Large motion $360^{\circ}$, ceiling (PIR/dual tech) | nCM 10 / nCM PDT 10 |
| Graphic Touchscreen | nPOD GFX (Color) | Wide View (PIR/dual tech) | nWV 16 / nWV PDT 16 |
| Photocell controls | Model Number | Wall Swith w/ Raise/Lower (PIR/dual tech) | nWSX LV DX / nWSX PDT LV DX |
| Dimming | nCM ADCX | Cat-5 cables (plenum rated) | Model Number |
|  |  | 10', Cat5 10FT | Cat5 10FT JI |
|  |  | 15, CAT5 15FT | Cat5 15FT JI |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| nLight <br>  <br> Order AIR Control Accessories: <br>  <br> Wall switches |  |
| On/Off single pole | Model number |
| On/Off two pole | rPODB [color] |
| On/Off \& raise/lower single pole | rPODB 2P [color] |
| On/Off \& raise/lower two pole | rPODB DX [color] |
| On/Off \& raise/lower single pole | rPODBZ DX [color] |

## UL924 Sequence of Operation

The below information applies to all nLight AIR devices with an EM option.

- EM devices will remain at their high-end trim and ignore wireless lighting control commands, unless a normal-power-sensed (NPS) broadcast is received at least every 8 seconds.
- Using the CLAIRITY+ mobile app, EM devices must be associated with a group that includes a normal power sensing device to receive NPS broadcasts.
- Only non-emergency rPP20, rLSXR, rSBOR, rSDGR, and nLight AIR luminaires with version 3.4 or later firmware can provide normal power sensing for EM devices. See specification sheets for control devices and luminaires for more information on options that support normal power sensing.

Notes
1 Can only be ordered with the RES7Z zone control sensor version.

## nLight AIR

$n$ Light AIR is the ideal solution for retrofit or new construction spaces where adding communication is cost prohibitive. The integrated nLight AIR rPP20 Power Pack is part of each Lithonia LDN Luminaire. These individually addressable controls offer the ultimate in flexibility during initial setup and for space repurposing.


## Simple os 1,2,3

1. Install the nLight ${ }^{\circledR}$ AIR fixtures with embedded smart sensor
2. Install the wireless battery-powered wall switch
3. With CLAIRITY app, pair the fixtures with the wall switch and if desired, customize the sensor settings for the desired outcome




疬
$\overline{B A A}$

## Specifications

| Depth (D1): | $7 "$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Depth (D2): | $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Height: | $9 "$ |
| Width: | $11.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Weight: 13.5 lbs <br> (without options)  |  |


faime WDGE2-LED-P4-40Knowe 80CRI-T4M-MVOLT-

## Ton W/WE

## Introduction

The WDGE LED family is designed to meet specifier's every wall-mounted lighting need in a widely accepted shape that blends with any architecture. The clean rectilinear design comes in four sizes with lumen packages ranging from 1,200 to 25,000 lumens, providing a true site-wide solution. Embedded with nLight ${ }^{\circledR}$ AIR wireless controls, the WDGE family provides additional energy savings and code compliance.
WDGE2 with industry leading precision refractive optics provides great uniform distribution and optical control. When combined with multiple integrated emergency battery backup options, including an 18W cold temperature option, the WDGE2 becomes the ideal wall-mounted lighting solution for pedestrian scale applications in any environment.

## WDGE LED Family Overview

| Luminaire | Optics | Standard EM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Cold EM, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sensor | Approximate Lumens (4000K, 80CRI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 |
| WDGE1 LED | Visual Comfort | 4W |  | -- | 750 | 1,200 | 2,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| WDGE2 LED | Visual Comfort | 10W | 18W | Standalone / nLight | -- | 1,200 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 4,500 | 6,000 | -- |
| WDGE2 LED | Precision Refractive | 10W | 18W | Standalone / nLight | 700 | 1,200 | 2,000 | 3,200 | 4,200 | -- | -- |
| WDGE3 LED | Precision Refractive | 15W | 18W | Standalone / nLight | -- | 7,500 | 8,500 | 10,000 | 12,000 | -- | -- |
| WDGE4 LED | Precision Refractive |  |  | Standalone / nLight | -- | 12,000 | 16,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 |

## Ordering Information

EXAMPLE: WDGE2 LED P3 40K 80CRI VF MVOLT SRM DDBXD

| Series | Package | Color Temperature | CRI | Distribution | Voltage | Mounting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WDGE2 LED | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} 0^{1} \\ & \mathrm{P} 1^{2} \\ & \mathrm{P}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{P}^{2} \\ & \hline \mathrm{P}^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 27 K 2700 K <br> 30 K 3000 K <br> 40 K 4000 K <br> 50 K 5000 K <br> AMB $^{3}$ Amber | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \mathrm{CRI}^{4} \\ & \hline 80 \mathrm{CRI} \end{aligned}$ <br> $\mathrm{LW}^{3}$ Limited Wavelength | T1S Type I Short <br> T2M Type II Medium <br> T3M Type III Medium <br> T4M Type IV Medium <br> TFTM Forward Throw Medium | MVOLT | Shipped included |
|  |  |  |  |  | $347^{5}$ | SRM Surface mounting bracket |
|  |  |  |  |  | $480^{5}$ | ICW Indirect Canopy/Ceiling |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Washer bracket (dry/ damp locations only ${ }^{6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Shipped separately

AWS 3/8inch Architectural wall spacer ${ }^{7}$
PBBW S urface-mounted back box (top, left, right conduit entry). Use when there is no junction box available.?

| Accessories <br> Ordered and shipped separately. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| WDGEAWS DDBXD | WDGE 3/8inch Architectural Wall Spacer (specify finish) |
| WDGE2PBBW DDBXD U | WDGE2 surface-mounted back box (specify finish) |
| NOTES |  |
| 1 PO option not available with sensors/controls. |  |
| 2 P1-P4 not available with AMB and LW. |  |
| 3 AMB and LW always go together. |  |
| 4 70CRI only available with T3M and T4M. |  |
| 5347 V and 480V not available with E10WH or E20WC. |  |
| 6 Not qualified for DLC. Not available with emergency battery backup or sensors/controls. |  |
| 7 For PBBW and AWS require an RFA. |  |
| 8 PE not available in 480 V or with sensors/controls. |  |
| 9 DMG option n | t available with sensors/controls. |

Performance Data

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Performance Package | System Watts | Dist. Type | 27K (2700K, 80 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 30 K (3000K, 80 CRI ) |  |  |  |  | 40K (4000K, 80 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 50K (5000K, 80 CRI) |  |  |  |  | Amber (Limited Wavelength) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G |
| PO | 7W | T1s | 636 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 699 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 691 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 712 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | T2M | 662 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 693 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 728 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 719 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | T3M | 662 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 693 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 728 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 719 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | T4M | 648 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 679 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 712 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 704 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 726 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | TFTM | 652 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 683 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 708 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| P1 | 11W | T15 | 1,105 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,157 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,215 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,200 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T2M | 1,150 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,204 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,264 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,249 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T3M | 1,150 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,205 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,265 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,250 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T4M | 1,126 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,179 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,238 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,223 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TFTM | 1,133 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,186 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,245 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,230 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2 | 19W | T1S | 1,801 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,886 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,981 | 104 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,957 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T2M | 1,875 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,963 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,061 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,037 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T3M | 1,876 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,964 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,062 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,038 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T4M | 1,836 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,922 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,018 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,994 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TFTM | 1,847 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,934 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,030 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,006 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3 | 32 W | T15 | 2,809 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,942 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,089 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,052 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T2M | 2,924 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,062 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,215 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,176 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T3M | 2,925 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,063 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,216 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,177 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T4M | 2,862 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,997 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,147 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,110 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TFTM | 2,880 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,015 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,166 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,128 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4 | 47W | T15 | 3,729 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,904 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,099 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,051 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T2M | 3,881 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,063 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,267 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,216 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T3M | 3,882 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,065 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,268 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,217 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | T4M | 3,799 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,978 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,177 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,127 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TFTM | 3,822 | 82 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,002 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,202 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,152 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Performance Package | System Watts | Dist. Type | 27K (2700K, 70 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 30 K (3000K, 70 CRI ) |  |  |  |  | 40K (4000K, 70 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 50K (5000K, 70 CRI) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G |
| PO | 7W | T3M | 737 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 763 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 822 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 832 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | T4M | 721 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 746 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 814 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| P1 | 11W | T3M | 1,280 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,325 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,427 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,445 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | T4M | 1,253 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,297 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,397 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,415 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| P2 | 19W | T3M | 2,087 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,160 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,327 | 123 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,357 | 124 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | T4M | 2,042 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,114 | 111 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,278 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2,306 | 121 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| P3 | 32 W | T3M | 3,254 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,369 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,629 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,675 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | T4M | 3,185 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,297 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,552 | 111 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3,597 | 112 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| P4 | 47W | T3M | 4,319 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,471 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,817 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4,878 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | T4M | 4,227 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4,376 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4,714 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4,774 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

LITHONIA

## Electrical Load

| Performance Package | System Watts | Current (A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 120Vac | 208Vac | 240 Vac | 277 Vac | 347 Vac | 480Vac |
| PO | 7.0 | 0.061 | 0.042 | 0.04 | 0.039 | -- | -- |
|  | 9.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.031 | 0.021 |
| P1 | 11.0 | 0.100 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.054 | -- | -- |
|  | 14.1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.046 | 0.031 |
| P2 | 19.0 | 0.168 | 0.106 | 0.095 | 0.083 | -- | -- |
|  | 22.8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.067 | 0.050 |
| P3 | 32.0 | 0.284 | 0.163 | 0.144 | 0.131 | -- | -- |
|  | 37.1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.107 | 0.079 |
| P4 | 47.0 | 0.412 | 0.234 | 0.207 | 0.185 | -- | -- |
|  | 53.5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.153 | 0.112 |

## Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers

Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.00 |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

(4000K, 80 CRI, T3M)

| Option | Lumens |
| :---: | :---: |
| E10WH | 1,358 |
| E20WC | 2,230 |

## Projected LED Lumen Maintenance

Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient, based on 10,000 hours of LED testing (tested per IESNA LM-80-08 and projected per IESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

|  | Operating Hours | 0 | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumen Maintenance Factor | 1.0 | $>0.96$ | $>0.93$ | $>0.87$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Photometric Diagrams

LEGEND
$\mathrm{MH}=10 \mathrm{ft}$ Grid $=10 \mathrm{ft} \times 10 \mathrm{ft}$

"P3 40K 80CRI T1S"
"P3 40K 80CRI T2M"
"P3 40K 80CRI T3M"
"P3 40K 80CRI T4M"
"P3 40K 80CRI TFTM"

## Emergency Egress Options

## Emergency Battery Backup

The emergency battery backup is integral to the luminaire - no external housing required! This design provides reliable emergency operation while maintaining the aesthetics of the product. All emergency battery backup configurations include an independent secondary driver with an integral relay to immediately detect loss of normal power and automatically energize the luminaire. The emergency battery will power the luminaire for a minimum duration of 90 minutes (maximum duration of three hours) from the time normal power is lost and maintain a minimum of $60 \%$ of the light output at the end of 90 minutes.
Applicable codes: NFPA 70/NEC - section 700.16, NFPA 101 Life Safety Code Section 7.9

## Control / Sensor Options

## Motion/Ambient Sensor (PIR_ PIRH_)

Motion/Ambeint sensor (Sensor Switch MSOD) is integrated into the the luminaire. The sensor provides both Motion and Daylight based dimming of the luminaire. For motion detection, the sensor utilizes 100\% Digital Passive Infrared (PIR) technology that is tuned for walking size motion while preventing false tripping from the environment. The integrated photocell enables additional energy savings during daytime periods when there is sufficient daylight. Optimize sensor coverage by either selecting PIR or PIRH option. PIR option comes with a sensor lens that is optimized to provide maximum coverage for mounting heights between $8-15 \mathrm{ft}$, while PIRH is optimized for $15-40 \mathrm{ft}$ mounting height.

## Networked Control (NLTAIR2)

$n L i g h t ®$ AIR is a wireless lighting controls platform that allows for seamless integration of both indoor and outdoor luminaires. Five-tier security architecture, 900 MHz wireless communication and app (CLAIRITY™ Pro) based configurability combined together make nLight $®$ AIR a secure, reliable and easy to use platform.


## PIRH



TOP VIEW

| 6.1 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 10 |
| 0 m | 0 ft |
| 3 | 10 |
| 6.1 | 20 |


| Option | Dim Level | High Level (when triggered | Photocell Operation | Motion Time Delay | Ramp-down Time | Ramp-up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 5fc | 5 min | 5 min | Motion-3 sec Photocell - 45 sec |
| PIR1FC3V, PIRH1FC3V | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 1fc | 5 min | 5 min | Motion-3 sec Photocell - 45 sec |
| NLTAIR2 PIR, NLTAIR2 PIRH (out of box) | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 5fc | 7.5 min | 5 min | Motion -3 sec Photocell - 45 sec |



## Motion/Ambient Sensor

## D = 7"

H = 9" (Standalone controls)
11" (nLight AIR controls, 2" antenna will be pointing down behind the sensor) W = 11.5"


## AWS - 3/8inch Architectural Wall Spacer

D $=0.38^{\prime \prime}$
H $=4.4^{\prime \prime}$
W $=7.5^{\prime \prime}$


PBBW - Surface-Mounted Back Box Use when there is no junction box available.

D $=1.75^{\prime \prime}$
H = 9"
$W=11.5^{\prime \prime}$

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

Common architectural look, with clean rectilinear shape, of the WDGE LED was designed to blend with any type of construction, whether it be tilt-up, frame or brick. Applications include commercial offices, warehouses, hospitals, schools, malls, restaurants, and other commercial buildings.

## CONSTRUCTION

The single-piece die-cast aluminum housing integrates secondary heat sinks to optimize thermal transfer from the internal light engine heat sinks and promote long life. The driver is mounted in direct contact with the casting for a low operating temperature and long life. The die-cast door frame is fully gasketed with a one-piece solid silicone gasket to keep out moisture and dust, providing an IP66 rating for the luminaire.

## FINISH

Exterior painted parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering. A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling. Standard Super Durable colors include dark bronze, black, natural aluminum, sandstone and white. Available in textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Individually formed acrylic lenses are engineered for superior application efficiency which maximizes the light in the areas where it is most needed. The WDGE LED has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\text {® }}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine consists of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metal-core circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to $\mathrm{L} 91 / 100,000$ hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The electronic driver has a power factor of $>90 \%$, THD $<20 \%$. Luminaire comes with built in 6 kV surge protection, which meets a minimum Category C low exposure (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2). Fixture ships standard with $0-10 \mathrm{v}$ dimmable driver.

## INSTALLATION

A universal mounting plate with integral mounting support arms allows the fixture to hinge down for easy access while making wiring connections. The $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ Architectural Wall Spacer (AWS) can be used to create a floating appearance or to accommodate small imperfections in the wall surface. The ICW option can be used to mount the luminaire inverted for indirect lighting in dry and damp locations. Design can withstand up to a 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31

## LISTINGS

CSA certified to U.S. and Canadian standards. Luminaire is IP66 rated. PIR options are rated for wet location. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum ambient. DesignLights Consortium ${ }^{\oplus}$ (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www.designlights.org/QPL to confirm which versions are qualified. International Dark-
Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 2700K and 3000 K color temperature only and SRM mounting only.

## BUY AMERICAN ACT

Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT regulations.
Please refer to www.acuitybrands.com/buy-american for additional information.

## WARRANTY

5 -year limited warranty. This is the only warranty provided and no other statements in this specification sheet create any warranty of any kind. All other express and implied warranties are disclaimed. Complete warranty terms located at:
www.acuitybrands.com/support/warranty/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application. All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifications subject to change without notice.

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
April 9, 2024
Kevin Patel; Triangle Engineering
SP2024-015; Site Plan for a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales (i.e. 7-Eleven)

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by Kevin Patel of Triangle Engineering on behalf of Conor Keilty of Structured REA-Rockwall Land LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales (i.e. 7/11) on a 1.95 -acre portion of a larger 12.868 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 6, Block B, Fit Sport Life Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the FM-549 Overlay (FM-549 OV) District, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Corporate Crossing and Fit Sport Life Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed by the City Council on July 21, 1997 by Ordinance No. $97-14$ [Case No. A1997-001]. Based on the City's historical zoning maps, the subject property was zoned from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Commercial (C) District at a time between annexation and April 5,2005 . The subject property has remained vacant since its annexation into the City of Rockwall.

## PURPOSE

On March 15, 2024, the applicant -- Kevin Patel with Triangle Engineering -- submitted an application requesting approval of a site plan for the purpose of developing a 4,813 SF Retail Store with Gasoline Sales on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Corporate Crossing [FM-549] and Fit Sport Life Boulevard. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: $\quad$ Directly north of the property is a 12.868 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 22-2, of the R Irvine Survey, Abstract No. 120), zoned Commercial (C) District. Beyond this is the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Frontage Road followed by $\mathrm{HH}-30$. Continuing north are two (2) vacant tracts of land (i.e. Tract 10-3 [8.23-acres] and Tract 10 [14.8-acres] of the R. Irvine Survey, Abstract No. 120) that are zoned Commercial (C) District. Beyond this is the corporate limits for the City of Rockwall.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a vacant tract of land identified as Lot 1, Block B, Rockwall Technology Park, Phase IV Addition. This land is zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, is owned by the Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC), and currently serves as regional detention for Phase 2 of the Rockwall Technology Park. Continuing south and adjacent to this tract of land is Capital Boulevard, which is identified as a R2U (i.e. residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is are two (2) large industrial facilities (i.e. Pratt Industries and Lollicup), which are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Beyond this is Discovery Boulevard, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 6.3770 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 5 , Block B, Fit Sport Life Addition and zoned Commercial (C) District developed with a sports facility (i.e. Amerisports). Beyond this is a 20.51 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 22, of the R. Invine Survey) zoned for Commercial (C) District. North of this tract of land is a 4.317-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 22-01 of the R. Irvine Survey), which is occupied by Big-Tex Trailers and zoned Light Industrial (LI) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Corporate Crossing [FM-3549], which is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 6.1917 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Love's Country Store) followed by two (2) vacant tracts of land (i.e. Tract 9-9 [1.898-acres] and Tract 9-11 [1.30-acres of the J Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 134]), and a 3.0654-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1 , Block 1, Ya-Hoo Subdivision (i.e. Photo Technologies). All of these properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District.

## DENSITY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

According to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales that has more than Two (2) Dispensers is a permitted by-right land use in a Commercial (C) District. The submitted site plan, landscape plan, treescape plan, photometric plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property located within a Commercial (C) District. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property are as follows:

| Ordinance Provisions | Zoning District Standards | Conformance to the Standards |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $X=1.95-a c r e s ; ~ I n ~ C o n f o r m a n c e ~$ |
| Minimum Lot frontage | $60-$ Feet | $X \sim 217$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 100 -Feet | $X \sim 333$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | $15-$ Feet | $X>15$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | $10-$ Feet | $X>0$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $10-$ Feet | $X=0$-feet; In Conformance |
| Maximum Building Height | $60-$ Feet | $X=26$-feet; In Conformance |
| Max Building/Lot Coverage | $60 \%$ | $X<60 \%$; In Conformance |
| Minimum Masonry Requirement | $90 \%$ | $X>90 \%$; In Conformance |
| Minimum Number of Parking Spaces | $1 / 250$ SF $=20$ spaces | $X=32 ;$ In Conformance |
| Minimum Stone Requirement | $20 \%$ | $X>20 \%$ |
| Minimum Landscaping Percentage | $20 \%$ | $X=42 \% ;$ In Conformance |
| Maximum Impervious Coverage | $85-90 \%$ | $X=57 \% ;$ In Conformance |

## TREESCAPE PLAN

The treescape plan provided by the applicant indicates that one (1) cedar tree of 6-caliper inches removed from the site. The applicant is providing approximately 23 , four (4) inch caliper canopy trees on site, which will satisfy the required tree mitigation for this development.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 02.02(F)(31), Retail Store with Gasoline Sales, of Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) defines Restaurants with Drive Through or Drive-In as "(a)n establishment that engages in the sale of fuel, lubricants, and/or accessories for motor vehicles, and that may have ancillary retail sales of convenience goods. A dispenser in a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales is assumed to serve two (2) standard motor vehicles." In this case the applicant is requesting a Retail Store with Gasoline Sales that has more than two (2) dispensers, which conforms to the land use listed in Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

The proposed site plan generally conforms to the standards of the General Overlay District Standards and the General Commercial District Standards as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code
(UDC), with the exception of the variances being requested as outlined in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo.

## VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS BY THE APPLICANT

Staff has identified the following variances and exceptions:
(1) Building Articulation.
(a) Primary Building Facades. According to Subsection 05.01 (C), Building Articulation, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the minimum wall length shall not exceed four (4) times the wall height. In this case, the proposed buildings do not meet the projection or wall length requirements for primary building facades on all buildings. This will require an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).
(2) Four (4)-Sided Architecture. According to Subsection 06.02 (C), Articulation Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ll buildings shall be architecturally finished on all four (4) sides utilizing the same materials, detailing, articulation and features. In addition, a minimum of one (1) row of trees (i.e. four [4] or more accent or canopy trees) shall be planted along perimeter of the subject property to the rear of the building." In this case, the proposed building does not meet this requirement. This will require a variance from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).

According to Subsection 09, Exceptions and Variances, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...an applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant variances and exceptions to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) would create an undue hardship." In addition, the code requires that the applicant to provide compensatory measures that directly offset the requested variances and exceptions. The applicant has indicated the following as compensatory measures: [1] providing more than the required $20 \%$ stone, and [2] providing more than the required $90 \%$ masonry. Staff has also identified several more compensatory measures such as [1] more than the required percentage of landscaping, [2] an excess of five (5) feet of landscape buffer along corporate crossing, and [3] more than $50.00 \%$ of the landscaping is located in the front; however, requests for exceptions and variances to the Unified Development Code (UDC) are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission. Staff should note that a supermajority vote (e.g. six [6] out of the seven [7] commissioners) -- with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative -- is required for the approval of a variance or exception.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Plan adopted with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan indicates that the subject property is located in the $\mid \underline{H}-30$ Corridor District which is "...the primary retail corridor for the City of Rockwall...and acts as the western gateway for both the City and County of Rockwall," which includes medical uses, retail, and industry. The IH-30 corridor is "...vital to maintain a high per capita sales tax for the City of Rockwall." The applicant's site plan appears to meet the intent of Comprehensive Plan a supportive retail land use within the IH-30 Corridor District.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD (ARB) RECOMMENDATION

On March 26, 2024 the Architecture Review Board (ARB) reviewed the proposed building elevations provided by the applicant and recommended that the applicant [1] add an awning over all outside displays, [2] internalize the fire escape ladder, [3] show the roofs beyond in each elevation, [4] provide a natural stone, and [5] find an alternate material to the nichiha. The applicant has provided updated elevations that will be reviewed by the Architectural Review Board (ARB) prior to the April 9, $\underline{2024}$ Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's Site Plan for a sports complex on the subject property, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All staff comments provided by the Planning, Engineering and Fire Department must be addressed prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Site Plan shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:
PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ MASTER PLAT ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00)$
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\boxtimes$ SITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$

```
ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
\squareZONING CHANGE ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
\square ~ S P E C I F I C ~ U S E ~ P E R M I T ~ ( \$ 2 0 0 . 0 0 + \$ 1 5 . 0 0 ~ A C R E ) ~ 1 \& 2 ~
\square PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ($200.00 + $15.00 ACRE) }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
\square TREE REMOVAL ($75.00)
\square VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS ($100.00) 2
NOTES:
IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE
PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.
3: A $1,000.00 FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT
INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING
PERMIT
```

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

## ADDRESS SEC Corporate Crossing \& Fit Sport Life Blvd.

SUBdIVISION Fit Sport Life Addition LOT 6 BLOCK B
general location SEC Corporate Crossing \& Fit Sport Life Blvd.

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | C-Commercial | CURRENT USE | Vacant Lot |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| PROPOSED ZONING | N/A | PROPOSED USE Fueling Station w/ C-Store |  |
| ACREAGE | 1.95 | LOTS [CURRENT] | 1 |

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

- OWNER STRUCTURED REA-ROCKWALL LAND LLC

CONTACT PERSON CONOR KEILTY
ADDRESS 3104 E Camelback Road \#2387

CITY, STATE \& ZIP Phoenix, AZ 85016
PHONE 480-856-8808
E-MAIL CONORK@STRUCTUREDREA.COM
$\boxtimes$ APPLICANT Triangle Engineering
CONTACT PERSON Kartavya (Kevin) Patel
ADDRESS 1782 W. McDermott Dr.

CITY, STATE \& ZIP Allen, TX 75013
PHONE 469.331.8566
E-MAIL kpatel@triangle-engr.com

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOMUNG:

ฯ HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$ \quad 290$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE

11th
DAY OF
March $\qquad$ 2024. BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL IIE "CITY") IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDEINFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PER MITTED TO REPROQUFE ANY GQPYRGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLLO MEOR WAFIGNIIC


NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS



## City of Rockwall

10755 Sandhill Road, Dallas, TX 75238, 214.343.9400, dimensiongrp.com ARCHITECTURE • CIVIL ENGINEERING • MEP ENGINEERING • PLANNING

April 3, 2024

## Re: 7-Eleven

Store No. 42609
Fit Sport \& Corporate Crossing
Rockwall, TX

## Planning Elevations Comments

- Remove roof from dumpster enclosure. This will create problems with pickup. (Subsection 01.05.B, of Article 05, UDC)
Response: The roof at the dumpster enclosure has been removed.
- The building does not meet Primary Building Articulation; particularly the wall projections and projection heights. All four sides are considered primary since four (4) sided architecture is required. (Subsection 04.01, Article 05, UDC)
Response: A variance from the primary façade vertical and horizontal articulation requirements is requested for the east and south elevations. The building design will compensate for this variance by providing the following compensatory measures:

1. Additional stone area above the $20 \%$ minimum required, on all four facades.
2. Additional masonry area above the $90 \%$ minimum required, on all four facades.

- Four (4) sided architecture is also not met. Each side will should look like the front of the building to meet this requirement. (Subsection 06.02.C.5, of Article 05, UDC)
Response: A variance from the four (4) sided architecture requirement is requested for the north, east, and south elevations. The building design will compensate for this variance by providing the following compensatory measures:

3. Additional stone area above the $20 \%$ minimum required, on all four facades.
4. Additional masonry area above the $90 \%$ minimum required, on all four facades.
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\section*{ <br> | DATE: |
| :--- |
| OA. 32024 | <br> $\underset{\substack{\text { Shet } \\ \text { LANoscaper Pian }}}{ }$ <br> sheet wumber: <br> L. 2 <br> CASE NO:

SP2024-015}


| BOUNDARY LINE DATA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lmeno. | веяRп¢ | DISTaNCE |
| 4 | N4445906E | ${ }^{4243}$ |
| 12 | N894506E | ${ }_{4546}$ |
| $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | N $2^{239059}$ E | ${ }^{20873}$ |
| $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | ร 30 "373\% | ${ }_{47,96}$ |
| 15 | s897098\% | 41008 |
| $\stackrel{16}{ }$ | S $8990988^{\text {m }}$ | ${ }^{331.30}$ |
| 17 | Nocors' E | ${ }_{53,4}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {L8 }}$ | Noo9775'w | 572 |
| 19 | No\%94444 W | ${ }_{15968}$ |
| $\stackrel{10}{ }$ | soösc39 | ${ }^{149865}$ |
| ${ }^{11}$ | S260650\% | 10977 |


| RVE DATA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| curve Eo. | Raous | Lекот | delta | choro bearng | chor |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | S2250 | ${ }^{1848^{\circ}}$ | ${ }^{15870}$ | ${ }^{\text {N8846322 }}$ E | ${ }_{18,48}$ |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{4250}$ | 25.7 | ${ }^{3955389}$ | N7090975 | ${ }_{2480}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {c3 }}$ | ${ }_{4250}$ | 21.64 | ${ }^{29904090}$ | ${ }^{\text {N6E2740 }}$ E | 21.41 |
| ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }_{550}$ | ${ }^{1379}$ | 1455500 | N7595966E | ${ }^{13759}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | ${ }_{500}$ | ${ }_{50,6}$ | $52840^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ne52326\% }}$ | ${ }_{50,65}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {c6 }}$ | ${ }_{10} 10$ | 48.86 | 25950 | S1327244E | ${ }_{4.85}$ |
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[^0]:    Melanie Zavala, Planning Coordinator

[^1]:    CITY OF ROCKWALL • PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT • 385 S . GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TEXAS $75087 \bullet$ P: (972) 771 -7745 • E: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

[^2]:    PLANNING $\%$ VING CASE NO.
    NOTE: THE A , ICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

    DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
    CITY ENGINEER:

